

NATURAL EXTENSIONS OF UNIMODAL MAPS: PRIME ENDS OF PLANAR EMBEDDINGS AND SEMI-CONJUGACY TO SPHERE HOMEOMORPHISMS

PHILIP BOYLAND, ANDRÉ DE CARVALHO, AND TOBY HALL

ABSTRACT. Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a unimodal map with topological entropy $h(f) > \frac{1}{2} \log 2$, and let $\hat{f}: \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{I}$ be its natural extension, where $\hat{I} = \varprojlim(I, f)$. Subject to some regularity conditions, which are satisfied for tent maps and quadratic maps, we give a complete description of the prime ends of the Barge-Martin embedding of $\hat{I}$ into the disk, and identify the prime ends rotation number with the height of f . We also show that $\hat{f}$ is semi-conjugate to a sphere homeomorphism by a semi-conjugacy for which all fibers except one contain at most three points. In the case where f is a post-critically finite tent map, we show that the corresponding sphere homeomorphism is a generalized pseudo-Anosov map.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of families of maps occupies a distinguished place in dynamical systems theory: expanding the focus of attention to a family provides a new perspective which often illuminates individual systems. An early success of the modern theory was to achieve a very detailed understanding of the topological dynamics of certain families of one-dimensional maps. The understanding of two-dimensional families is much less well developed, even in the special case where there is a closely related one-dimensional family.

The archetypal examples are families f_a of unimodal maps of the line, and the associated families $H_{a,b}(x, y) = (f_a(x) - by, x)$ of Hénon-like diffeomorphisms of the plane. Since the diffeomorphism $H_{a,b}$ is a perturbation of the unimodal map f_a for small values of the parameter b , one might hope to be able to understand its dynamics, at least for such parameters, in terms of the unimodal dynamics. While this is possible near parameters a for which f_a is hyperbolic, it has been shown by several authors that, for values of a for which f_a is not hyperbolic, $H_{a,b}$ behaves fundamentally differently from f_a for all non-zero values of b .

In this paper we construct and analyze two distinct families of surface homeomorphisms which are related to any given one-dimensional unimodal family f_a via inverse limits. Recall that the *inverse limit* of a self-map $f: I \rightarrow I$ of a compact interval I is the space

$$\hat{I} := \varprojlim(I, f) = \{\mathbf{x} \in I^{\mathbb{N}} : f(x_{i+1}) = x_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset I^{\mathbb{N}},$$

and the *natural extension* or *shift map* is the homeomorphism $\hat{f}: \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{I}$ defined by

$$\hat{f}(\langle x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle) = \langle f(x_0), x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle.$$

Date: April 2017.

The authors are grateful for the support of FAPESP grants 2011/16265-8 and 2016/04687-9, and CAPES PVE grant 88881.068037/2014-01. This research has also been supported in part by EU Marie-Curie IRSES Brazilian-European partnership in Dynamical Systems (FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IRSES 318999 BREUDS).

The inverse limit, roughly speaking, unwraps the non-injective map f and transforms the dynamical complications due to its non-injectivity into topological complications of its inverse limit $\widehat{I}$. More precisely, $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{f})$ is the smallest invertible dynamical system which has (I, f) as a factor. This implies that the dynamics of the homeomorphism $\widehat{f}$ closely mirrors the dynamics of the non-injective map f .

The first family constructed in this paper uses a technique due to Barge-Martin [10, 14] to build, from a unimodal family f_a , a family of disk homeomorphisms, $\widehat{H}_a$, which have the inverse limits $\widehat{I}_a$ of the maps f_a as global attractors and restrict on $\widehat{I}_a$ to the natural extension $\widehat{f}_a$. Therefore, in a precise sense, the asymptotic dynamics of each map in this family mirrors that of the corresponding member of the one-dimensional family. This provides a near complete understanding of the dynamics of the two-dimensional family. With the dynamics thus well understood, we devote most of the paper to giving a complete description of the prime ends of the complements of the attractors, thereby showing how the topological complications characteristic of inverse limits are embedded in the disk.

The second family which we construct consists of sphere homeomorphisms, F_a , in which, roughly speaking, the inverse limits of the one-dimensional maps have been spread over the entire sphere. More precisely, making use of our results about the first family, we show that, for any unimodal map f with topological entropy $h(f) > \frac{1}{2} \log 2$, there is a mild semi-conjugacy from the natural extension $\widehat{f}: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ to a sphere homeomorphism F . Thus we again have a two-dimensional family whose dynamics mirrors that of the one-dimensional family, but now the dynamics fills the entire sphere: so, for example, if f is transitive then so is F . The resulting sphere homeomorphisms thus have interesting and well-understood dynamics. We analyze in detail how the main structures associated with the dynamics, the stable and unstable sets, are intertwined in the sphere. We establish, in the particular case where f_a is the tent family, that there are certain isolated parameters for which the sphere homeomorphism F_a is a pseudo-Anosov map [41]; while for the countable dense set of parameters for which f_a is post-critically finite, the sphere homeomorphism F_a is a *generalized pseudo-Anosov map* [20].

We now expand on each of these families in turn. Before doing so we note, for the benefit of the reader looking ahead to theorem statements, that all unimodal maps in this paper are taken to satisfy the assumptions of Convention 2.8 below. One of these assumptions is that the topological entropy is greater than $\frac{1}{2} \log 2$; and the other assumptions are all satisfied by standard examples such as quadratic and tent maps, provided that they are defined on their dynamical intervals. While our main focus is on the constructed families, their study necessitates a detailed analysis of individual maps within the families. Indeed, most of the results described in the rest of the introduction concern the varying behaviors of the maps in the parameterized families for different values of the parameters.

It has been known since Birkhoff's *remarkable curves* [11, 30] that attractors can have very complicated topological properties, in terms both of their intrinsic structure and of the way in which they are embedded in the plane or disk. Our focus in this paper is on the topology of the embeddings, and is related to work of Williams [42], who showed that a wide class of hyperbolic attractors are inverse limits. Embeddings of inverse limits of unimodal maps in the plane have been considered by several authors (see for example [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 17, 26, 27, 28, 33, 40]). An understanding of these attractors and their embeddings is interesting not only in its own right, but also because it allows one to move beyond hyperbolicity to shed light on the types of attractors one can expect to find in general parameterized families of plane diffeomorphisms.

Our main result is a complete description of the prime ends of the complements of the attractors $\widehat{I}$ and, to the best of our knowledge, constitutes the most complete analysis of the nature of the embeddings of a family of attractors in the literature. This result builds on work of Barge [5], who showed, in a similar setting, that the prime ends rotation number varies continuously with the parameter. Prime ends rotation numbers have been a common tool in two-dimensional dynamics since the work of Cartwright and Littlewood [18], and provide the main organizing structure in our study. Detailed analyses of prime ends for parameterized families are less common in real dynamics, but have been used in the description of basin boundaries [1, 35]. In holomorphic dynamics, by contrast, principal sets and impressions of the complements of Julia sets are often studied (see for example [12, 19, 29, 39]).

The homeomorphism obtained from the Barge-Martin construction depends on a number of choices. In Sections 3.1 and 3.2 we make these choices carefully, so as to obtain an explicit disk homeomorphism $\widehat{H}: \widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ having the inverse limit $\widehat{I}$ of the given unimodal map f as a global attractor. This attractor is a non-separating continuum, so that its complement is homeomorphic to a half-open annulus A . One boundary of A is a simple closed curve, which we ignore, and we refer to the other prime ends of A as *the prime ends of the attractor*, and analyze them in Section 4. Letting $\mathbb{P}$ denote the collection of these prime ends (so $\mathbb{P}$ is topologically a circle) the disk homeomorphism $\widehat{H}$ induces a homeomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$, whose rotation number is called the *prime ends rotation number*. We show (Theorems 4.46, 4.64, and 4.66) that this rotation number depends only on the kneading sequence κ of f , and coincides with the *height* of κ as defined in [24]. In particular, the height algorithm of [24] allows us to calculate the prime ends rotation number from the kneading sequence when it is rational, and to approximate it arbitrarily closely when it is irrational.

The prime ends themselves are characterized by means of their principal sets and impressions: roughly speaking, the principal set is the limit set of the most efficient ray which converges to the prime end, and the impression is the limit set of the least efficient ray (see Section 2.5 for the definitions). As is common in dynamics, the distinction between rational and irrational rotation number is central to the nature of the associated dynamical structures and, in the case at hand, this is reflected in the nature of the principal sets and impressions of the prime ends of the attractors.

The case of irrational prime end rotation number is treated in Section 4.5, with the results summarized in Theorem 4.46. There is a Φ -invariant Cantor set $C \subset \mathbb{P}$, and every prime end in C has a singleton principal set, and impression equal to the whole attractor $\widehat{I}$; while all other prime ends have singleton principal sets and impressions. Informally, each of the complementary gaps of the Cantor set corresponds to a finite tunnel into the attractor. As the gaps get smaller the tunnels become longer, until, in the limit as the gaps approach a point of C , the tunnel walls converge to the whole attractor.

Sections 4.6 and 4.7 deal with the case in which the prime ends rotation number is a rational m/n , with the results summarized in Theorems 4.64 and 4.66 (the two sections treat separately the cases where the kneading sequence κ is in the interior, or on the boundary, of the set of kneading sequences of height m/n). There is a period n orbit of Φ consisting of special prime ends, with all other prime ends having singleton principal sets and impressions. There are three possibilities for the n special prime ends, which correspond to infinite tunnels into the attractor: in all cases the impression is the whole attractor, while

- (a) If κ is on the boundary of the set of kneading sequences of height m/n , then the principal set is a point;

- (b) if κ lies in a particular renormalization window, then the principal set is a proper invariant subset of the attractor, corresponding to the inverse limit of the renormalized map; and
- (c) otherwise, the principal set is the whole attractor.

The main tool used in the proofs of these results is an explicit homeomorphism from the half-open annulus A to the complement $\widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$ of the attractor, which is constructed in Section 4.1. There is no natural conformal structure on $\widehat{D}$, which is an inverse limit; or on A , whose circle factor is itself the inverse limit of a circle endomorphism. We therefore cannot use conformal methods, and rely instead on strictly topological techniques, making detailed constructions of families of cross cuts defining the prime ends.

Almost every part of our analysis is based on the specific choices made when carrying out the Barge-Martin construction. In Appendix A, we show that our results are in fact independent of these choices: all choices give rise to attractors which are equivalently embedded in the disk, and so have the same prime ends. On the other hand, Anušić, Bruin, and Činč [3] have recently shown that the inverse limit of any unimodal map has uncountably many non-equivalent embeddings into the disk; the question of whether these other embeddings can be attractors carrying the natural extension is still open.

We now turn to the second family F_a of surface homeomorphisms. The explicit choices made in the Barge-Martin construction allow for a detailed description of the so-called *strongly stable sets* of points of the attractors of $\widehat{f}_a$, which is given in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. We then construct, using these strongly stable sets, an invariant upper semi-continuous decomposition, all of whose elements intersect the attractor. Collapsing the decomposition elements and applying Moore's theorem [34] yields a sphere homeomorphism which is semi-conjugate to the natural extension of f (Theorem 5.13). The fibers of the semi-conjugacy are tame and explicitly described, so that dynamical properties such as transitivity, denseness of periodic orbits, and ergodic measures are easily transferred from the natural extension $\widehat{f}_a$ to the sphere homeomorphism F .

These results apply to arbitrary unimodal maps which satisfy the conditions of Convention 2.8. In the remainder of the introduction, we restrict attention to the special case of tent maps f_t of slope $t > \sqrt{2}$, when the sphere homeomorphisms F_t associated to the natural extensions $\widehat{f}_t: \widehat{I}_t \rightarrow \widehat{I}_t$ have a very rich structure. We show that each F_t is transitive and area-preserving, having topological and metric entropy $\log t$ (Theorem 5.22); and that, for certain parameters, F_t is a pseudo-Anosov or generalized pseudo-Anosov map (Theorem 5.21).

Thurston's pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms are characterized by the existence of a transverse pair of invariant measured foliations which are uniformly expanded and contracted by the dynamics: they are now a fundamental object of study in low dimensional topology and dynamics. Generalized pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms, introduced in [20], have an analogous transverse pair of invariant measured foliations, but these are allowed to have infinitely many well-behaved singularities. The construction of generalized pseudo-Anosovs in [20] was explicit, and used in an essential way the existence of finite Markov partitions. Our results in this paper show how they arise naturally from inverse limits in the case where f_t is post-critically finite, with the leaves of the unstable foliation coming from the path components of $\widehat{I}_t$ (the connection of pseudo-Anosovs to the inverse limits of train track maps is well known).

The sphere homeomorphisms F_t for post-critically *infinite* f_t can now be seen as a natural completion of the countable family of generalized pseudo-Anosovs already constructed. The analysis of these new F_t has a more measure-theoretic flavor, and is the subject of separate articles in preparation. It should be noted that the continuity of the family F_t in the parameter is still conjectural. The main obstacle to its proof lies in the fact that each homeomorphism acts on its own quotient sphere, and there is no straightforward way of identifying these spheres one with another.

Figure 1 is a suggestive picture of an approximation of the inverse limit of a tent map whose kneading sequence has height $1/3$, embedded in the plane. We have found such pictures helpful in formulating, proving, and understanding the results presented in the paper. There are three infinite tunnels, corresponding to the three special prime ends, which become stepwise narrower and narrower as they probe deeper and deeper into the inverse limit. The natural extension stretches by a factor t in the horizontal direction, contracts by a factor $1/t$ in the vertical direction, and bends the image around as dictated by f (see for example Figure 5): thus the three tunnels are permuted by the action. The intersection with a typical vertical arc is a Cantor set, and the semi-conjugacy to a sphere homeomorphism can be visualized as collapsing each complementary segment of each such arc to a point and then “sewing up” the outside boundary as dictated by the dynamics (although, as will be seen, the actual process is less straightforward).




FIGURE 1. An approximation of the inverse limit of a unimodal map with kneading sequence $(1001110)^\infty$

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Unimodal maps. In this section we recall some of the standard theory of unimodal maps in order to fix notation and conventions, and impose some conditions on the unimodal maps which we will study.

The following definition reflects the fact that we will always consider unimodal maps which are defined on their dynamical intervals (cores).

Definition 2.1 (Unimodal map, turning point). A *unimodal map* is a continuous self-map $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ of a compact interval $[a, b]$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) There is some $c \in (a, b)$, which is called the *turning point* of f , such that f is strictly increasing on $[a, c]$ and strictly decreasing on $[c, b]$.
- (b) $f(c) = b$ and $f(b) = a$.

Definition 2.2 (Itinerary). Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map with turning point c , and let $x \in [a, b]$. We say that an element μ of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an *itinerary* of x if, for all $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r = 0 &\implies f^r(x) \in [a, c], \text{ and} \\ \mu_r = 1 &\implies f^r(x) \in [c, b]. \end{aligned}$$

If the orbit of x contains c , then there is more than one itinerary of x . We will nevertheless abuse notation by writing $\iota(x) = \mu$ to mean that μ is an itinerary of x .

Definition 2.3 (Unimodal order). The *unimodal order* is a total order $\preceq$ defined on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. Let μ and ν be distinct elements of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and let $r \geq 0$ be least such that $\mu_r \neq \nu_r$. Then

$$\mu \prec \nu \iff \sum_{i=0}^r \mu_i \text{ is even.}$$

The unimodal order reflects the ordering of points on the interval $[a, b]$: if $x, y \in [a, b]$ have itineraries μ and ν respectively, and $x < y$, then $\mu \preceq \nu$.

Definition 2.4 (Kneading sequence). Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map. The *kneading sequence* $\kappa(f) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of f is the itinerary of b which is smallest with respect to the unimodal order.

Therefore $\kappa(f)$ is the unique itinerary of b unless the turning point c is a periodic point of f . The choice of $\kappa(f)$ in the periodic case has no particular significance: it is a convention which ensures that the kneading sequence is well defined. It means that $\kappa(f) = W^\infty$ for some word W whose length is the period of c and which contains an even number of 1s. (We use the notation W^∞ to denote the element of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ obtained by infinite repetition of the word W .)

We recall the following definition and result (see for example [22]), which characterize the elements of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ which are kneading sequences of unimodal maps.

Definition 2.5 (Maximal sequence). An element μ of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is *maximal* if $\sigma^r(\mu) \preceq \mu$ for all $r \geq 0$, where $\sigma: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the shift map.

Lemma 2.6. *An element μ of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the kneading sequence of some unimodal map f if and only if μ is maximal and $\mu_0\mu_1 = 10$. $\square$*

Definition 2.7 ($\mathcal{KS}$). We write $\mathcal{KS} \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for the set of kneading sequences of unimodal maps: maximal sequences which start with the symbols 10.

Convention 2.8 (Standing assumptions for unimodal maps). All unimodal maps $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ in this paper will be assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) $101^\infty \prec \kappa(f)$.
- (b) There is no non-trivial subinterval $J \subset [a, b]$ and $n \geq 1$ such that $f^n|_J$ is the identity.
- (c) If f has a stable periodic orbit P , then the turning point c is in the immediate basin of attraction of P . (That is, there is some $p \in P$ and an interval J containing both p and c such that $f^{nr}(x) \rightarrow p$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in J$, where n is the period of P .)
- (d) If $x, y \in [a, b]$ are distinct points which share a common itinerary, then the orbit of every point in the interval with endpoints x and y converges to a periodic orbit.

Condition (a) says that f cannot be subjected to a two-interval renormalization: it is equivalent to requiring that the topological entropy $h(f)$ of f be greater than $\frac{1}{2} \log 2$. Conditions (b), (c), and (d) are satisfied

- by any C^3 unimodal map which has non-flat turning point and negative Schwarzian derivative, such as quadratic maps: both (b) and (c) are consequences of negative Schwarzian derivative, while (d) follows from the non-flat turning point (see [21] chapter IV); and
- by tent maps of slope greater than 1, which have no stable periodic orbits and no distinct points with the same itinerary.

An immediate consequence of (c) is that f has at most one stable periodic orbit; and a consequence of (b), (c) and (d) is that if $\kappa(f)$ is not a periodic sequence then distinct points cannot share a common itinerary.

Note that when we consider standard *families* of unimodal maps such as the quadratic family and the tent family, we can apply a parameter-dependent affine change of coordinates so that the dynamical interval is constant throughout the family.

The following notation will be useful:

Definition 2.9 (α , the point $\hat{x}$ symmetric to x). Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map with turning point c . We denote by α the unique point of $(c, b]$ with $f(\alpha) = f(a)$. If $x \in [a, \alpha]$, we denote by $\hat{x}$ the unique point of $[a, \alpha]$ which satisfies $f(\hat{x}) = f(x)$, and $\hat{x} \neq x$ unless $x = c$.

Some necessary technical lemmas about the dynamics of unimodal maps, whose proofs are routine, are presented in Appendix B.

2.2. Inverse limits. Let X be a compact metric space with metric d , and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be continuous and surjective. The *inverse limit* of $f : X \rightarrow X$ is the space

$$\varprojlim(X, f) = \{\mathbf{x} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : f(x_{i+1}) = x_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

We endow $\varprojlim(X, f)$ with a standard metric, also denoted d , which induces its natural topology as a subspace of the product $X^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_i, y_i)}{2^i}.$$

We denote elements of $\varprojlim(X, f)$ with angle brackets, $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ and refer to them as *threads*.

The *natural extension* of $f: X \rightarrow X$ is the homeomorphism $\widehat{f}: \varprojlim(X, f) \rightarrow \varprojlim(X, f)$ defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\langle x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle) = \langle f(x_0), x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle.$$

The following definition and theorem will play an important role in the paper.

Definition 2.10 (Near-homeomorphism). Let X be a compact metric space. A map $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a *near-homeomorphism* if it is the uniform limit of homeomorphisms.

Theorem 2.11 (Brown [16]). Let X be a compact metric space, and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a near-homeomorphism. Then $\varprojlim(X, f)$ is homeomorphic to X .

Note that the composition of a finite number of near-homeomorphisms is a near-homeomorphism.

2.3. Inverse limit attractors for unimodal maps: the Barge-Martin construction. Here we state some results from [10] and [14], restricted to the situation which is of interest in this paper. Throughout this section $f: I \rightarrow I$ is a unimodal map defined on the interval $I = [a, b]$. The aim is to embed the inverse limit $\varprojlim(I, f)$ in the disk, in such a way that it is a global attractor of a homeomorphism which restricts to the natural extension $\widehat{f}$ on $\varprojlim(I, f)$.

Let S be the circle obtained by gluing together two copies of I at their endpoints. We denote the points of S by x_u and x_ℓ for $x \in I$, depending on whether they come from the ‘upper’ or ‘lower’ copy of I . We therefore have $a_u = a_\ell$ and $b_u = b_\ell$, and we will also denote these points of S with the symbols a and b respectively.

Let $D = S \times [0, 1]/\sim$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation which identifies $(x_u, 1)$ and $(x_\ell, 1)$ for each $x \in (a, b)$, with the quotient topology. Then D is a two-dimensional disk, which we endow with any metric d which induces its topology. We suppress the equivalence relation and describe points of D with “coordinates” $(y, s) \in S \times [0, 1]$; and we identify the boundary $\{(y, 0) : y \in S\}$, with S , and the subset $\{(x_u, 1) : x \in I\} = \{(x_\ell, 1) : x \in I\}$ with I .

D decomposes into a continuously varying family of arcs $\{\eta_y\}_{y \in S}$ defined by $\eta_y(s) = (y, s)$, with initial points $\eta_y(0) \in S$ and final points $\eta_y(1) \in I$, whose images are mutually disjoint except perhaps at their final points (see Figure 2).


Definitions 2.12 (The retraction τ and the smash Υ). The retraction $\tau: D \rightarrow I$ along these arcs is defined by $\tau(y, s) = (y, 1)$.

The *smash* $\Upsilon: D \rightarrow D$ is the near-homeomorphism defined by

$$\Upsilon(y, s) = \begin{cases} (y, 2s) & \text{if } s \in [0, 1/2], \\ (y, 1) & \text{if } s \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.13 (Unwrapping). An *unwrapping* of the unimodal map f is an orientation-preserving near-homeomorphism $\bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$ with the properties that

- a) $\bar{f}$ is injective on I , and $\bar{f}(I) \subseteq \{(y, s) : s \geq 1/2\}$,
- b) $\tau \circ \bar{f}|_I = f$, and
- c) For all $y \in S$ and all $s \in [0, 1/2]$, the second component of $\bar{f}(y, s)$ is s .


 FIGURE 2. The disk D and circle S , together with the arc decomposition $\{\eta_y\}_{y \in S}$

Given such an unwrapping, let $H = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$. Since H is a near-homeomorphism, the inverse limit $\hat{D} = \varprojlim(D, H)$ is a topological disk by Theorem 2.11. It has subsets

- $\hat{S} = \varprojlim(S, H)$, the boundary circle of $\hat{D}$ (which is a circle by Theorem 2.11, since H restricts to a near-homeomorphism of S); and
- $\hat{I} = \varprojlim(I, H) = \varprojlim(I, f)$, the two inverse limits being equal since $H|_I = f$ by Definition 2.13 (a) and (b).

Let $\hat{H}: \hat{D} \rightarrow \hat{D}$ be the natural extension, which we refer to as the *Barge-Martin homeomorphism* associated to the unwrapping $\bar{f}$. The following theorem, from [10], is a straightforward consequence of the facts that $H|_I = f$, and that for all $(y, s) \notin S$, there is some $r \geq 0$ with $H^r(y, s) \in I$.

Theorem 2.14.

- $\hat{H}|_{\hat{I}}: \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{I}$ is topologically conjugate to the natural extension $\hat{f}: \varprojlim(I, f) \rightarrow \varprojlim(I, f)$.
- For all $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{D} \setminus \hat{S}$, the ω -limit set $\omega(\mathbf{x}, \hat{H})$ is contained in $\hat{I}$. □

If we consider a parameterized family of unimodal maps, then the constructions above can be done in a continuous way. Let $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a continuously varying family of unimodal maps $I \rightarrow I$ (for each of which I is the dynamical interval); and suppose that unwrappings $\bar{f}_t$ of each f_t are chosen in such a way that $\{\bar{f}_t\}$ is a continuously varying family of near-homeomorphisms. Let $\hat{H}_t: \hat{D}_t \rightarrow \hat{D}_t$ be the natural extension of $H_t = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}_t: D \rightarrow D$; and let $\hat{I}_t = \varprojlim(I, H_t)$. A proof of the following result can be found in [14], see also [5].

Theorem 2.15. *There are homeomorphisms $h_t: \hat{D}_t \rightarrow D^2$ for each t (where D^2 is a standard model of the two-dimensional disk) such that*

- $h_t \circ \hat{H}_t \circ h_t^{-1}: D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ is a continuously varying family of homeomorphisms, and
- The attractors $h_t(\hat{I}_t)$ vary Hausdorff continuously with t . □

2.4. Independence of the unwrapping. In this paper we will carry out a careful construction of a specific unwrapping $\bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$, which will enable us to describe precisely the embedding of $\hat{I}$ in $\hat{D}$,

and hence the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$. A natural and important question is therefore the extent to which the results depend on the choice of unwrapping. Here we state a theorem whose consequence is that the results are, in fact, independent of the unwrapping.

If $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ are unwrappings of the same unimodal map f , with associated Barge-Martin homeomorphisms $\widehat{H}_0: \widehat{D}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{D}_0$ and $\widehat{H}_1: \widehat{D}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{D}_1$ then we can identify $\widehat{I} = \varprojlim(I, f) = \varprojlim(I, H_0) = \varprojlim(I, H_1)$ as a subset of both $\widehat{D}_0$ and $\widehat{D}_1$. We say that $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ are *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $\lambda: \widehat{D}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{D}_1$ which restricts to the identity on $\widehat{I}$. This means that $\widehat{I}$ is equivalently embedded in $\widehat{D}_0$ and $\widehat{D}_1$; and, since $\widehat{H}_0|_{\widehat{I}} = \widehat{H}_1|_{\widehat{I}} = \widehat{f}$, that λ conjugates the actions of $\widehat{H}_0$ and $\widehat{H}_1$ on $\widehat{I}$.

Theorem 2.16. *Any two unwrappings of a unimodal map f are equivalent.*

The proof can be found in Appendix A.

2.5. Prime ends. The first aim of this paper is to describe the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ for each unimodal map f . We now review the basic definitions and results in order to establish notation (see for example [31] for a more comprehensive introduction). Some straightforward variations from the standard presentation are needed since we work in the complement of a subcontinuum of the disk, rather than of the sphere as is normally the case. An alternative approach would be to identify the boundary $\widehat{S}$ of $\widehat{D}$ to a point. This would be more convenient from the point of view of the description of prime ends, and also in Section 5, but would complicate the exposition in other places.

Definitions 2.17 (Crosscut, $U(\xi)$, $\xi_2 < \xi_1$, chain, prime end, principal set, impression). A *crosscut* (in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$) is an arc ξ in $\widehat{D}$ which intersects $\widehat{I}$ exactly at the endpoints of ξ . Such a crosscut separates $\widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$ into exactly two components, since $\widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$ is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times [0, 1)$ — see Corollary 4.9 below. Write $U(\xi)$ for the component of $\widehat{D} \setminus (\widehat{I} \cup \xi)$ which is disjoint from $\widehat{S}$. If ξ_1 and ξ_2 are crosscuts, then we write $\xi_2 < \xi_1$ to mean that $U(\xi_2) \subset U(\xi_1)$. A *chain* is a sequence (ξ_k) of disjoint crosscuts with $\xi_{k+1} < \xi_k$ for each k and $\text{diam}(\xi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Two chains (ξ_k) and (ξ'_k) are *equivalent* if for each k there is some K with $\xi_K < \xi'_k$ and $\xi'_K < \xi_k$.

A *prime end* (of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$) is an equivalence class of chains of crosscuts in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime end of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$. The *principal set* $\Pi(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of points $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$ for which there is some chain (ξ_k) representing $\mathcal{P}$ with $d(\xi_k, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The *impression* $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \overline{U(\xi_k)},$$

where (ξ_k) is a chain representing $\mathcal{P}$ (the definition is clearly independent of the choice of chain). We therefore have

$$\emptyset \neq \Pi(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \widehat{I}$$

for each prime end $\mathcal{P}$.

According to Carathéodory's classification, a prime end $\mathcal{P}$ is of the

First kind: if $\Pi(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})$ is a point;

Second kind: if $\Pi(\mathcal{P})$ is a point and is strictly contained in $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})$.

Third kind: if $\Pi(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})$ is not a point; and

Fourth kind: if $\Pi(\mathcal{P})$ is not a point and is strictly contained in $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})$.

Definitions 2.18 ($\mathbb{P}$, $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$, topology on $\mathbb{P}$, prime end rotation number). Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$. There is a natural topology on $\mathbb{P}$, with respect to which it is a topological circle: a basis for this topology is given by the subsets $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$ of $\mathbb{P}$, defined for each crosscut ξ to consist of all of the prime ends defined by chains (ξ_k) with $\xi_k < \xi$ for some k .

The self-homeomorphism $\widehat{H}$ of $\widehat{D}$ induces a self-homeomorphism of $\mathbb{P}$ which sends the prime end represented by a chain (ξ_k) to the prime end represented by $(\widehat{H}(\xi_k))$. The *prime end rotation number* of $\widehat{H}: (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I}) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ is the Poincaré rotation number of this circle homeomorphism.

Remark 2.19. The topology on $\mathbb{P}$ is the subspace topology of a natural topology on $\mathbb{P} \cup \widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$, with respect to which this space is a (compact) annulus. In this paper we will only make use of the topology on the circle $\mathbb{P}$.

Definitions 2.20 (Ray, remainder, landing, accessible points, convergence of rays). A *ray* in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ is a continuous injective function $\sigma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$ with $d(\sigma(s), \widehat{I}) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. The *remainder* $\text{Rem}(\sigma)$ of σ is the set $\overline{\sigma([0, \infty))} \cap \widehat{I}$. We say that σ *lands* (and that its *landing point* is $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$) if $\text{Rem}(\sigma) = \{\mathbf{x}\}$. A point $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$ is *accessible* if it is the landing point of some ray.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime end defined by a chain (ξ_k) . A ray σ *converges to* $\mathcal{P}$ if for every k there is some t such that $\sigma([t, \infty)) \subset U(\xi_k)$: in particular, this means that the image of σ intersects ξ_k for all sufficiently large k . (This is the standard notion of convergence with respect to the topology alluded to in Remark 2.19.)

We will use the following, which is immediate from the definitions:

Lemma 2.21. *If a ray σ converges to a prime end $\mathcal{P}$ then $\Pi(\mathcal{P}) \subset \text{Rem}(\sigma)$. In particular, if σ lands at $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$, then $\Pi(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathbf{x}\}$. $\square$*

2.6. The height of a kneading sequence. *Height* is a function $q: \mathcal{KS} \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$, introduced in [24], which will play a central role in this paper. (Recall from Definition 2.7 that $\mathcal{KS}$ denotes the set of kneading sequences of unimodal maps.) We will see that, for each unimodal map f , the prime end rotation number of the associated homeomorphism $\widehat{H}: (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I}) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ is equal to $q(\kappa(f))$; and that the structure of the prime ends depends strongly on whether $q(\kappa(f))$ is rational or irrational.

Height is defined using certain words c_q associated to each rational $q \in (0, 1/2]$, which we now describe. These words, which are closely related to Sturmian sequences, were introduced by Holmes and Williams [25] in their work on knot types in suspensions of Smale's horseshoe map, and developed by the third author in [24]: they also appear in a paper of Barge and Diamond [8] on periodic orbits which are accessible from the complement of the attracting set of Hénon maps in cases where that attracting set is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of a unimodal map.

Definitions 2.22 (The integers $\kappa_i(q)$ and the words c_q). Let $q \in (0, 1/2]$, and let L_q be the straight line in the plane which passes through the origin and has slope q . For each $i \geq 1$, define $\kappa_i(q)$ to be two less than the number of vertical lines $x = \text{integer}$ which L_q intersects for $y \in [i - 1, i]$.

If $q = m/n$ is rational (throughout the paper, when we write m/n for a rational number, we always assume that m and n are coprime), define the word $c_q \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ by

$$c_q = 10^{\kappa_1(q)} 110^{\kappa_2(q)} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_m(q)} 1.$$

It is straightforward (see [24]) to obtain the following formula for $\kappa_i(q)$: if $q = m/n$ is rational, then

$$\kappa_i(q) = \begin{cases} \lfloor 1/q \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \text{ and} \\ \lfloor i/q \rfloor - \lfloor (i-1)/q \rfloor - 2 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq m, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer which does not exceed x . On the other hand, if q is irrational, then $\kappa_i(q)$ is given by (1) for all $i \geq 1$.

Remark 2.23. The fact that the formulae (1) do not give $\kappa_i(q)$ in the rational case $q = m/n$ when $i > m$ is irrelevant, since we only make use of $\kappa_i(q)$ for $i \leq m$.

Examples 2.24 (The words c_q). Figure 3 shows the line $L_{5/17}$ for $x \in [0, 17]$. The numbers of intersections with vertical coordinate lines for $y \in [i-1, i]$ are 4, 3, 4, 3, and 4 for $i = 1, i = 2, i = 3, i = 4$, and $i = 5$. Hence $\kappa_1(5/17) = \kappa_3(5/17) = \kappa_5(5/17) = 2$, while $\kappa_2(5/17) = \kappa_4(5/17) = 1$. Therefore $c_{5/17} = 100110110011011001$, a word of length 18.

More generally, the word c_q is clearly palindromic, and contains $n - 2m + 1$ zeroes divided ‘as even-handedly as possible’ into m (possibly empty) subwords, separated by 11. For example, for each $n \geq 2$ we have $c_{1/n} = 10^{n-1}1$; $c_{2/(2n+1)} = 10^{n-1}110^{n-1}1$; $c_{3/(3n+1)} = 10^{n-1}110^{n-2}110^{n-1}1$; and $c_{3/(3n+2)} = 10^{n-1}110^{n-1}110^{n-1}1$.




FIGURE 3. $c_{5/17} = 100110110011011001$

The next lemma, from [24], is essential for the definition of height.

Lemma 2.25. $(c_q 0)^\infty \in \mathcal{KS}$ for each rational $q \in (0, 1/2]$. Moreover, the function $(0, 1/2] \cap \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{KS}$ defined by $q \mapsto (c_q 0)^\infty$ is strictly decreasing with respect to the unimodal order on $\mathcal{KS}$. $\square$

Definition 2.26 (Height). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{KS}$. Then the *height* $q(\mu) \in [0, 1/2]$ of μ is given by

$$q(\mu) = \inf (\{q \in (0, 1/2] \cap \mathbb{Q} : (c_q 0)^\infty \prec \mu\} \cup \{1/2\}).$$

By Lemma 2.25, the height function $q: \mathcal{KS} \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$ is decreasing with respect to the unimodal order on $\mathcal{KS}$ and the usual order on $[0, 1/2]$. The next result, also from [24], describes the interval of kneading sequences with given rational height.

Definition 2.27 (The words w_q). For each $q = m/n \in (0, 1/2)$, define $w_q \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ to be the word obtained by deleting the last two symbols of c_q ; and $\hat{w}_q \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ to be the reverse of w_q .

Statements (a), (b), and (c) of the following lemma can be found in [24], while (d) is a consequence of results of [20].

Lemma 2.28 (Characterization of kneading sequences of given height).

(a) For each irrational $q \in [0, 1/2]$, there is a unique $\mu \in \mathcal{KS}$ with $q(\mu) = q$, namely

$$\mu = 10^{\kappa_1(q)} 110^{\kappa_2(q)} 110^{\kappa_3(q)} 11 \dots$$

(b) Let $\mu \in \mathcal{KS}$. Then $q(\mu) = 0$ if and only if $\mu = 10^\infty$; and $q(\mu) = 1/2$ if and only if $\mu \preceq 101^\infty$.

(c) Let $\mu \in \mathcal{KS}$ and $q = m/n \in (0, 1/2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then $q(\mu) = q$ if and only if

$$(w_q 1)^\infty \preceq \mu \preceq 10 (\widehat{w}_q 1)^\infty.$$

Moreover, if $\mu \neq (w_q 1)^\infty$ then c_q is an initial subword of μ ; and if μ is periodic, then either $\mu = (w_q 1)^\infty$ or $\mu = (w_q 0)^\infty$, or its period is at least $n + 2$.

(d) $10 (\widehat{w}_q 1)^\infty$ is pre-periodic to $(w_q 1)^\infty$: that is, there is some j with $\sigma^j((\widehat{w}_q 1)^\infty) = (w_q 1)^\infty$, where σ is the shift map.

□

By Lemma 2.28 (b), Condition (a) of Convention 2.8 says exactly that $q(\kappa(f)) \in [0, 1/2]$.

The endpoints of the intervals of kneading sequences of given rational height will play an important role, as will the kneading sequences $(c_q 0)^\infty$ used in the definition of height. The acronym NBT in the following notation stands for ‘no bogus transitions’ and reflects the original motivation of height.

Definitions 2.29 ($\text{lhe}(q)$, $\text{rhe}(q)$, $\text{NBT}(q)$, $\mathcal{KS}(q)$). Let $q \in (0, 1/2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. We write $\text{lhe}(q) = (w_q 1)^\infty$, $\text{rhe}(q) = 10 (\widehat{w}_q 1)^\infty$, and $\text{NBT}(q) = (c_q 0)^\infty$. We write $\mathcal{KS}(q)$ for the set of kneading sequences μ with height q (i.e. with $\text{lhe}(q) \preceq \mu \preceq \text{rhe}(q)$). In the special case $q = 0$, we write $\text{lhe}(q) = 10^\infty$ and $\text{rhe}(q)$ is undefined.

Example 2.30. Let $q = 2/7$, with $c_q = 10011001$, $w_q = 100110$, and $\widehat{w}_q = 011001$. Then we have $\text{lhe}(2/7) = (1001101)^\infty$, $\text{rhe}(2/7) = 10 (0110011)^\infty$, and $\text{NBT}(2/7) = (100110010)^\infty$. A kneading sequence μ lies in $\mathcal{KS}(2/7)$ if and only if $(1001101)^\infty \preceq \mu \preceq 10 (0110011)^\infty$.

In addition to the characterization of Lemma 2.28, there is a straightforward algorithm which calculates $q(\mu)$ for any kneading sequence which has rational height: see Section 3.2 of [24]¹

As stated at the beginning of this section, the structure of the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ depends on whether $q(\kappa(f))$ is rational or irrational. The rational case $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$ also splits into two subcases: one in which $\kappa(f)$ is either an endpoint of $\mathcal{KS}(m/n)$ or is equal to $(w_q 0)^\infty$ (a consecutive kneading sequence to $\text{lhe}(m/n)$), and one in which neither of these happens. The endpoint case further splits into three subcases which, while they yield the same results, are analyzed in slightly different ways. These observations motivate the following definitions (see Figure 4).

Definitions 2.31 (Irrational and rational types; interior and endpoint types; early, strict, and late types; general and NBT types). We say that a unimodal map f is of *irrational type* or of *rational type* according as $q(\kappa(f))$ is irrational or rational.

¹A script to carry out this calculation can be found at <http://www.maths.liv.ac.uk/cgi-bin/tobyhall/horseshoe>

In the rational case, with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in (0, 1/2)$, we say that f is of *(rational) endpoint type* if $\kappa(f) \in \{\text{lhe}(m/n), (w_q 0)^\infty, \text{rhe}(m/n)\}$; and is of *(rational) interior type* otherwise.

In the rational endpoint case we say that f is of *early endpoint type* if $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ but $f^n(a) \neq a$; of *strict endpoint type* if $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ and $f^n(a) = a$, or $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$; and of *late endpoint type* if $\kappa(f) = (w_q 0)^\infty$.

In the rational interior case we say that f is of *(rational) NBT type* if $f^{n+2}(c) = c$ — in which case $\kappa(f) = \text{NBT}(m/n)$ — and of *(rational) general type* otherwise.

In the special case $m/n = 0$ (i.e. $\kappa(f) = 10^\infty$), we declare f to be of strict endpoint type.

Remark 2.32. To explain the terminology, consider a full monotonic family $\{f_t\}$ of unimodal maps such as the quadratic family, and let $t_1 = \inf\{t : \kappa(f_t) = \text{lhe}(m/n)\}$. Then a saddle-node bifurcation occurs at $t = t_1$ creating a semi-stable period n orbit, which contains a point of itinerary $\text{lhe}(m/n)$ and attracts the orbit of the critical point. As t increases, this periodic orbit splits into a stable-unstable pair of periodic orbits, both containing points of itinerary $\text{lhe}(m/n)$. We follow this pair of periodic orbits until at $t = t_2$ the stable orbit contains the critical point. We still have $\kappa(f_{t_2}) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$, but now $f_{t_2}^n(a) = a$. When we increase the parameter further, the stable periodic orbit passes through the critical point and the kneading sequence becomes $(c_q 0)^\infty$. Therefore f_t is of early endpoint type for $t \in [t_1, t_2)$, of strict endpoint type for $t = t_2$, and of late endpoint type for $t > t_2$ sufficiently close to t_2 . There is no corresponding distinction at the right hand endpoint of the height interval since, by Convention 2.8, if $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$ then $f(a)$ is necessarily periodic of period n .

In the tent family, by contrast, $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ only if $f^n(a) = a$, and $\kappa(f)$ is never equal to $(c_q 0)^\infty$. Therefore only the strict endpoint case occurs.

The reason for the distinction between rational general and rational NBT types will become apparent in Section 5.




FIGURE 4. Types of unimodal maps

3. THE UNWRAPPING

In this section we construct an explicit unwrapping $\bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$ of the unimodal map $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$. This construction provides explicit descriptions of the disk $\hat{D}$, the embedded inverse limit $\hat{I}$, and the homeomorphism $\hat{H}: \hat{D} \rightarrow \hat{D}$ which restricts to the natural extension $\hat{f}$ on $\hat{I}$. These will be used in Section 4 to analyze the prime ends of $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$.

The construction proceeds in two steps. In Section 3.1 we recall from [20] the *outside map* $B: S \rightarrow S$ corresponding to f , which is obtained by “fattening up” the interval to give it some two-dimensional structure. The unwrapping $\bar{f}$ itself is then constructed in Section 3.2. It is the product of the outside map and the identity on the annulus $S \times [0, 1/2] \subset D$, and is gradually changed in $S \times [1/2, 1]$

(Definition 3.2) so that it satisfies the conditions of an unwrapping (Lemma 3.4). We finish with a description of the elements of $\widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$ (Definition 3.5 and Lemma 3.6).

3.1. The outside map. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map with turning point $c \in (a, b)$. Recall that we denote by α the unique point of $(c, b]$ with $f(\alpha) = f(a)$.

Recall from Section 2.3 that S denotes the circle obtained by gluing together two copies of I at their endpoints; that points of S are denoted x_u or x_ℓ for $x \in I$; and that we write $a = a_u = a_\ell$ and $b = b_u = b_\ell \in S$. We will use standard interval notation (x, y) , $[x, y]$, etc. for subintervals of S , the interval consisting of the arc which goes counterclockwise, in the model of Figure 2, from the first point listed to the second. Thus, for example, the interval $[a, b]$ contains x_ℓ for all $x \in I$, while the interval $[b, a]$ contains x_u for all $x \in I$.

The intuitive motivation for the definition of the outside map $B: S \rightarrow S$ is illustrated in Figure 5. We add some two-dimensional structure to the unimodal map f as depicted on the left of the figure, regarding the image of $[a, c]$ as lying underneath the image of $(c, b]$. Then points which are *above* the interval, lying in (a, α) , get folded into the interior – that is, they no longer remain on the outside. These points correspond to the interior of the interval $\gamma = [\alpha_u, a]$ in S depicted on the right hand side of the figure, which is collapsed to a point by the outside map. Other points above the interval, and all points below the interval, remain on the outside after one iteration, with points below $[a, c]$ and above $[\alpha, b]$ being sent below the interval, and points below $(c, b]$ being sent above the interval.




FIGURE 5. The outside map B corresponding to a unimodal map f

This intuition leads to the following definition:

Definition 3.1 (The outside map). Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map. The *outside map* $B: S \rightarrow S$ corresponding to f is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_\ell) &= f(x)_\ell & \text{if } x \in [a, c] \\ B(x_\ell) &= f(x)_u & \text{if } x \in [c, b] \\ B(x_u) &= f(x)_\ell & \text{if } x \in [\alpha, b], \text{ and} \\ B(x_u) &= f(a)_\ell & \text{for all } x \in [a, \alpha]. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The dynamics of the outside map will play a key role in the paper, and is discussed in detail in Section 4.4 below. For now we note that, by the first three equations of (2),

$$\tau \circ B(y) = f \circ \tau(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in S \setminus \overset{\circ}{\gamma}, \tag{3}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\gamma} = (\alpha_u, a)$ and $\tau: D \rightarrow I$ is the retraction of Definition 2.12, satisfying $\tau(x_\ell) = \tau(x_u) = x$.

3.2. The unwrapping. We now use the outside map to define an unwrapping of the unimodal map f . Figure 6 shows the disk D , the interval $I \subset D$, the circle $S \times \{1/2\}$ (dashed line), and segments of some of the arcs η_y (dotted lines). It also depicts an interval J with endpoints $(f(a)_\ell, 1/2)$ and $(b, 1)$. The unwrapping will be constructed so that as x runs from a to c , $\bar{f}(x_\ell, 1)$ runs along J with $\tau(\bar{f}(x_\ell, 1)) = (f(x), 1)$; while as x runs from c to b , $\bar{f}(x_\ell, 1) = (f(x), 1) \in I$. The interval J is defined by $J = \{(\phi(s)_\ell, s) : s \in [1/2, 1]\}$, where ϕ is the affine map of Definition 3.2 below.




FIGURE 6. Construction of the unwrapping $\bar{f}$

Definition 3.2 (The map $\phi: [1/2, 1] \rightarrow [f(a), b]$ and the unwrapping $\bar{f}$ of a unimodal map f). Let $\phi: [1/2, 1] \rightarrow [f(a), b]$ be the affine map

$$\phi(s) = f(a) + (2s - 1)(b - f(a))$$

with $\phi(1/2) = f(a)$ and $\phi(1) = b$. We define $\bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$ as follows:

(U1) $\bar{f}(y, s) = (B(y), s)$ for all $(y, s) \in S \times [0, 1/2]$.

(U2) If $y \in [c_\ell, \alpha_u]$ then $\bar{f}(y, s) = (B(y), s)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

(U3) If $y \in [\alpha_u, c_u]$ then

$$\bar{f}(y, s) = \begin{cases} (\phi(s)_\ell, s) & \text{if } s \in [1/2, \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))], \\ (f(\tau(y))_\ell, s) & \text{if } s \in [\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y))), 1]. \end{cases}$$

(U4) If $y \in [c_u, a]$ then

$$\bar{f}(y, s) = \begin{cases} (\phi(s)_\ell, s) & \text{if } s \in [1/2, \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))], \\ (f(\tau(y))_\ell, \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))) & \text{if } s \in [\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y))), 1]. \end{cases}$$

(U5) If $y \in [a, c_\ell]$ then

$$\bar{f}(y, s) = \begin{cases} (B(y), s) & \text{if } s \in [1/2, \phi^{-1}(\tau(B(y)))], \\ (B(y), \phi^{-1}(\tau(B(y)))) & \text{if } s \in [\phi^{-1}(\tau(B(y))), 1]. \end{cases}$$

Remarks 3.3.

- (a) If $y \notin \dot{\gamma}$ then the first component of $\bar{f}(y, s)$ is equal to $B(y)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$ by (U1), (U2), and (U5).
- (b) When parsing this definition, it is helpful to recall that, in order for $\bar{f}$ to be an unwrapping, we must have $\tau(\bar{f}(y, 1)) = f(\tau(y))$ for each $y \in S$ (Definition 2.13 (b)). The value $s = \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))$ which appears in (U3), (U4), and (U5) — noting that in (U5) we have $y \notin \dot{\gamma}$, so that $\tau(B(y)) = f(\tau(y))$ by (3) — is the parameter of the point j_y of J which retracts to $f(\tau(y))$: therefore $\bar{f}(y, 1)$ must lie on the decomposition arc η which passes through this point. According to the definition,
- (U3) When $y \in [\alpha_u, c_u]$, the path $\{\bar{f}(y, s) : s \in [1/2, 1]\}$ moves along J from $(f(a)_\ell, 1/2)$ until it reaches j_y , and then moves along η until it reaches I ;
- (U4) When $y \in [c_u, a]$, the path $\{\bar{f}(y, s) : s \in [1/2, 1]\}$ moves along J from $(f(a)_\ell, 1/2)$ until it reaches j_y , and then remains at this point;
- (U5) When $y \in [a, c_\ell]$, the path $\{\bar{f}(y, s) : s \in [1/2, 1]\}$ moves along η from $(B(y), 1/2)$ until it reaches j_y , and then remains at this point.

Lemma 3.4. $\bar{f}$ is an unwrapping of f .

Proof. A theorem of Youngs [43] states that any continuous monotone surjection $D \rightarrow D$ is a near-homeomorphism. Therefore $\bar{f}$ is a near-homeomorphism (which is clearly orientation-preserving), since the preimage of each point of D under $\bar{f}$ is either a point or an arc. In fact, the only points of D whose preimages are not points are

- For each $s \in [0, 1/2)$, the point $(f(a)_\ell, s)$, whose preimage is the arc $[\alpha_u, a] \times \{s\}$, and
- For each $s \in [1/2, 1)$, the point $(\phi(s)_\ell, s)$ of J , whose preimage is the arc

$$[f_+^{-1}(\phi(s))_u, f_-^{-1}(\phi(s))_u] \times \{s\} \cup \{f_-^{-1}(\phi(s))_u\} \times [s, 1] \cup \{f_-^{-1}(\phi(s))_\ell\} \times [s, 1].$$

Here f_+^{-1} and f_-^{-1} denote the branches of f^{-1} which take values in $[a, c]$ and in $[c, b]$ respectively. The first set in this union comes from (U3) and (U4), the second from (U4), and the third from (U5).

Moreover, $\bar{f}$ satisfies condition a) of Definition 2.13, since $\bar{f}|_I$ is injective, with $\bar{f}(y, 1) \in I \cup J$ for all $y \in S$ by (U2)–(U5); it satisfies condition b) since $\tau \circ \bar{f}(y, 1) = f \circ \tau(y, 1)$ for all $y \in S$ by (U2)–(U5) and (3); and it satisfies condition c) by (U1). It is therefore an unwrapping of f as required. $\square$

As in Section 2.3, set $H = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$, where Υ is the smash of Definition 2.12, and observe that $H|_S = B$ and $H|_I = f$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D} &= \varprojlim(D, H) \quad (\text{a topological disk}), \\ \widehat{S} &= \varprojlim(S, H) = \varprojlim(S, B) \quad (\text{the boundary circle of } \widehat{D}), \\ \widehat{I} &= \varprojlim(I, H) = \varprojlim(I, f), \quad \text{and} \\ U &= \widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\widehat{H}: \widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ be the natural extension of $H: D \rightarrow D$, so that $\widehat{H}|_{\widehat{I}} = \widehat{f}$, the natural extension of $f: I \rightarrow I$, and $\widehat{H}|_{\widehat{S}} = \widehat{B}$, the natural extension of $B: S \rightarrow S$.

We next introduce some notation for the elements of U . We will use that if $y \in S$ and $s \in [0, 1/2)$, then $H(y, s) = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}(y, s) = \Upsilon(B(y), s) = (B(y), 2s)$.

Definition 3.5 (Threads $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, k)$ in U).

(a) For each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$ and $s \in [0, 1)$, define

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s) = \langle (y_0, s), (y_1, s/2), (y_2, s/4), \dots \rangle \in U. \quad (4)$$

(b) For each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, $s \in [1/2, 1)$, and $k \geq 0$, define

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, k) = \langle f^k(H(y_0, s)), \dots, f(H(y_0, s)), H(y_0, s), (y_0, s), (y_1, s/2), (y_2, s/4), \dots \rangle \in U. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 3.6. *Every element of U is equal to exactly one of the threads of Definition 3.5.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in U$. Since $\mathbf{x} \notin \widehat{I}$, there is some least $k \geq 0$ with $x_k \notin I$: therefore $x_k = (y, s)$ for some $y \in S$ and $s \in [0, 1)$.

If $k = 0$ then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s)$, where y_i is the first component of x_i for each i . On the other hand, if $k \geq 1$ then, since $H(x_k) \in I$, we have $s \in [1/2, 1)$; and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, k - 1)$ where y_i is the first component of x_{k+i} for each i . $\square$

The interesting entry of the threads $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, k)$ is $H(y_0, s) \in I$, which is where the transition takes place from the dynamics of the outside map to the dynamics of the unimodal map.

Remark 3.7. The unwrapping $\bar{f}$ varies continuously with the unimodal map f . It follows from Theorem 2.15 that if $\{f_t\}$ is a continuously varying family of unimodal maps, then the disks constructed above can be identified with a standard model in such a way that the homeomorphisms $\widehat{H}_t$ and the attractors $\widehat{I}_t$ vary continuously.

4. CALCULATION OF PRIME ENDS

In this section we will determine the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ for every unimodal map f satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8. The main tool is an explicit homeomorphism Ψ from the half-open annulus $A = \widehat{S} \times [0, \infty)$ to $U = \widehat{D} \setminus \widehat{I}$, which is defined in Section 4.1. We will see that Ψ conjugates $\widehat{H}|_U$ to the product of $\widehat{B}$ and a simple push on A (Corollary 4.10). The topological complexity of the embedding of $\widehat{I}$ in $\widehat{D}$ is therefore encoded in the behavior of Ψ as we approach the boundary $\widehat{S} \times \{\infty\}$ at infinity.

In Section 4.2 we define the *locally uniformly landing set* $\mathcal{R}$, a subset of $\widehat{S}$ with the property that Ψ extends tamely over $\mathcal{R} \times [0, \infty]$. In Section 4.3 we impose some additional conditions (which we show later are always satisfied), and use these to construct a homeomorphism between $\widehat{S}$ and the circle $\mathbb{P}$ of prime ends.

The structure of the locally uniformly landing set for each specific unimodal map f depends on the dynamics of the outside map $B: S \rightarrow S$, which is discussed in Section 4.4. Armed with the results of that section, we will be able to complete the calculation of prime ends. The details of this calculation

depend on whether f is of irrational type, of rational interior type, or of rational endpoint type, and these cases are presented in Sections 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7 respectively.

4.1. The homeomorphism $\Psi: A \rightarrow U$.

Definitions 4.1 ($A, \bar{A}, \hat{S}_\infty, X_\infty$, the push λ , the homeomorphisms $G: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ and $G: A \rightarrow A$).

Write $A = \hat{S} \times [0, \infty)$, $\bar{A} = \hat{S} \times [0, \infty]$, and $\hat{S}_\infty = \hat{S} \times \{\infty\} \subset \bar{A}$. Similarly, for any subset X of $\hat{S}$, we write $X_\infty = X \times \{\infty\} \subset \hat{S}_\infty$.

Let $\lambda: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by

$$\lambda(s) = \begin{cases} 2s & \text{if } s \in [0, 1], \\ s + 1 & \text{if } s \in [1, \infty), \\ \infty & \text{if } s = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $G: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ be the homeomorphism defined by $G(\mathbf{y}, s) = (\hat{B}(\mathbf{y}), \lambda(s))$. We denote the restriction to A with the same symbol, $G: A \rightarrow A$.

In this section we define an explicit homeomorphism $\Psi: A \rightarrow U$, which is constructed in such a way that it conjugates the product map $G: A \rightarrow A$ to $\hat{H}: U \rightarrow U$, thereby providing a coordinate system on U in which the action of $\hat{H}$ is very easy to understand. We will see in subsequent sections that Ψ extends over an open dense subset of the circle $\hat{S}_\infty$ as a homeomorphism into $\hat{D}$. The non-trivial prime ends of $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$ can be understood in terms of the action of Ψ on rays in A which converge to points of $\hat{S}_\infty$ near which Ψ is not well behaved.

The surjectivity of Ψ will be an immediate consequence of its definition (Lemma 4.7). To show that it is continuous and injective, we first establish that it semi-conjugates G and $\hat{H}$ (Lemma 4.8), and then use this semi-conjugacy to extend the obvious continuity and injectivity on $\hat{S} \times [0, 1)$ over the rest of A (Corollary 4.9).

In order to define Ψ , it will be convenient to introduce the following notation (in which it should be noted carefully that v is *not* the fractional part of s).

Definition 4.2 (Splitting a parameter into parts). We define $P: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times [1/2, 1)$ by $P(s) = (t, v)$, where $t = \lfloor s \rfloor$ is the integer part of s and $v = (u + 1)/2$, where $u = s - t$ is the fractional part of s .

Definition 4.3 ($\Psi: A \rightarrow U$). Define $\Psi: A \rightarrow U$ by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s) & \text{if } s \in [0, 1), \\ \mathbf{T}(\hat{B}^{-t}(\mathbf{y}), v, t - 1), & \text{where } (t, v) = P(s), \text{ if } s \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Substituting (5) into the formula for $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ in the case $s \geq 1$ yields the useful alternative expression

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) = \langle f^{t-1}(H(y_t, v)), \dots, f(H(y_t, v)), H(y_t, v), (y_t, v), (y_{t+1}, v/2), \dots \rangle \quad \text{when } s \geq 1. \quad (7)$$

Therefore the number of entries of $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ which are in I is equal to the integer part t of s . We will frequently use the following immediate consequence of (7):

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_r = f^{t-1-r}(H(y_t, v)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq t - 1, \text{ where } P(s) = (t, v). \quad (8)$$

When $y \in \gamma$, the definition of $\bar{f}(y, s)$, and hence of $H(y, s)$, depends on whether s is smaller or greater than $\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))$ (see (U3) and (U4) of Definition 3.2). By (7), the behavior of $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ therefore depends on whether $v = (u + 1)/2$ is smaller or greater than this value; that is, on whether the fractional part u of s is smaller or greater than $2\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y_t))) - 1$. This value will frequently be significant in the remainder of the paper, and the following notation will be useful.

Definition 4.4 (The function $u: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$). Define $u: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$u(y) = \begin{cases} 2\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y))) - 1 & \text{if } y \in \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular $u(c_u) = 1$ and $u(a) = u(\alpha_u) = 0$. The following lemma gives the key property of the function u .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $y \in S$ and $v \in [1/2, 1)$, and write $u = 2v - 1 \in [0, 1)$. Then*

$$H(y, v) = \begin{cases} f(\tau(y)) & \text{if } u \geq u(y), \\ \phi(v) & \text{if } u < u(y). \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $y \notin \gamma$ then necessarily $u \geq u(y)$, and $H(y, v) = \tau(B(y)) = f(\tau(y))$ by Remark 3.3 (a) and (3).

If $y \in \gamma$ and $u \geq u(y)$, then $v \geq \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))$, and hence the first component of $\bar{f}(y, v)$ is $f(\tau(y))_\ell$ by (U3) and (U4) of Definition 3.2. Therefore $H(y, v) = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}(y, v) = f(\tau(y))$ as required.

If $y \in \gamma$ and $u < u(y)$, then $v < \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))$, and hence the first component of $\bar{f}(y, v)$ is $\phi(v)_\ell$ by (U3) and (U4) of Definition 3.2. Therefore $H(y, v) = \phi(v)$ as required. $\square$

Remark 4.6. If $y \in \gamma$ and $u = u(y)$ then $H(y, v) = f(\tau(y)) = \phi(v)$. On the other hand, if $y \notin \gamma$ and $u = u(y) = 0$, then it need not be the case that $H(y, v) = \phi(v)$: we have $H(y, v) = f(\tau(y))$, while $\phi(v) = \phi(1/2) = f(a)$.

Lemma 4.7. $\Psi: A \rightarrow U$ is surjective.

Proof. Recall (Lemma 3.6) that every element of U is either of the form $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s)$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$ and $s \in [0, 1)$; or of the form $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, k)$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, $s \in [1/2, 1)$ and $k \geq 0$. In the former case we have $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s) = \Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$; while in the latter case $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, k) = \Psi(\widehat{B}^{k+1}(\mathbf{y}), k + 1 + (2s - 1))$ by direct substitution into (6), since $P(k + 1 + (2s - 1)) = (k + 1, s)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. $\widehat{H} \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ G: A \rightarrow U$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward calculation by cases. Let $(\mathbf{y}, s) \in A$.

a) If $s \in [0, 1/2)$ then $H(y_0, s) = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}(y_0, s) = (B(y_0), 2s)$ by (U1) of Definition 3.2 and Definition 2.12. Therefore

$$\widehat{H}(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)) = \widehat{H}(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s)) = \langle (B(y_0), 2s), (y_0, s), (y_1, s/2), \dots \rangle = \mathbf{T}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y}), 2s) = \Psi(G(\mathbf{y}, s)).$$

b) If $s \in [1/2, 1)$ then $P(\lambda(s)) = P(2s) = P(1 + (2s - 1)) = (1, s)$, so that

$$\Psi(G(\mathbf{y}, s)) = \Psi(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y}), \lambda(s)) = \mathbf{T}(\widehat{B}^{-1}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y})), s, 0) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{y}, s, 0) = \langle H(y_0, s), (y_0, s), \dots \rangle = \widehat{H}(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)).$$

c) If $s \in [1, \infty)$ then $\lambda(s) = s + 1$, so that if $P(s) = (t, v)$ then $P(\lambda(s)) = (t + 1, v)$. Therefore

$$\Psi(G(\mathbf{y}, s)) = \Psi(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y}), \lambda(s)) = \mathbf{T}(\widehat{B}^{-(t+1)}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y})), v, t) = \mathbf{T}(\widehat{B}^{-t}(\mathbf{y}), v, t) = \widehat{H}(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)),$$

since $H(f^{t-1}(H(y_t, v))) = f^t(H(y_t, v))$.

□

Corollary 4.9. $\Psi: A \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. For each $N \geq 1$, the restriction of G^{-N} to $\widehat{S} \times [0, N + 1)$ is a homeomorphism onto $\widehat{S} \times [0, 1)$. Lemma 4.8 gives

$$\Psi|_{\widehat{S} \times [0, N+1)} = \widehat{H}^N \circ \Psi|_{\widehat{S} \times [0, 1)} \circ G^{-N}|_{\widehat{S} \times [0, N+1)}.$$

Since Ψ is evidently continuous and injective on $\widehat{S} \times [0, 1)$, it is continuous and injective on $\widehat{S} \times [0, N + 1)$ for each N , and hence on A . Therefore (using Lemma 4.7) Ψ is a continuous bijection.

Ψ^{-1} is clearly continuous on $\Psi(\widehat{S} \times [0, 1))$, and it is continuous on $\Psi(\widehat{S} \times (0, \infty))$ by invariance of domain. □

Combining Lemma 4.8 and Corollary 4.9 gives:

Corollary 4.10. Ψ is a topological conjugacy between $G: A \rightarrow A$ and $\widehat{H}: U \rightarrow U$. □

4.2. Extension to the circle at infinity. We now investigate the extension of Ψ to points $(\mathbf{y}, \infty) \in \widehat{S}_\infty$.

Definitions 4.11 (The rays $R_{\mathbf{y}}$, the landing set $\mathcal{L}$, the landing function $\omega: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$, $\Psi: A \cup \mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \widehat{D}$). For each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, let $R_{\mathbf{y}}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$ be the ray defined by $R_{\mathbf{y}}(s) = \Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$. Define the *landing set* $\mathcal{L} \subset \widehat{S}$ to be the set of $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$ for which $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ lands at a point of $\widehat{I}$; and let $\omega: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ denote the *landing function*, which takes each $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$ to the landing point of $R_{\mathbf{y}}$. We extend $\Psi: A \rightarrow U$ to a function $\Psi: A \cup \mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ by setting $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, \infty) = \omega(\mathbf{y})$ for each $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$.

The main results of this section are:

- (a) If all of the entries of the thread $\mathbf{y}$ after the $(N + 1)^{\text{st}}$ lie in $S \setminus \dot{\gamma}$, then the first $N + 1$ entries of the thread $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ are independent of s , provided that $s \geq N + 1$ (Lemma 4.13). In particular (Corollary 4.14), $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$. For this reason we say that an element $\mathbf{y}$ of $\widehat{S}$ satisfying this condition is *landing of level N* . We also show (Lemma 4.16) that the landing function ω is injective on the set of all points which are landing of some level.
- (b) If all threads sufficiently close to $\mathbf{y}$ are also landing of level N (that is, if $\mathbf{y}$ has a locally uniformly landing neighborhood), then the landing function ω is continuous at $\mathbf{y}$ (see Lemma 4.17 and Corollary 4.19).

Definitions 4.12 (Landing, $\mathcal{L}_N$, uniformly landing, locally uniformly landing set $\mathcal{R}$). Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$ is *landing of level N* if $y_i \notin \dot{\gamma}$ for all $i > N$; and we write $\mathcal{L}_N \subset \widehat{S}$ for the set of such points. (Therefore $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_2 \subset \dots$.) We say that a subset J of $\widehat{S}$ is *uniformly landing (of level N)* if $J \subset \mathcal{L}_N$. We write $\mathcal{R}$ for the set of elements of $\widehat{S}$ which have a uniformly landing neighborhood.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}_N$, and let $s \geq N + 1$. Then, writing $P(s) = (t, v)$,*

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) = \langle f^N(\tau(y_N)), \dots, f(\tau(y_N)), \tau(y_N), \tau(y_{N+1}), \dots, \tau(y_{t-2}), \tau(y_{t-1}), (y_t, v), (y_{t+1}, v/2), \dots \rangle.$$

Proof. We have $t \geq N + 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) &= \langle f^{t-1}(H(y_t, v)), \dots, f(H(y_t, v)), H(y_t, v), (y_t, v), (y_{t+1}, v/2), \dots \rangle \\ &= \langle f^{t-1}(\tau(y_{t-1})), \dots, f(\tau(y_{t-1})), \tau(y_{t-1}), (y_t, v), (y_{t+1}, v/2), \dots \rangle \\ &= \langle f^N(\tau(y_N)), \dots, f(\tau(y_N)), \tau(y_N), \tau(y_{N+1}), \dots, \tau(y_{t-2}), \tau(y_{t-1}), (y_t, v), (y_{t+1}, v/2), \dots \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as required. Here the first equality is (7); for the second, we use Remark 3.3 (a) to give that $\bar{f}(y_t, v)_1 = B(y_t) = y_{t-1}$, since $y_t \notin \hat{\gamma}$, so that $H(y_t, v) = \Upsilon(\bar{f}(y_t, v)) = \tau(y_{t-1})$; and for the third, we use that $f(\tau(y_i)) = \tau(B(y_i)) = \tau(y_{i-1})$ for all $i > N$ by (3), since $y_i \notin \hat{\gamma}$ for these values of i . $\square$

Corollary 4.14. *Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}_N$. Then $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$ and*

$$\omega(\mathbf{y}) = \langle f^N(\tau(y_N)), \dots, f(\tau(y_N)), \tau(y_N), \tau(y_{N+1}), \tau(y_{N+2}), \dots \rangle. \quad (9)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L}$. $\square$

Remark 4.15. Therefore $\bigcup_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_N \subset \mathcal{L}$. We will see later that these two sets are equal, except in the late endpoint case: see Remark 4.70.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $\mathcal{L}' = \bigcup_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_N$. Then $\omega: \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \hat{I}$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{L}'$ be such that $\omega(\mathbf{y}) = \omega(\mathbf{z})$. Pick N such that $y_i, z_i \notin \hat{\gamma}$ for all $i > N$. Then $\tau(y_{N+r}) = \tau(z_{N+r})$ for all $r \geq 0$ by (9). However, at least one of any two successive entries of a thread of $\hat{I}$ must lie in $[a, \alpha]$ (as $f([\alpha, b]) = [a, f(a)]$, and $f(a) < \alpha$ since $\kappa(f) \succ 101^\infty$). Since $y_{N+r}, z_{N+r} \notin \hat{\gamma}$ for all r , it follows that $y_{N+r} = z_{N+r}$ for arbitrarily large r , so that $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 4.17. *Let J be a uniformly landing subset of $\hat{S}$. Then $\Psi|_{J \times [0, \infty]}$ is continuous.*

Proof. Since Ψ is continuous on U (Corollary 4.9), it suffices to prove continuity at points of J_∞ . So let $\mathbf{y} \in J$, and let N be such that $J \subset \mathcal{L}_N$. Pick sequences $\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$ in J and $s^{(i)} \rightarrow \infty$ in $[0, \infty)$.

Let $P(s^{(i)}) = (t^{(i)}, v^{(i)})$. Lemma 4.13 gives that, for sufficiently large i ,

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}, s^{(i)}) = \langle f^N(\tau(y_N^{(i)})), \dots, f(\tau(y_N^{(i)})), \tau(y_N^{(i)}), \tau(y_{N+1}^{(i)}), \dots, \tau(y_{t^{(i)}-2}^{(i)}), \tau(y_{t^{(i)}-1}^{(i)}), (y_{t^{(i)}}^{(i)}, v^{(i)}), \dots \rangle,$$

which converges to $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, \infty) = \omega(\mathbf{y})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, it follows from (9) that $\Psi(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}, \infty) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathbf{y}, \infty)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

If J is uniformly landing but not open in $\hat{S}$, then Ψ (as opposed to its restriction to J_∞) may not be continuous at $(\mathbf{y}, \infty)$ when $\mathbf{y}$ is a boundary point of J . However, Ψ is continuous at interior points of J_∞ , and in particular is continuous at $(\mathbf{y}, \infty)$ for all $\mathbf{y}$ in the locally uniformly landing set $\mathcal{R}$.

The following immediate corollary, which will be used frequently in the remainder of the paper, states that Ψ extends continuously and injectively from the annulus A over the locally uniformly landing set at ∞ . We will see later that this is the maximal set over which Ψ has such an extension.

Definition 4.18 ($\tilde{A}$). Let $\tilde{A} = A \cup \mathcal{R}_\infty \subset \bar{A}$.

Corollary 4.19. $\Psi: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \hat{D}$ is injective and continuous. In particular, its restriction to any compact subset of $\tilde{A}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Proof. Ψ is injective since it is injective on A and on $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ (Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 4.16), and $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) \in \hat{I}$ if and only if $s = \infty$. It is continuous since it is continuous on U (Corollary 4.9) and on $\mathcal{R} \times [0, \infty]$ (Lemma 4.17). $\square$

So far in this section we have been concerned with the behavior of $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Our final result is a technical lemma with a different flavor: it states that if there are several consecutive entries in a thread $\mathbf{y}$ which do not lie in $\hat{\gamma}$, then one entry (and hence all earlier entries) of the thread $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ is constant for s in a corresponding interval.

Lemma 4.20. Let $\mathbf{y} \in \hat{S}$, and suppose that $r \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ are such that $y_{r+i} \notin \hat{\gamma}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{r-1} = f(\tau(y_r)) \quad \text{for all } s \in [r + u(y_r), r + k + 1].$$

In particular, if $y_{r+i} \notin \hat{\gamma}$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{r-1} = f(\tau(y_r))$ for all $s \geq r + u(y_r)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $s \in [r + u(y_r), r + 1]$, so that $P(s) = (r, v)$ for some $v \geq \frac{u(y_r)+1}{2}$. Then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{r-1} = H(y_r, v)$ by (8); and $H(y_r, v) = f(\tau(y_r))$ by Lemma 4.5.

Next suppose that $s \in [t, t + 1]$ for some integer t with $r + 1 \leq t \leq r + k$, so that $P(s) = (t, v)$ for some $v \in [1/2, 1)$. Then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{r-1} = f^{t-r}(H(y_t, v)) = f^{t-r}(\tau(B(y_t))) = f^{t-r}(\tau(y_{t-1})) = f(\tau(y_r))$ as required. Here the first equality uses (8), the second uses Remark 3.3 (a), and the fourth uses (3) applied $t - r - 1$ times.

That $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, r + k + 1)_{r-1} = f(\tau(y_r))$ follows from the continuity of Ψ . $\square$

4.3. Good chains of crosscuts. In this section we establish (Theorem 4.28) that there is a natural homeomorphism between the boundary circle $\hat{S}$ of $\hat{D}$ and the circle $\mathbb{P}$ of prime ends of $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$, with the property that, for each $\mathbf{y} \in \hat{S}$, the ray $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converges (in the sense of Definition 2.20) to the prime end corresponding to $\mathbf{y}$. Moreover (Lemma 4.30), this homeomorphism conjugates the natural extension $\hat{B}: \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ of the outside map to the action of $\hat{H}$ on $\mathbb{P}$, so that the prime end rotation number of $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$ is equal to the Poincaré rotation number of $\hat{B}$.

The arguments require two conditions which, while they always hold, we will only be able to establish, on a case by case basis, later. We therefore treat them as hypotheses for the time being. The first is that the locally uniformly landing set $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\hat{S}$. The second is that there exist chains of crosscuts in $(\bar{A}, \hat{S}_\infty)$ whose images under Ψ are well-behaved chains of crosscuts in $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$, as expressed by Definition 4.22 below. We carry over the definitions and notation of Section 2.5 to the (topologically trivial) pair $(\bar{A}, \hat{S}_\infty)$: a crosscut in $(\bar{A}, \hat{S}_\infty)$ is an arc ξ' in $\bar{A}$ which intersects $\hat{S}_\infty$ exactly at its endpoints; $U(\xi')$ denotes the component of $A \setminus \xi'$ which is disjoint from $\hat{S} \times \{0\}$; $\xi'_2 < \xi'_1$ means that $U(\xi'_2) \subset U(\xi'_1)$; and (ξ'_k) is a chain of crosscuts in $(\bar{A}, \hat{S}_\infty)$ if the ξ'_k are disjoint crosscuts with $\xi'_{k+1} < \xi'_k$ for each k and $\text{diam}(\xi'_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4.21. If a crosscut ξ'_k in $(\bar{A}, \hat{S}_\infty)$ has endpoints in $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ then, by Corollary 4.19, $\Psi|_{\xi'_k}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image ξ_k , which is therefore a crosscut in $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$.

Definition 4.22 (Good chain of crosscuts). Let $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. A chain (ξ'_k) of crosscuts in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$ is called a *good chain* for $\mathbf{y}$ if

- (a) The endpoints of each ξ'_k are in $\mathcal{R}_\infty$, so that $\xi_k = \Psi(\xi'_k)$ is a crosscut in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ by Remark 4.21;
- (b) $(\mathbf{y}, \infty) \in U(\xi'_k)$ for each k , and $\xi'_k \rightarrow (\mathbf{y}, \infty)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- (c) $\text{diam}(\xi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$; and
- (d) if $\mathbf{y}$ does not belong to the landing set $\mathcal{L}$, then (ξ_k) does not converge to a point $\mathbf{x}$ of $\widehat{I}$.

Remarks 4.23.

- (a) By Definition 4.22 (a) and (c), if (ξ'_k) is a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{y}$, then (ξ_k) is a chain of crosscuts in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$.
- (b) Suppose that there is a good chain of crosscuts (ξ'_k) for $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$.
 - If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$ then, since $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ lands at $\omega(\mathbf{y})$ and intersects every ξ_k , we have $\xi_k \rightarrow \omega(\mathbf{y})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that for every ray $\sigma': [0, \infty) \rightarrow A$ which lands at $(\mathbf{y}, \infty)$, the ray $\sigma = \Psi \circ \sigma'$ either lands at $\omega(\mathbf{y})$, or does not land.
 - If $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathcal{L}$, then for every such ray σ' , the ray $\sigma = \Psi \circ \sigma'$ intersects ξ_k for all sufficiently large k , and therefore does not land, by condition (d) of the definition.
- (c) It is immediate from Corollary 4.19 that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}$.
- (d) We will continue to use the notational convention introduced above: functions $f': X \rightarrow \widetilde{A}$ and subsets $Y' \subset \widetilde{A}$ will be denoted with primed symbols, and the corresponding functions $f = \Psi \circ f': X \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ and subsets $Y = \Psi(Y') \subset \widehat{D}$ with the corresponding unprimed symbols.

Lemma 4.24. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, and let $\sigma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$ be a ray which lands at a point of $\widehat{I}$. Then the ray $\sigma' = \Psi^{-1} \circ \sigma$ lands at a point of $\widehat{S}_\infty$.*

Proof. The remainder $\text{Rem}(\sigma')$ is a connected subset of $\widehat{S}_\infty$, so if σ' didn't land then, since $\mathcal{R}$ is open and dense in $\widehat{S}$, there would be a non-trivial closed subinterval J of $\mathcal{R}$ with $J_\infty \subset \text{Rem}(\sigma')$. This would contradict the fact that σ lands, since $\Psi|_{J \times [0, \infty]}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image by Corollary 4.19. $\square$

Remark 4.25. It follows from Lemma 4.24 that, provided $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, if ξ is a crosscut in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$, then $\xi' = \Psi^{-1}(\xi)$ is a crosscut in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$. Moreover $U(\xi') = \Psi^{-1}(U(\xi))$, so that if $\xi_2 < \xi_1$ then $\xi'_2 < \xi'_1$.

We now associate a point of $\widehat{S}$ with each prime end $\mathcal{P}$ in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$, under the assumption that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is represented by a chain (ξ_k) , and write $U_k = U(\xi_k)$. Then each $\xi'_k = \Psi^{-1}(\xi_k)$ is a crosscut in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$, and $U'_k := U(\xi'_k) = \Psi^{-1}(U_k)$.

Let $J'_k = \overline{U'_k} \cap \widehat{S}_\infty$, a compact arc with endpoints the endpoints of ξ'_k . Then $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} J'_k$ is a single point. For if not then, since $\mathcal{R}$ is open and dense in $\widehat{S}$, the intersection would contain an arc K_∞ with $K = [\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2] \subset \mathcal{R}$, and every ξ_k would intersect both $\Psi(\{\mathbf{y}_1\} \times [0, \infty])$ and $\Psi(\{\mathbf{y}_2\} \times [0, \infty])$, contradicting $\text{diam}(\xi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $\Psi|_{K \times [0, \infty]}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image by Corollary 4.19.

Since the point of $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} J'_k$ is independent of the choice of chain representing $\mathcal{P}$, we can make the following definition:

Definition 4.26 ($\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$). Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime end of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$. We write $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P})$ for the element of $\widehat{S}$ with the property that

$$\bigcap_{k \geq 0} \left(\overline{\Psi^{-1}(U(\xi_k))} \cap \widehat{S}_\infty \right) = \{(\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}), \infty)\},$$

where (ξ_k) is a chain representing $\mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 4.27. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$. Then $\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ is continuous.

Proof. Let J be an open subset of $\widehat{S}$, and let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{y}^{-1}(J)$ be represented by a chain (ξ_k) . Then there is some k such that $\overline{\Psi^{-1}(U(\xi_k))} \cap \widehat{S}_\infty \subset J$, and we have $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{B}(\xi_k) \subset \mathbf{y}^{-1}(J)$, where $\mathcal{B}(\xi_k)$ is the basic open subset of Definition 2.18. $\square$

Theorem 4.28. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, and that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then

- (a) $\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ is a homeomorphism;
- (b) For each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, the unique prime end $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{y}$ is defined by the chain $(\Psi(\xi'_k))$, where (ξ'_k) is any good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{y}$: or, indeed, any chain of crosscuts which satisfies (a)–(c) of Definition 4.22.
- (c) For each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, the ray $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converges to the unique prime end $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{y}$; and
- (d) the set of accessible points of $\widehat{I}$ is $\{\omega(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}\}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, and let (ξ'_k) be a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{y}$. Write $U'_k = U(\xi'_k)$, $\xi_k = \Psi(\xi'_k)$, and $U_k = U(\xi_k) = \Psi(U'_k)$. By Remark 4.23 (a), (ξ_k) is a chain of crosscuts in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$, which therefore represents a prime end $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}$. By condition (b) of Definition 4.22 we have $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{y}$. In particular, $\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ is surjective.

To show injectivity, suppose that (Ξ_k) is another chain of crosscuts in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ which defines a prime end $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{P}$ with $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{y}$. Write $V_k = U(\Xi_k)$, and $V'_k = \Psi^{-1}(V_k)$. In order to show that $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}$, we need to show that each V_ℓ contains all but finitely many U_k , and each U_ℓ contains all but finitely many V_k .

Now for each ℓ , since $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbf{y}$, we have that $\overline{V'_\ell}$ contains an arc J'_ℓ in $\widehat{S}_\infty$ with $(\mathbf{y}, \infty) \in J'_\ell$. Moreover, since the Ξ_k are mutually disjoint, so are the Ξ'_k by Remark 4.23 (b) (this is where we use condition (d) of Definition 4.22). Therefore $(\mathbf{y}, \infty)$ cannot be an endpoint of more than one of the crosscuts Ξ'_k , and hence is in the interior of J'_ℓ . Since $\xi'_k \rightarrow (\mathbf{y}, \infty)$, it follows that $U'_k \subset V'_\ell$ — and hence $U_k \subset V_\ell$ — for all sufficiently large k .

To show that each U_ℓ contains all but finitely many V_k , let $\xi' < \xi'_\ell$ be a crosscut disjoint from ξ'_ℓ whose endpoints are in the same components of $\mathcal{R}_\infty$ as the endpoints of ξ'_ℓ , and which satisfies $(\mathbf{y}, \infty) \in \overline{U(\xi')}$. Let X be the compact subset of $\bar{A}$ bounded by ξ'_ℓ and ξ' . Since $\Psi|_X$ is a homeomorphism onto its image, arcs which intersect both $\Psi(U(\xi'))$ and the complement of

$\Psi(U'_\ell)$ have diameter bounded below. Now Ξ_k intersects $\Psi(U(\xi'))$ for all sufficiently large k (since $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbf{y}$), and $\text{diam}(\Xi_k) \rightarrow 0$, so that $\text{Int}(\Xi_k) \subset \Psi(U'_\ell) = U_\ell$ — and hence $V_k \subset U_\ell$ — for all sufficiently large k as required.

- (b) Follows immediately from the first paragraph of the proof of (a), which doesn't make use of condition (d) of Definition 4.22.
- (c) For each k there is some t with $\{\mathbf{y}\} \times [t, \infty) \subset U(\xi'_k)$, and therefore

$$R_{\mathbf{y}}([t, \infty)) = \Psi(\{\mathbf{y}\} \times [t, \infty)) \subset \Psi(U(\xi'_k)) = U(\Psi(\xi'_k)),$$

so that $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converges to the prime end defined by the chain $(\Psi(\xi'_k))$ as required.

- (d) Clearly $\omega(\mathbf{y})$ is accessible for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$, since it is the landing point of the ray $R_{\mathbf{y}}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}$ be an accessible point of $\widehat{I}$, so that there is a ray $\sigma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$ which lands at $\mathbf{x}$. By Lemma 4.24, the ray $\sigma' = \Psi^{-1} \circ \sigma$ lands at some $(\mathbf{y}, \infty) \in \widehat{S}_\infty$. By Remark 4.23 (b), $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathbf{x} = \omega(\mathbf{y})$. □

Definition 4.29 ($\mathcal{P}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$). Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, and that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then we write $\mathcal{P}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ for the inverse of the homeomorphism $\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$.

Lemma 4.30. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, and that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then $\mathcal{P}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ conjugates $\widehat{B}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ to $\widehat{H}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$. In particular, the prime end rotation number of $\widehat{H}: (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I}) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ is equal to $\rho(\widehat{B})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. By Theorem 4.28 (c), the ray $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converges to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$, and hence $\widehat{H} \circ R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converges to $\widehat{H}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y}))$. By Lemma 4.8, $\widehat{H} \circ R_{\mathbf{y}}(s) = R_{\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y})}(\lambda(s))$, so that the image of $\widehat{H} \circ R_{\mathbf{y}}$ coincides with the image of $R_{\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y})}$, which converges to $\mathcal{P}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y}))$ by Theorem 4.28 (c). Therefore $\widehat{H}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \mathcal{P}(\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y}))$ as required. □

The following lemma summarizes those parts of the results above which are relevant to the classification of prime ends, for future reference.

Lemma 4.31. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, and that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then*

- (a) *If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \{\omega(\mathbf{y})\}$.*
- (b) *If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}$ then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \{\omega(\mathbf{y})\}$.*

In particular, a prime end $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{P}$ is of the first kind if $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{R}$; and is of the first or second kind if $\mathbf{y}(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converges to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ and lands at $\omega(\mathbf{y})$ (see Lemma 2.21). (b) is immediate from the homeomorphism established in Corollary 4.19. □

4.4. Dynamics of the outside map. In order to determine the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$, it suffices, in view of the homeomorphism between $\mathbb{P}$ and $\widehat{S}$ (Theorem 4.28) and the triviality of prime ends $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ with $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}$ (Lemma 4.31), to prove that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$ and that there is a good chain of crosscuts

for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$; and then to analyze the prime ends which the rays $R_{\mathbf{y}}$ converge to in the cases when $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathcal{R}$. The arguments and conclusions are quite different depending on whether f is of rational or irrational type, and we will consider these cases separately.

In this section we state and prove the main result which will be needed about the dynamics of the outside map $B: S \rightarrow S$. Because the locally uniformly landing set $\mathcal{R}$ of Definitions 4.12 depends on occurrences of elements of $\mathring{\gamma}$ in the threads $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$, it is necessary to understand the recurrence properties of γ . Since B collapses γ to the single point $B(a)$, the main question is: when does the orbit of $B(a)$ first enter γ ? We will see that if f is of rational type with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$, then n is the smallest positive integer with $B^n(a) \in \gamma$, except when f is of early endpoint type; while if f is of irrational type, or of early endpoint type, then the orbit of $B(a)$ is disjoint from γ .

Definition 4.32 ($N(f)$). Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map, and $B: S \rightarrow S$ be the corresponding outside map. We define $N(f) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ by $N(f) = \infty$ if $B^r(a) \notin \gamma$ for all $r \geq 1$, and otherwise

$$N(f) = \min\{r \geq 1 : B^r(a) \in \gamma\}.$$

Theorem 4.33 below is an extension (both to more general hypotheses and to stronger conclusions) of a result of [20]. Because of the central role which this theorem plays in the paper, we prove it in full, although we do rely on some technical lemmas from [20].

Before stating the theorem, we remark that the outside map $B: S \rightarrow S$ is a monotone degree 1 circle map, and therefore has a Poincaré rotation number $\rho(B)$. Recall that we denote by α the unique element of $(c, b]$ with $f(\alpha) = f(a)$. The reader is encouraged to review the notation and results of Section 2.6 before proceeding.

Theorem 4.33 (Dynamics of the outside map). *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map with kneading sequence $\kappa(f) = \mu$, and let $B: S \rightarrow S$ be the corresponding outside map. Then*

- (a) $\rho(B) = q(\mu)$.
- (b) *If $q(\mu) = m/n$ is rational and f is not of early endpoint type, then*
 - (i) $N(f) = n$;
 - (ii) $B^n(a) = a \iff \mu = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ and $B^n(a) = \alpha_u \iff \mu = \text{rhe}(m/n)$; and
 - (iii) *The set $S \setminus \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$ of points whose orbits never fall into γ is empty if f is of strict endpoint type, and consists of a single period n orbit of B otherwise.*
- (c) *If $q(\mu) = m/n$ is rational and f is of early endpoint type, then*
 - (i) $N(f) = \infty$; and
 - (ii) B has a period n orbit Q disjoint from γ which attracts the orbit of $B(a)$.
- (d) *If $q(\mu)$ is irrational, then*
 - (i) $N(f) = \infty$;
 - (ii) *The set $\bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$ of points whose orbits fall into γ is dense in S ; and*
 - (iii) *The orbit $\{B^r(a) : r \geq 1\}$ of $B(a)$ is dense in $S \setminus \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$.*

We will use two lemmas. The former provides tools for determining $N(f)$ and the rotation number $\rho(B)$. Although the lemma is straightforward, its statement may be hard to parse, and we start with an informal description. For $r \leq N(f)$ we have that $\tau(B^r(a)) = f^r(a)$ by (3). In order to determine whether or not $B^r(a) \in \gamma$, we need to decide whether $B^r(a)$ is equal to $f^r(a)_u$ or to $f^r(a)_\ell$; and,

in the former case, whether or not $f^r(a) \leq \alpha_u$. The set $\mathcal{J}$ defined in the statement of the lemma has the property that $B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u$ if and only if there is some $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$; and, in this case, $\iota(f^r(a)) = \nu$. Since $\iota(\alpha) = 1\sigma^2(\kappa(f))$, the smallest r with $B^r(a) \in \gamma$ is equal to the smallest r for which there is some $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\nu \preceq 1\sigma^2(\kappa(f))$: this is the content of parts (a) and (b). We will see that $\rho(B)$ depends on how many points of the orbit of $B(a)$ lie in the upper half of the circle, and part (c) of the lemma enables us to calculate this. Finally, part (d) extends the ideas of (a) and (b) to give conditions under which there is a periodic orbit of B , disjoint from γ , above a periodic orbit of f .

Lemma 4.34. *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map with kneading sequence $\kappa(f) = \mu$, and let $B: S \rightarrow S$ be the corresponding outside map. Write*

$$\mathcal{J} = \{(r, \nu) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : \text{there is some } k \geq 0 \text{ such that } \sigma^{r-(2k+1)}(\mu) = 01^{2k+1}\nu\}. \quad (10)$$

- (a) *Suppose that $\nu \succ 1\sigma^2(\mu)$ for all $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $N(f) = \infty$, provided that c is not a periodic point of f .*
- (b) *Otherwise, let r be least such that there is some $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\nu \preceq 1\sigma^2(\mu)$. Then $N(f) = r$, provided that $f^i(a) \neq c$ for $1 \leq i < r$.*
- (c) *For each $N \leq N(f)$ we have*

$$\#\{r \leq N : B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u\} = \#\{(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J} : r \leq N\},$$

provided that $f^i(a) \neq c$ for $1 \leq i < N(f)$.

- (d) *Suppose that f has a period N point x whose orbit does not contain c ; and that $\iota(x) = W^\infty$, where $W = 10V01^{2j+1}$ for some $j \geq 0$ and some word V of length $N - 2j - 4$. Suppose, moreover, that whenever $\sigma^i(W^\infty) = 01^{2k+1}\nu$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have $\nu \succ 1\sigma^2(\mu)$. Then x_u is a period N point of B whose orbit is disjoint from γ .*

Proof. Observe that if $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\iota(f^r(a)) = \sigma^{r+1}(\mu) = \nu$.

By (3) we have $\tau(B^r(a)) = f^r(a)$ for $r \leq N(f)$, so that $B^r(a)$ is either $f^r(a)_\ell$ or $f^r(a)_u$ when $r \leq N(f)$. By the definition (2) of the outside map we have that, for $1 \leq r \leq N(f)$,

$$B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u \iff B^{r-1}(a) = f^{r-1}(a)_\ell \text{ and } f^{r-1}(a) \geq c.$$

Provided that $f^{r-1}(a) \neq c$ for $r \leq N(f)$ it follows that, for $r \leq N(f)$, we have $B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u$ if and only if there is some $k \geq 0$ with $f^{r-2k-2}(a) < c$ and $f^j(a) > c$ for $r - 2k - 1 \leq j < r$ (informally: there is an odd number of 1s in μ preceding the entry corresponding to $f^r(a)$). This in turn is equivalent to the existence of $k \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\sigma^{r-(2k+1)}(\mu) = 01^{2k+1}\nu$. By definition of $\mathcal{J}$ we therefore have, under the assumption that $f^{r-1}(a) \neq c$ for $r \leq N(f)$,

$$B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u \iff \exists \nu \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, (r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J} \quad (1 \leq r \leq N(f)). \quad (11)$$

- (a) If c is not a periodic point of f then $f^r(a) \neq c$ for all $r \geq 0$. Since $\nu \succ 1\sigma^2(\mu) = \iota(\alpha)$ whenever $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$ we have $f^r(a) > \alpha$ whenever $B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u$. Therefore $B^r(a) \notin \gamma$ for all $r \geq 1$, i.e. $N(f) = \infty$ as required.
- (b) Let r be least such that there is some $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\nu \preceq 1\sigma^2(\mu)$, and suppose that $f^i(a) \neq c$ for $1 \leq i < r$. As in (a), we have $B^i(a) \notin \gamma$ for $1 \leq i < r$. On the other hand, $B^r(a) = f^r(a)_u$ and $\iota(f^r(a)) = \nu \preceq \iota(\alpha)$. Therefore $f^r(a) \leq \alpha$ (in the borderline case $\iota(f^r(a)) = \sigma^{r+1}(\mu) = 1\sigma^2(\mu)$ we

have $\mu = 10(\mu_2\mu_3\ldots\mu_r1)^\infty$, which is not periodic, so that $f^r(a) = \alpha$ by Convention 2.8). Hence $B^r(a) \in \gamma$, and $N(f) = r$ as required.

(c) Immediate from (11).

(d) The proof is similar to that of (a) and (b): the condition that $\nu \succ 1\sigma^2(\mu)$ whenever $\sigma^i(W^\infty) = 01^{2k+1}\nu$ ensures that every point of the orbit of x_u which lies on the upper half of S is not in γ .

□

It is clear from Lemma 4.34 that a key question is whether or not certain sequences are greater than $1\sigma^2(\mu)$ in the unimodal order. The next lemma, which contains and extends results of [20], addresses this and related issues.

Lemma 4.35.

(a) Let $q = m/n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1/2)$. For each integer j with $1 \leq j \leq m$, the word

$$10^{\kappa_j(q)}110^{\kappa_{j+1}(q)}11\ldots110^{\kappa_m(q)}1$$

disagrees with the word

$$10^{\kappa_1(q)-1}110^{\kappa_2(q)}11\ldots110^{\kappa_m(q)}1$$

within the shorter of their lengths, and is greater than it in the unimodal order.

(b) Let $q = m/n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1/2)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{KS}(q)$. If $\mu = c_q d$ for some $d \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$, then $d \preceq 1\sigma^2(\mu)$.

(c) Let $q = m/n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1/2)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{KS}(q) \setminus \{\text{lhe}(q), \text{rhe}(q)\}$. Let $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ be on the σ -orbit of $(w_q 1)^\infty$ and of the form $\nu = 1^k 0 \ldots$ with k odd. Then $\nu \succ 1\sigma^2(\mu)$.

(d) Let $q \in (0, 1/2)$ be irrational. Then for each integer $j \geq 1$ we have

$$10^{\kappa_j(q)}110^{\kappa_{j+1}(q)}11\ldots \succ 10^{\kappa_1(q)-1}110^{\kappa_2(q)}11\ldots$$

(e) Let $q \in (0, 1/2)$ be irrational. Then for every $N \geq 1$ there is an $r \geq 1$ such that $\kappa_{r+i}(q) = \kappa_i(q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$; and there is an $s \geq 1$ such that $\kappa_{s+1}(q) = \kappa_1(q) - 1$, and $\kappa_{s+i}(q) = \kappa_i(q)$ for $2 \leq i \leq N$.

Proof. Statements (a) and (b) are lemmas 7 and 8 of [20]. Statement (c) is closely related to lemma 9 of [20], whose hypotheses allow μ to be an endpoint of $\mathcal{KS}(q)$, and whose conclusion is that $\nu \succeq 1\sigma^2(\mu)$. It is easily shown that $\nu = 1\sigma^2(\mu)$ is only possible when $\mu = \text{lhe}(q)$ or $\mu = \text{rhe}(q)$. (The statements of lemmas 8 and 9 in [20] have an additional hypothesis relevant to that paper, but this hypothesis is not used in their proofs.)

To prove (d), observe that:

- (i) It is impossible to have $10^{\kappa_j(q)}110^{\kappa_{j+1}(q)}11\ldots = 10^{\kappa_1(q)-1}110^{\kappa_2(q)}11\ldots$, since then the sequence $(\kappa_i(q))$ would be eventually periodic, and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r (\kappa_i(q)+2)}{r}$ would be rational: but this limit is equal to $1/q$ by (1).
- (ii) It is impossible to have $10^{\kappa_j(q)}110^{\kappa_{j+1}(q)}11\ldots \prec 10^{\kappa_1(q)-1}110^{\kappa_2(q)}11\ldots$, since then there would be some M such that

$$10^{\kappa_j(q)}110^{\kappa_{j+1}(q)}11\ldots110^{\kappa_M(q)}1 \prec 10^{\kappa_1(q)-1}110^{\kappa_2(q)}11\ldots110^{\kappa_M(q)}1.$$

Taking a rational approximation m/n to q with $m \geq M$ and $\kappa_i(m/n) = \kappa_i(q)$ for $i \leq M$ would give a contradiction to (a).

For (e), recall (Definition 2.22) that the $\kappa_i(q)$ are defined by intersections of a straight line L_q of slope q with lines of the coordinate grid. Since L_q passes arbitrarily close to integer lattice points below the lattice point, any initial segment of the sequence $(\kappa_i(q))$ occurs infinitely often in the sequence; and since it passes arbitrarily close to lattice points above the lattice point, the same is true of the sequence in which $\kappa_1(q)$ is replaced by $\kappa_1(q) - 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.33. Recall (Lemma 2.28 (b)) that $q(\mu) = 0$ if and only if $\mu = 10^\infty$, and that then f is of strict endpoint type by Definition 2.31, and $\mu = \text{lhe}(0)$ by Definitions 2.29. In this case, by Convention 2.8 and the fact that μ is not periodic, we have $B(a) = a$, and statements (a) and (b) are immediate, using $\gamma = [b, a]$. We therefore assume in the remainder of the proof that $q(\mu) \in (0, 1/2)$.

Assume first that $q = q(\mu) = m/n$ is rational and f is not of early endpoint type. We will suppose that $\mu \neq \text{lhe}(q)$, so that $\mu = c_q d$ for some $d \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ by Lemma 2.28 (c): a similar argument applies when $\mu = \text{lhe}(q)$ (noting that in this case we have $f^n(a) = a$, since f is not of early endpoint type). In particular, if c is periodic then it has period at least $n + 2$ by Lemma 2.28 (c) (if $\mu = (w_q 0)^\infty$ then c is not periodic by Definition 2.4). Therefore $f^r(a) \neq c$ for $r < n$.

Recall that $c_q = 10^{\kappa_1(q)} 110^{\kappa_2(q)} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_m(q)} 1$ is a word of length $n + 1$. Defining $\mathcal{J}$ by (10), the possible values of $r \leq n$ with $(r, \nu) \in \mathcal{J}$ for some ν are

$$r_i = (2i - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^i \kappa_j, \quad (1 \leq i \leq m),$$

and the corresponding values of ν are

$$\nu_i = 10^{\kappa_{i+1}(q)} 110^{\kappa_{r+2}(q)} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_m(q)} 1d \quad (1 \leq i \leq m - 1), \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_m = d.$$

Observe that this statement is true whether or not all of the $\kappa_i(q)$ are positive: if $\kappa_i(q) > 0$, then $\sigma^{r_i - (2k+1)}(\mu) = 01^{2k+1}\nu_i$ with $k = 0$, while if $\kappa_i(q) = 0$ then this equality holds for some $k > 0$.

Now Lemma 4.35 (a) gives $\nu_i \succ 1\sigma^2(\mu)$ for $1 \leq i < m$, while Lemma 4.35 (b) gives $\nu_m \preceq 1\sigma^2(\mu)$. Since $r_m = n$, statement (b)(i) follows from Lemma 4.34 (b).

Since $B(\gamma) = B(a)$, it follows that $B(a)$ is a period n point of B . Therefore $\rho(B)$ is the rotation number of this periodic point, which we now determine.

Let $\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S$ be a universal covering with fundamental domain $F = [0, 1)$ such that $\pi(0) = \pi(1) = a$, $\pi(1/2) = b$, and $\pi(x)$ goes counterclockwise around S as x goes from 0 to 1. Let $\tilde{B}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the lift of B with $\tilde{B}(0) \in F$. It follows from (2) that $\tilde{B}(x) \in F$ for $x \in [0, 1/2)$, while $\tilde{B}(x) \in F + 1$ for $x \in [1/2, 1)$.

Now there are exactly m points on the periodic orbit containing $B(a)$ which lie in $\pi([1/2, 1))$ by Lemma 4.34 (c). Therefore $\rho(B) = m/n$, establishing (a) in the rational case.

For (b)(ii), observe first that since $B^r(a) \notin \mathring{\gamma}$ for $0 \leq r < n$, it follows from (3) that $\tau(B^n(a)) = f^n(\tau(a)) = f^n(a)$. Therefore $B^n(a) = a \iff \tau(B^n(a)) = a \iff f^n(a) = a$, and similarly $B^n(a) = \alpha_u \iff \tau(B^n(a)) = \alpha \iff f^n(a) = \alpha$ (where, for the first equivalence, we use that $B^n(a) \in \gamma$).

Now if $\mu = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ then, since f is not of early endpoint type, we have $f^n(a) = a$. Conversely, if $f^n(a) = a$ then $f^{n-1}(a) = b$ (since $\mu \neq 10^\infty$, so that a has only one preimage), and hence $f^n(b) = b$ and $f^n(c) = c$. Therefore μ is a periodic kneading sequence of period n and height m/n , and so is equal either to $\text{lhe}(m/n)$ or to $(w_{m/n}0)^\infty$ by Lemma 2.28 (c). However, since c itself is periodic, the latter case is impossible (Definition 2.4).

If $\mu = \text{rhe}(m/n) = 10(\widehat{w}_{m/n}1)^\infty$ then $\iota(f^n(a)) = \sigma^{n+1}(\mu) = (1\widehat{w}_{m/n})^\infty = 1\sigma^2(\mu) = \iota(\alpha)$, so that $f^n(a) = \alpha$ by Convention 2.8. Conversely, suppose that $f^n(a) = \alpha$. By the previous paragraph we have $\mu \neq \text{lhe}(m/n)$, so that $\mu = c_{m/n}d$ for some $d \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ by Lemma 2.28 (c). Therefore

$$d = \iota(f^n(a)) = \iota(\alpha) = 10^{\kappa_1(m/n)-1}110^{\kappa_2(m/n)}11 \dots 110^{\kappa_m(m/n)}1d,$$

so that $d = (10^{\kappa_1(m/n)-1}110^{\kappa_2(m/n)}11 \dots 110^{\kappa_m(m/n)}1)^\infty = (1\widehat{w}_{m/n})^\infty$, and it follows that $\mu = c_qd = 10\widehat{w}_{m/n}(1\widehat{w}_{m/n})^\infty = 10(\widehat{w}_{m/n}1)^\infty = \text{rhe}(m/n)$ as required.

For (b)(iii), write $\Lambda = S \setminus \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-n}(\gamma)$, and suppose first that f is not of strict endpoint type, so that $B^n(a) \in \mathring{\gamma}$ by (b)(ii). Since $\kappa(f) \succ \text{lhe}(q) = (w_q1)^\infty$, f has a period n point x with this itinerary. By Lemma 4.34 (d) and Lemma 4.35 (c) (and the fact that $(w_q1)^\infty$ only contains blocks of 1s of even length), x_u lies on a period n orbit $P \subset \Lambda$ of B .

Since B is a monotone degree one circle map and the orbit of $B(a)$ is an attracting periodic orbit, it only remains to show that B has no other periodic orbits. Any such orbit would necessarily lie in Λ , have period n , and have one point between each pair of consecutive points of P . It therefore suffices to show that there is a pair of points of P which bound an interval containing no period n points other than ones on the orbit of $B(a)$.

Consider the point $y_\ell = B(x_u)$ of P , so that

$$\iota(y) = \sigma(\text{lhe}(q)) = (0^{\kappa_1}1^20^{\kappa_2}1^2 \dots 1^20^{\kappa_m-1}1^2)^\infty.$$

A period n point $z_\ell \in \Lambda$ lying in $[a, y_\ell]$ would have $\iota(a) \preceq \iota(z) \preceq \iota(y)$. Since $\kappa(f) = c_qd$ for some $d \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\iota(a) = 0^{\kappa_1}1^20^{\kappa_2}1^2 \dots 1^20^{\kappa_m}1 \dots,$$

and hence

$$\iota(z) = (0^{\kappa_1}1^20^{\kappa_2}1^2 \dots 1^20^{\kappa_m-1} \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} 1)^\infty.$$

Since $(0^{\kappa_1}1^20^{\kappa_2}1^2 \dots 1^20^{\kappa_m-1}01)^\infty$ is not the itinerary of a point whose orbit lies in Λ (it has an isolated 1), we have $\iota(z) = \sigma(\text{lhe}(q))$, and hence $z = y$ by Convention 2.8 (c is not attracted to a stable period n point of f by Lemma 2.28 (c)).

A similar argument establishes that there are no period n points of B in the interval $(v_u, \alpha_u]$, where v_u is the point of P with $\iota(v) = (10^{\kappa_1-1}1^20^{\kappa_2}1^2 \dots 1^20^{\kappa_m}1)^\infty$ (this is a point of P by Lemma 2.28 (d).) Therefore there are no periodic points of B in (v_u, y_ℓ) other than $B^n(a)$, and the proof that $\Lambda = P$ is complete.

The proof of (b)(iii) in the strict endpoint case is similar, making use of the observation that, since the orbit of a is disjoint from $\mathring{\gamma}$, we have $\tau(B^r(a)) = f^r(a)$ for all $r \geq 0$. When $B^n(a) = a$ then a is a period n point of B : we show as above that there are no period n points of B in (y_u, a) where

$\iota(y) = (10^{\kappa_1-1}1^20^{\kappa_2}1^2 \dots 1^20^{\kappa_m}1)^\infty$. Therefore the orbit of a is the unique period n orbit of B , and since a is stable through γ , we have $\Lambda = \emptyset$. In the case $B^n(a) = \alpha_u$, so that α_u is a period n point, we show similarly that there are no period n points of B in (α_u, x_ℓ) , where $\iota(x) = \sigma(\text{lhe}(q))$. This completes the proof of (b).

For (c), assume that $q = q(\mu) = m/n$ and f is of early endpoint type, so that $\mu = (w_q 1)^\infty$ and $f^n(a) \neq a$. There is therefore a non-trivial subinterval J of I , containing a and $f^n(a)$, consisting of points with itinerary $\sigma(\mu)$. By Convention 2.8 there is a periodic point $z \neq a$ in J with $f^{rn}(a) \rightarrow z$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. By the same argument as in the previous case, every $x \in J$ has the property that $\{B^r(x_\ell) : r \geq 1\}$ is disjoint from γ , and in particular B has a periodic orbit Q , containing z_ℓ , which attracts the orbit of $B(a)$ and is disjoint from γ . The rotation number of this periodic orbit is m/n by the same argument as in the previous case, and hence $\rho(B) = m/n$. This establishes (c), and (a) in the early endpoint case.

For (d), and (a) in the irrational case, assume that $q = q(\mu)$ is irrational, so that $\mu = 10^{\kappa_1(q)}110^{\kappa_2(q)}11 \dots$ by Lemma 2.28 (a). That $B^r(a) \notin \gamma$ for all $r \geq 1$ is immediate from Lemma 4.34 (a), Lemma 4.35 (d), and the fact that c is not periodic. By the same argument as in the rational case, using Lemma 4.34 (c), we have

$$\rho(B) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{\sum_{i=1}^m (\kappa_i(q) + 2)},$$

since m of the first $\sum_{i=1}^m (\kappa_i(q) + 2)$ points of the orbit of a lie in $[b, a]$. Therefore $\rho(B) = q$ by (1).

To show that $\bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$ is dense in S assume, for a contradiction, that there is a non-trivial interval $J = [x, y]$ in S whose orbit is disjoint from γ . Neither a nor b is in J , since $B(b) = a$ and $a \in \gamma$. Therefore $\tau(x) \neq \tau(y)$ and, since $\kappa(f)$ isn't periodic, we have $\iota(\tau(x)) \neq \iota(\tau(y))$. Therefore, if r is least with $\iota(\tau(x))_r \neq \iota(\tau(y))_r$, then $B^r(J)$ contains either c_ℓ or c_u . In the former case we have $B^{r+2}(J) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$, and in the latter we have $B^r(J) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$, which is the required contradiction.

Finally, to show that the orbit of $B(a)$ is dense in $S \setminus \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$, observe that the ω -limit set $\omega(B(a), B)$ contains both a and α_u by Lemma 4.35 (e) and the fact that distinct points have distinct itineraries. Let U be any interval in S which contains a point of $S \setminus \bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$. Since it also contains points of the dense set $\bigcup_{r \geq 0} B^{-r}(\gamma)$, there is some $r \geq 0$ such that $B^r(U)$ contains a neighborhood either of a or of α_u , and hence contains the point $B^R(a)$ for some $R > r$. Therefore $B^{R-r}(a) \in U$ as required. □

Corollary 4.36. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$, and that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then the prime end rotation number of $\widehat{H}: (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I}) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ is $q(\kappa(f))$.*

Proof. We have $\rho(\widehat{B}) = q(\kappa(f))$ by Theorem 4.33 (a), since B is a factor of $\widehat{B}$ by the degree one semi-conjugacy $\mathbf{y} \mapsto y_0$. The result follows from Lemma 4.30. □

4.5. The irrational case. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map whose kneading sequence $\mu = \kappa(f)$ has irrational height $q = q(\mu) \in (0, 1/2)$. In this section we determine the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$.

We first use Theorem 4.33 to analyze the dynamics of the natural extension $\widehat{B}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$, showing that it is a Denjoy counterexample (i.e. it has an orbit of wandering intervals). It is straightforward to show that the landing set $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{S}$ (Lemma 4.38), and that the locally uniformly landing set $\mathcal{R}$ is the union of the interiors of the wandering intervals (Lemma 4.42), the complement of $\mathcal{R}$ being a Cantor set Λ .

In particular, this establishes that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$. Lemma 4.44 asserts the existence of a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.31, the prime ends $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ with $\mathbf{y} \notin \Lambda$ are of the first kind, while those with $\mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$ are of the first or second kind. We complete the analysis by showing that these are of the second kind, and that in fact $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \widehat{I}$ when $\mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$ (Lemma 4.45).

Let $\mathcal{O} = \{B^r(a) : r \geq 1\}$ be the orbit of $B(a)$, which is disjoint from γ by Theorem 4.33. Since $B(\gamma) = B(a)$, and B is injective away from γ , the backwards orbit $\{B^{-r}(y) : r \geq 0\}$ of any point $y \in S \setminus \mathcal{O}$ is well-defined and disjoint from γ . On the other hand, the backwards orbits of points of $\mathcal{O}$ are ill-defined at one point only: the preimage of $B(a)$ is γ . The elements of $\widehat{S}$ can therefore be described straightforwardly.

Definitions 4.37 (Threads $\mathbf{t}(y, r)$ and $\mathbf{t}(y)$ in $\widehat{S}$).

(a) For every $y \in \gamma$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\mathbf{t}(y, r) \in \widehat{S}$ by

$$\mathbf{t}(y, r) = \begin{cases} \langle B^r(a), \dots, B(a), y, B^{-1}(y), B^{-2}(y), \dots \rangle & \text{if } r > 0, \\ \langle B^r(y), B^{r-1}(y), B^{r-2}(y), \dots \rangle & \text{if } r \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

(b) For every $y \in S \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{-r}(\gamma)$, define $\mathbf{t}(y) \in \widehat{S}$ by

$$\mathbf{t}(y) = \langle y, B^{-1}(y), B^{-2}(y), \dots \rangle. \quad (13)$$

Every element $\mathbf{y}$ of $\widehat{S}$ can be written in exactly one way as either $\mathbf{t}(y, r)$ or $\mathbf{t}(y)$: $\mathbf{y}$ is of the form (12) if and only if there is some (unique) $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\widehat{B}^r(\mathbf{y})_0 \in \gamma$, in which case $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(\widehat{B}^r(\mathbf{y})_0, -r)$; and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(y_0)$ otherwise.

Lemma 4.38. $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{S}$.

Proof. $\mathbf{t}(y, r)$ is landing of level at most $\max(r, 0)$ (it is landing of level exactly $\max(r, 0)$ if $y \in \gamma$, and of level 0 if $y = a$ or $y = \alpha$), and $\mathbf{t}(y)$ is landing of level 0. The result follows from Corollary 4.14. $\square$

Definition 4.39 (The gaps G_r). For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the *gap* $G_r \subset \widehat{S}$ by $G_r = \{\mathbf{t}(y, r) : y \in \gamma\}$.

The gaps are compact intervals, since the functions $y \mapsto \mathbf{t}(y, r)$ are homeomorphisms $\gamma \rightarrow G_r$. Since $\widehat{B}(G_r) = G_{r+1}$ for each r , and the G_r are mutually disjoint, the gaps form an orbit of wandering intervals of $\widehat{B}$, which is therefore a Denjoy counterexample.

Remark 4.40. The map $\pi_0: \widehat{S} \rightarrow S$ defined by $\pi_0(\mathbf{y}) = y_0$ is continuous and surjective. Moreover, $\pi_0(\mathbf{y}) = \pi_0(\mathbf{y}')$ for $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{y}'$ if and only if $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}'$ belong to the same gap G_r for some $r > 0$. Therefore π_0 is a monotone circle map which collapses these gaps. It follows that threads are ordered around $\widehat{S}$ in the same way that points are ordered around S , except that the points $B^r(a)$ of S for $r > 0$ are blown up into gaps G_r .

Definition 4.41 (The set Λ). The set $\Lambda \subset \widehat{S}$ is defined by

$$\Lambda = \widehat{S} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathring{G}_r.$$

Lemma 4.42. Λ is a Cantor set, and $\mathcal{R} = \widehat{S} \setminus \Lambda = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathring{G}_r$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$.

Proof. Λ is compact, and is perfect since it is the complement of a union of open intervals with disjoint closures. To show that it is totally disconnected, it is enough to show that $\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathring{G}_r$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$. To do this, let $\mathbf{t}(y)$ be a point in the complement of this set. By Theorem 4.33 (d)(ii), there is a sequence $y_i \rightarrow y$ in S with $B^{r_i}(y_i) \in \gamma$ for some $r_i \geq 0$. Then the sequence $\mathbf{t}(B^{r_i}(y_i), -r_i) = \langle y_i, B^{-1}(y_i), \dots \rangle$ in $\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathring{G}_r$ converges to $\mathbf{t}(y) = \langle y, B^{-1}(y), \dots \rangle$. (Note that, for each $k > 0$, when i is sufficiently large y_i lies in a neighborhood N of y which doesn't contain any point $B^r(a)$ with $r \leq k$, so that B^{-r} is well-defined and continuous in N for all $r \leq k$.)

Each $\mathring{G}_r$ is uniformly landing of level $\max(r, 0)$, so that $\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathring{G}_r \subset \mathcal{R}$. For the converse, suppose that $\mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$. Consider first the case where $\mathbf{y}$ is not a gap endpoint, so that $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(y)$ for some $y \in S \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{-r}(\gamma)$. By Theorem 4.33 (d)(iii) there is a sequence $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ of positive integers with $B^{r_i}(a) \rightarrow y$. Then for any $z \in \mathring{\gamma}$, $(\mathbf{t}(z, r_i))_{i \geq 0} = (\langle B^{r_i}(a), \dots, B(a), z, B^{-1}(z), \dots \rangle)_{i \geq 0}$ is a sequence converging to $\mathbf{y}$ which is not uniformly landing.

The proof in the case where $\mathbf{y}$ is a gap endpoint is similar. We have $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(e, r)$ where $e = a$ or $e = \alpha_u$, and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.33 (d)(iii), there is a sequence $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ with $B^{r_i}(a) \rightarrow e$ (and $B^{r_i}(a) \notin \gamma$). Then for any $z \in \mathring{\gamma}$, $(\mathbf{t}(z, r_i + r))_{i \geq 0}$ is a sequence converging to $\mathbf{y}$ which is not uniformly landing. $\square$

We next show that there is a good chain of crosscuts for every $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. The following notation will be convenient when defining chains of crosscuts.

Definition 4.43 (The crosscuts $\xi'(\mathbf{J}, s)$ and $\xi(\mathbf{J}, s)$). Let $\mathbf{J}$ be an interval in $\widehat{S}$ with endpoints $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, and let $s \in (0, \infty)$. Write $\xi'(\mathbf{J}, s)$ for the crosscut

$$\xi'(\mathbf{J}, s) = (\{\mathbf{y}_1\} \times [s, \infty]) \cup (\mathbf{J} \times \{s\}) \cup (\{\mathbf{y}_2\} \times [s, \infty])$$

in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$; and $\xi(\mathbf{J}, s)$ for the crosscut $\Psi(\xi'(\mathbf{J}, s))$ in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$.

The requirement that $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ is automatically satisfied in the irrational case, but this definition will be used later in situations in which $\mathcal{L} \neq \widehat{S}$.

Lemma 4.44. Let $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then there is a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{y}$.

Proof. We can assume that $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathcal{R}$, i.e. that $\mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$ (Remark 4.23 (c)), so that $\mathbf{y}$ is either a gap endpoint or is in the complement of the gaps.

Case 1: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(y)$ for some $y \in S \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{-r}(\gamma)$, that is, $\mathbf{y}$ is in the complement of the gaps. We construct crosscuts ξ'_k in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$ inductively for $k \geq 1$.

(a) Choose $\epsilon_k > 0$ small enough that if $x, z \in I$ with $|x - z| < 2\epsilon_k$ then $|f^r(x) - f^r(z)| < 1/2^k$ for $0 \leq r \leq k$.

- (b) Pick a closed interval $J_k \subset S$ with y in its interior, of length less than ϵ_k , which is small enough that it doesn't contain any of the points $B^r(a)$ with $1 \leq r \leq 2k$; and that $J_k \subset \text{Int}(J_{k-1})$ if $k > 1$. We may shrink J_k in step (c), and we do this in such a way that y remains in its interior.
- (c) It follows that B^{-k} is well-defined and continuous on J_k , and we make J_k smaller if necessary in order to ensure that $|\tau(B^{-k}(\eta)) - \tau(B^{-k}(y))| < \epsilon_k$ for all $\eta \in J_k$. We shrink J_k again so that its endpoints L and R are preimages of c_u (which is possible by Theorem 4.33 (d)(ii)). Let i and j be such that $B^i(L) = c_u$ and $B^j(R) = c_u$.
- (d) Let $\mathbf{J}_k$ be the interval in $\widehat{S}$, containing $\mathbf{y}$, with endpoints $\mathbf{t}(c_u, -i) = \langle L, \dots \rangle$ and $\mathbf{t}(c_u, -j) = \langle R, \dots \rangle$.
- (e) Set $\xi'_k = \xi'(\mathbf{J}_k, 2k)$.

By Remark 4.40 and (b) above, the points $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{J}_k$ are exactly the following:

- (i) $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{t}(v) = \langle v, \dots \rangle$, for $v \in J_k \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{-r}(\gamma)$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{t}(B^r(v), -r) = \langle v, \dots \rangle$ where $v \in J_k$ and $B^r(v) \in \gamma$ for some $r \geq 0$; and
- (iii) $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{t}(Y, r) = \langle B^r(a), \dots \rangle$ where $Y \in \gamma$ and $B^r(a) \in J_k$ for some $r > 2k$.

In particular, $\mathbf{J}_k \subset \text{Int } \mathbf{J}_{k-1}$ when $k > 1$, so that (ξ'_k) is a chain of crosscuts in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$.

(ξ'_k) satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 4.22, so, since $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{S}$, it only remains to show that $\text{diam}(\xi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\xi_k = \Psi(\xi'_k)$. To do this we will show that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \xi_k$ we have $|x_k - \tau(B^{-k}(y))| < \epsilon_k$. It will follow that if $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \xi_k$ we have $|x_k - z_k| < 2\epsilon_k$, so that $|x_r - z_r| < 1/2^k$ for all $r \leq k$ by choice of ϵ_k , establishing the result.

Consider first points $\mathbf{x} = \Psi(\mathbf{v}, 2k) \in \Psi(\mathbf{J}_k \times \{2k\})$. By (8) we have $x_k = f^{k-1}(H(v_{2k}, 1/2))$, and $H(v_{2k}, 1/2) = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}(v_{2k}, 1/2) = \tau(B(v_{2k}))$ by (U1) of Definition 3.2. Therefore

$$x_k = f^{k-1}(\tau(B(v_{2k}))) = \tau(B^k(v_{2k})) = \tau(B^{-k}(v_0)),$$

where we use (3) together with the fact that $v_r \notin \mathring{\gamma}$ for $0 \leq r \leq 2k$.

By (i)–(iii) above, every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{J}_k$ satisfies $v_0 \in J_k$, so that

$$|x_k - \tau(B^{-k}(y))| = |\tau(B^{-k}(v_0)) - \tau(B^{-k}(y))| < \epsilon_k$$

by (c) as required.

Now consider points $\mathbf{x} = \Psi(\mathbf{t}(c_u, -i), s)$ or $\mathbf{x} = \Psi(\mathbf{t}(c_u, -j), s)$ with $s \in [2k, \infty]$. Since $\mathbf{t}(c_u, -i)$ and $\mathbf{t}(c_u, -j)$ are landing of level 0 and $s > k$, Lemma 4.13 gives $x_k = \tau(B^{-k}(L))$ or $x_k = \tau(B^{-k}(R))$, and the argument goes through as before.

Case 2: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(e, r)$ (with $e = a$ or $e = \alpha_u$), i.e. $\mathbf{y}$ is an endpoint of G_r for some r . Choose $\mathbf{J}_k$ to have one endpoint $\mathbf{t}(c_u, -i)$ as above, and the other endpoint $\mathbf{t}(v_k, r)$, where (v_k) is a sequence in $\mathring{\gamma}$ converging to e . Then $\text{diam}(\xi_k \cap \Psi(G_r \times [0, \infty]))$ converges to 0 since $\Psi|_{G_r \times [0, \infty]}$ is a homeomorphism; while $\text{diam}(\overline{\xi_k \setminus \Psi(G_r \times [0, \infty])})$ converges to 0 by the same argument as in case 1. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 4.28 and Lemma 4.31 that $\mathcal{P}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is a homeomorphism; that the prime end $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ is of the first kind if $\mathbf{y} \notin \Lambda$; and that $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \{\omega(\mathbf{y})\}$ for all $\mathbf{y}$. It therefore only remains to calculate the impressions of the prime ends $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ for $\mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 4.45. *Let f be of irrational type. Then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \widehat{I}$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.28 (b), $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ is defined by the chain $(\Psi(\xi'_k))$, where (ξ'_k) is the good chain of crosscuts constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.44. Write $\xi_k = \Psi(\xi'_k)$ and $U_k = U(\xi_k)$. Fix k , and any element $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$. We show that $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U_k}$, which will establish the result. We use the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.44.

By Lemma B.1 in Appendix B, there is some N with $f^N([a, c]) = I$. For each $i \geq 1$ there exists, by Theorem 4.33 (d)(iii), an integer $r_i > i + N$ with $B^{r_i}(a) \in J_k$, so that $G_{r_i} \subset \mathbf{J}_k$. Since $r_i - i > N$, there is some $z \in [a, c]$ with $f^{r_i-i}(z) = x_i$. Then $\mathbf{t}(z_u, r_i) \in G_{r_i} \subset \mathbf{J}_k$, and by Corollary 4.14 we have $\omega(\mathbf{t}(z_u, r_i))_i = f^{r_i-i}(\tau(z_u)) = x_i$.

Therefore $\omega(\mathbf{t}(z_u, r_i)) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$: since all points of this sequence are in $\overline{U_k}$, we have $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U_k}$ as required. $\square$

The following theorem provides a summary of what we have proved in the irrational case.

Theorem 4.46 (Prime ends in the irrational case). *Let f be a unimodal map satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8, and suppose that f is of irrational type. Then*

- (a) *There is a Cantor set of prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ of the second kind, for which the impression is $\widehat{I}$. All of the other prime ends are of the first kind.*
- (b) *The prime end rotation number is $q(\kappa(f))$.*

Remark 4.47. By Theorem 4.28 (d), the set of accessible points of $\widehat{I}$ is precisely $\{\omega(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}\}$. This set is partitioned into countably many compact arcs $\omega(G_r)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, and uncountably many points $\omega(\mathbf{t}(y))$ for $y \in S \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{-r}(\gamma)$.

4.6. The rational interior case. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a unimodal map whose kneading sequence $\mu = \kappa(f)$ has rational height $q = q(\mu) = m/n \in (0, 1/2)$; and suppose that $(w_q 0)^\infty \prec \mu \prec \text{rhe}(q)$, so that f is of rational interior type. In this section we determine the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$.

By Theorem 4.33 (b)(i) we have that $B^r(a) \notin \gamma$ for $1 \leq r < n$, and $B^n(a) \in \gamma$. Therefore $B(a)$ is a period n point of B , whose orbit Q contains a single point of γ . There is a corresponding period n orbit $\mathbf{Q}$ of the natural extension $\widehat{B}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$. By Theorem 4.33 (b)(iii), B has exactly one other periodic orbit, which has period n and is disjoint from γ ; and therefore $\widehat{B}$ has exactly one other periodic orbit $\mathbf{P}$, of period n .

After describing the threads of $\widehat{S}$, we will show that the points of $\mathbf{Q}$ are not landing, and that every other point of $\widehat{S}$ is locally uniformly landing, so that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$ (Lemma 4.52). We then construct good chains of crosscuts for each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$ (Lemma 4.59). In the irrational case the construction of the good chains was rather ad hoc; here, by contrast, there are natural choices for the crosscuts about the points of $\mathbf{Q}$, which form an invariant system of subsets of stable sets (Lemmas 4.56 and 4.57).

By Lemma 4.31, all of the prime ends $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ with $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Q}$ are of the first kind. We show that if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \widehat{I}$ (Lemma 4.60); and that $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y}))$ is equal to $\widehat{I}$ except in the case where f can be subjected to a particular type of renormalization, in which case $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y}))$ is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of the renormalized map (Lemmas 4.61 and 4.62). Therefore these prime ends may be of either the third or the fourth kind.

Write $q_i = B^{i+1}(a)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. By Theorem 4.33 (b)(ii) we have $q_{n-1} \notin \{a, \alpha_u\}$, so that $q_{n-1} \in \hat{\gamma}$, while the other q_i are not in γ . We have $B(q_i) = q_{i+1 \bmod n}$ for each i , so that $Q = \{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$ is a period n orbit of B . Since B^{-1} is well defined on $S \setminus \{q_0\}$, the backwards orbit $\{B^{-r}(y) : r \geq 0\}$ of any point $y \in S \setminus Q$ is well-defined. Moreover, $B^{-r}(y) \notin \gamma$ for all $r \geq 1$ for such points y .

Since $\rho(B) = m/n$, there are $m-1$ points of Q in each interval $(q_i, q_{i+1 \bmod n})$, and the first point of Q which is encountered when moving counterclockwise around S from q_i is $q_{i+m^{-1} \bmod n}$.

Definitions 4.48 (Threads $\mathbf{q}_i$, $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$ and $\mathbf{t}(y)$ in $\hat{S}$; the periodic orbit $\mathbf{Q}$).

(a) For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, define $\mathbf{q}_i \in \hat{S}$ by

$$\mathbf{q}_i = \langle (q_i, q_{i-1}, \dots, q_0, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_{i+1})^\infty \rangle.$$

(b) For each $y \in \gamma \setminus \{q_{n-1}\}$, $k \geq 0$, and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, define $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i) \in \hat{S}$ by

$$\mathbf{t}(y, k, i) = \langle q_i, q_{i-1}, \dots, q_0, (q_{n-1}, \dots, q_0)^k, y, B^{-1}(y), \dots \rangle.$$

(c) For each $y \in S \setminus Q$, define $\mathbf{t}(y) \in \hat{S}$ by

$$\mathbf{t}(y) = \langle y, B^{-1}(y), B^{-2}(y), \dots \rangle.$$

Write $\mathbf{Q} := \{\mathbf{q}_0, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{n-1}\}$, a period n orbit of $\hat{B}$ since $\hat{B}(\mathbf{q}_i) = \mathbf{q}_{i+1 \bmod n}$.

Every element $\mathbf{y}$ of $\hat{S}$ can be written in exactly one way as $\mathbf{q}_i$, $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$, or $\mathbf{t}(y)$. If $y_0 \notin Q$ then $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(y_0)$. On the other hand, if $y_0 \in Q$ and $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Q}$, then there is some largest N with $y_N \in Q$, and $y_N = q_0$ since the only preimage of q_i for $i > 0$ is q_{i-1} . Then $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(y_{N+1}, \lfloor N/n \rfloor, N \bmod n)$.

It will be important to understand how these threads are ordered around the circle $\hat{S}$, and we next introduce some disjoint intervals whose union is $\hat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$.

Definitions 4.49 (The intervals $L_{k,i}$, $R_{k,i}$, and I_i). For each $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, define subsets $L_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,i}$ of $\hat{S}$ by

$$L_{k,i} = \{\mathbf{t}(y, k, i) : y \in (q_{n-1}, a]\} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{k,i} = \{\mathbf{t}(y, k, i) : y \in [\alpha_u, q_{n-1}]\}.$$

For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $J_i = (q_i, q_{i+m^{-1} \bmod n}) \subset S$, and define $I_i \subset \hat{S}$ by

$$I_i = \{\mathbf{t}(y) : y \in J_i\}.$$

These subsets partition $\hat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. $L_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,i}$ are half-open intervals, since $y \mapsto \mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$ defines homeomorphisms $(q_{n-1}, a] \rightarrow L_{k,i}$ and $[\alpha_u, q_{n-1}] \rightarrow R_{k,i}$; and the I_i are open intervals, since $y \mapsto \mathbf{t}(y)$ defines homeomorphisms $J_i \rightarrow I_i$ for each i .

The following lemma, which describes the ordering of the intervals $L_{k,i}$, $R_{k,i}$, and I_i around the circle $\hat{S}$, is illustrated by Figure 7.



FIGURE 7. Ordering of intervals around the circle in the rational interior case. Here $r = i - m^{-1} \bmod n$

Lemma 4.50. *Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and write $j = i + m^{-1} \bmod n$.*

(a) *The endpoints of the open interval I_i are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow q_i, y \in J_i} \mathbf{t}(y) &= \mathbf{t}(a, 0, i) \text{ (the closed endpoint of } L_{0,i}); \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow q_j, y \in J_i} \mathbf{t}(y) &= \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, 0, j) \text{ (the closed endpoint of } R_{0,j}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) *For each $k \geq 0$, the open endpoint of $L_{k,i}$ (respectively $R_{k,i}$) is equal to the closed endpoint of $L_{k+1,i}$ (respectively $R_{k+1,i}$).*

(c) *$L_{k,i} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$; and $R_{k,i} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}_i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation of the open endpoints of the intervals, using the facts that $B^{-1}(y) \rightarrow a$ as $y \rightarrow q_0$ through (q_0, b) , and $B^{-1}(y) \rightarrow \alpha_u$ as $y \rightarrow q_0$ through (a, q_0) . $\square$

Remark 4.51. For each $y \in \gamma \setminus \{q_{n-1}\}$, $k \geq 0$, and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have

$$\widehat{B}(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{t}(y, k, i+1) & \text{if } i < n-1, \\ \mathbf{t}(y, k+1, 0) & \text{if } i = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{B}(L_{k,i}) = \begin{cases} L_{k,i+1} & \text{if } i < n-1, \\ L_{k+1,0} & \text{if } i = n-1, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and analogously for $\widehat{B}(R_{k,i})$.

An alternative way of decomposing $\widehat{S}$, which we will use in Section 5, is to define $\mathbf{t}(y, -k, i) = \widehat{B}^{-nk+i}(\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0))$ for $k \geq 1$, and hence to extend the definition of the intervals $L_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,i}$ to all integers k , so that (14), and its analog for $\widehat{B}(R_{k,i})$, hold for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by Theorem 4.33 (b)(iii), $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} (L_{k,i} \cup R_{k,i})$ is equal to $\widehat{S} \setminus (\mathbf{Q} \cup \mathbf{P})$, where $\mathbf{P}$ is the period n orbit of $\widehat{B}$ which is disjoint from γ (which has one point in each of the intervals I_i).

Lemma 4.52. $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L} = \widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. *In particular, $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $\widehat{S}$.*

Proof. All points of the open intervals I_i are landing of level 0, so that $I_i \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L}$ for each i .

Points of $L_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,i}$ are landing of level $kn + i + 1$. By Lemma 4.50, every point of $L_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,i}$, including their closed endpoints, has a neighborhood which is uniformly landing of level $kn + i + 1$, so these intervals are also contained in $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L}$. This shows that $\widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{L}$.

We next show that $\mathbf{q}_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{L}$ (and hence $\mathbf{q}_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{R}$). Pick any two distinct elements x and z of $[a, f^n(a))$. By Lemma B.2 (a), if $\mu \preceq w_q 0(w_q 1)^\infty$ then $f^n([a, f^n(a))) = [a, f^n(a)]$; and by Lemma B.2 (b), if $\mu \succ w_q 0(w_q 1)^\infty$ then there is some N with $f^N([a, f^n(a))) = [a, b]$, so that $f^{N+i}([a, f^n(a))) \supset [a, f^n(a)]$ for all $i \geq 0$. Hence in either case there are sequences $(x^{(k)})$ and $(z^{(k)})$ in $[a, f^n(a))$ with $f^{kn}(x^{(k)}) = x$ and $f^{kn}(z^{(k)}) = z$ for all sufficiently large k .

Since $\tau(q_{n-1}) = f^n(a)$ there exist, by Definition 3.2, sequences $(v_1^{(k)})$ and $(v_2^{(k)})$ in $[1/2, 1)$ with $H(q_{n-1}, v_1^{(k)}) = f(x^{(k)})$ and $H(q_{n-1}, v_2^{(k)}) = f(z^{(k)})$ for each k . Then, by (8), we have that, for sufficiently large k , $R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}(kn + 2v_1^{(k)} - 1)_0 = f^{kn}(x^{(k)}) = x$ and $R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}(kn + 2v_2^{(k)} - 1)_0 = f^{kn}(z^{(k)}) = z$. Therefore $R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}$ does not land, so that $\mathbf{q}_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{L}$ as required.

Since $\widehat{H}^{i+1}$ maps $R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}$ onto $R_{\mathbf{q}_i}$ for $0 \leq i < n-1$, it follows that $\mathbf{q}_i \notin \mathcal{L}$ for all i . $\square$

For future reference, we record the landing points corresponding to the elements $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$ of $\widehat{S}$ which are given, using (9) and the facts that $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i) \in \mathcal{L}_{kn+i+1}$ and that $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)_{kn+i+1} = y$, by

$$\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)) = \langle f^{kn+i+1}(\tau(y)), \dots, f(\tau(y)), \tau(y), \tau(B^{-1}(y)), \dots \rangle. \quad (15)$$

We next define some good chains of crosscuts for each $\mathbf{q}_i$. The class of crosscuts which we use is introduced in Definition 4.54, and it will be shown in Lemma 4.59 how these combine to give good chains.

Definitions 4.53 ($\widehat{y}, \min(y, \widehat{y})$). For each $y \in \gamma$, denote by $\widehat{y}$ the “symmetric” element of γ satisfying

- (a) $f(\tau(\widehat{y})) = f(\tau(y))$, and
- (b) $\widehat{y} \neq y$ unless $y = c_u$.

We set $\min(y, \widehat{y}) = y$ if $y \in [c_u, a]$, and $\min(y, \widehat{y}) = \widehat{y}$ otherwise. (This convention is so that $\tau(\min(y, \widehat{y})) = \min(\tau(y), \tau(\widehat{y}))$).

Definition 4.54 (The crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ and $\Gamma(y, k, i)$). For each $y \in (c_u, a] \setminus \{\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1})\}$, each $k \geq 0$, and each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we define a crosscut $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$ by

$$\Gamma'(y, k, i) = \xi'([\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, k, i), \mathbf{t}(y, k, i)], kn + i + 1 + u(y))$$

where $u(y)$ is given by Definition 4.4. Here $[\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, k, i), \mathbf{t}(y, k, i)]$ is the interval in $\widehat{S}$ with the given endpoints which is disjoint from I_i . We define $\Gamma(y, k, i) = \Psi(\Gamma'(y, k, i))$, a crosscut in $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$.

Remark 4.55. $(\mathbf{q}_i, \infty) \in U(\Gamma'(y, k, i))$ if and only if $y \in (\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}), a]$. See Figure 8.

Lemma 4.56. For each $y \in (c_u, a] \setminus \{\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1})\}$, each $k \geq 0$, and each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $\Gamma(y, k, i) = \widehat{H}^{kn+i}(\Gamma(y, 0, 0))$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.10 we have $\widehat{H}^{kn+i}(\Gamma(y, 0, 0)) = \Psi(G^{kn+i}(\Gamma'(y, 0, 0)))$, where $G: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is given (see Definition 4.1) by $G(\mathbf{y}, s) = (\widehat{B}(\mathbf{y}), \lambda(s))$. Now $G^{kn+i}(\Gamma'(y, 0, 0)) = \Gamma'(y, k, i)$ by Remark 4.51 and the fact that $\lambda(s) = s + 1$ for $s \geq 1$. The result follows. $\square$

The following is a key lemma for the remainder of the paper. It implies, in particular, that each crosscut $\Gamma(y, k, i)$ is contained in a stable set for $\widehat{H}$; and hence that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(y, k, i)) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.57. Let $y \in (c_u, a] \setminus \{\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1})\}$, $k \geq 0$, and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then every $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(y, k, i)$ has $x_{kn+i} = f(\tau(y))$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.56, we need only show that every $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(y, 0, 0)$ has $x_0 = f(\tau(y))$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(y, 0, 0) &= \Psi(\xi'([\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0), \mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)], 1 + u(y))) \\ &= \Psi(\xi'([\langle q_0, \widehat{y}, B^{-1}(\widehat{y}), \dots \rangle, \langle q_0, y, B^{-1}(y), \dots \rangle], 1 + u(y))). \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Since $\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)_1 = y$ and $\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)_{1+i} \notin \overset{\circ}{\gamma}$ for all $i \geq 1$, Lemma 4.20 gives that $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0), s)_0 = f(\tau(y))$ for all $s \geq 1 + u(y)$; similarly $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0), s)_0 = f(\tau(\widehat{y})) = f(\tau(y))$ for all $s \geq 1 + u(y)$.




FIGURE 8. The crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$, drawn under the assumption that $q_{n-1} \in (c_u, a]$, so that the interval $L_{k,i}$ is shorter than the interval $R_{k,i}$. In this figure the labels α_u , $\widehat{q}_{n-1}$, c_u , and a are abbreviations of $(\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i), \infty)$, $(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \infty)$, $(\mathbf{t}(c_u, k, i), \infty)$, and $(\mathbf{t}(a, k, i), \infty)$. The dotted lines represent limits of the crosscuts, which are not themselves of the form $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$: see Remark 4.58

- (b) It remains to show that $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, 1 + u(y))_0 = f(\tau(y))$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in [\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0), \mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)]$. Now in the case $y \in (\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}), a]$ we have, by Lemma 4.50,

$$[\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0), \mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)] = \{\mathbf{t}(y', 0, 0) : y' \in [\widehat{y}, y] \setminus \{q_{n-1}\}\} \cup \{\mathbf{q}_0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_{k,0} \cup R_{k,0}),$$

while in the case $y \in (c_u, \min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}))$ we have $[\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0), \mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)] = \{\mathbf{t}(y', 0, 0) : y' \in [\widehat{y}, y]\}$.

If $y' \in [\widehat{y}, y]$ with $y' \neq q_{n-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{t}(y', 0, 0), 1 + u(y))_0 &= H(y', \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))) \\ &= f(\tau(y)) \end{aligned}$$

as required. Here the first equality uses (8) and that $(1 + u(y))/2 = \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))$, while the second uses Lemma 4.5 and the fact that $u(y) \leq u(y')$, since $y' \in [\widehat{y}, y]$.

On the other hand, if we are in the case $y \in (\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}), a]$, and if $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{q}_0$ or $\mathbf{y}$ is in $L_{k,0}$ or $R_{k,0}$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $y_1 = q_{n-1}$, and (8) and Lemma 4.5 give

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, 1 + u(y))_0 = H(q_{n-1}, \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))) = f(\tau(y))$$

as required, since $\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y))) \leq u(q_{n-1})$.

□

Remark 4.58. There are two connected components of dotted lines on Figure 8, which are limits of the crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$. One is the arc $\{\mathbf{t}(c_u, k, i)\} \times [kn + i + 2, \infty]$, and the other is the union of the crosscut $\xi'([\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \mathbf{t}(a, k + 1, i)], kn + i + 1 + u(q_{n-1}))$ and the crosscut $\xi'([\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k + 1, i)], kn + i + 1 + u(q_{n-1}))$, each interval in $\widehat{S}$ being the one which contains $\mathbf{q}_i$.

By the continuity of Ψ on $\widetilde{A}$, every point $(\mathbf{y}, s)$ of the former has $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{kn+i} = f(\tau(c_u)) = b$, and every point $(\mathbf{y}, s)$ of the latter has $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{kn+i} = f(\tau(q_{n-1})) = f^{n+1}(a)$.

Lemma 4.59. *Let $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$.*

- (a) *For every sequence $(y^{(k)})$ in $(\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}), a]$, the sequence $(\Gamma'(y^{(k)}, k, i))_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies conditions (a) – (c) of Definition 4.22 (of a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{q}_i$).*
- (b) *Let $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}$. Then there is a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{y}$.*

Proof. The sequence $(\Gamma'(y^{(k)}, k, i))_{k \geq 0}$ is a chain of crosscuts in $(\bar{A}, \widehat{S}_\infty)$ which satisfies condition (a) of Definition 4.22 by Lemma 4.52; it satisfies condition (b) by Lemma 4.50; and it satisfies condition (c) by Lemma 4.57, which gives that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(y, k, i)) \leq |b - a|/2^{kn+i}$.

For part (b) of the lemma, it suffices by Remark 4.23 (c) to find a good chain of crosscuts for each $\mathbf{q}_i$; that is, to show that we can choose the sequence $(y^{(k)})$ in such a way that $(\Gamma(y^{(k)}, k, i))_{k \geq 0}$ does not converge to a point of $\widehat{I}$. The argument is similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 4.52.

Pick two distinct points $x, z \in [a, \min(\tau(q_{n-1}), \tau(\widehat{q}_{n-1}))] = [a, \theta]$, where $\theta = \min(f^n(a), \widehat{f^n(a)})$. By Lemma B.2, there are sequences $(x^{(k)})$ and $(z^{(k)})$ in $[a, \theta]$ with $f^{kn}(x^{(k)}) = x$ and $f^{kn}(z^{(k)}) = z$ for all sufficiently large k . Since $x^{(k)}, z^{(k)} \in [a, \theta]$ we have $x_u^{(k)}, z_u^{(k)} \in (\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}), a]$ for each k . Then, by Lemma 4.57, every $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(x_u^{(k)}, k, i)$ has $x_{i+1} = x$, and every $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(z_u^{(k)}, k, i)$ has $x_{i+1} = z$, provided that k is sufficiently large.

Choosing $y^{(k)} = x_u^{(k)}$ when k is even, and $y^{(k)} = z_u^{(k)}$ when k is odd therefore gives a good chain of crosscuts. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 4.28 and Lemma 4.31 that $\mathcal{P}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is a homeomorphism, and that the prime end $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ is of the first kind for all $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Q}$. It therefore only remains to calculate the principal sets and impressions of the prime ends $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_i)$. We will do this for $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})$: the analogous results for the other $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_i)$ follow on observing that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_i) = \widehat{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}))$ for each i by Lemmas 4.56 and 4.59.

Lemma 4.60. *Let f be of rational interior type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$. Then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})) = \widehat{I}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.28 (b) and Lemma 4.59, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})$ is defined by the chain $(\Gamma(a, k, n - 1))_{k \geq 0}$, so it suffices to show that for every fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$ and $k \geq 0$, we have $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U(\Gamma(a, k, n - 1))}$.

By Lemma B.1 there is some N (which we take to be at least 3) with $f^N([a, \alpha]) \supset f^N([a, c]) = [a, b]$. For each j with $jn \geq N$, we can therefore choose $z^{(j)} \in [a, \alpha] \setminus \{\tau(q_{n-1})\}$ with $f^N(z^{(j)}) = x_{jn-N}$. (If $x_{jn-N} = f^N(\tau(q_{n-1}))$ then we also have $x_{jn-N} = f^N(\tau(\widehat{q}_{n-1}))$, and either $\tau(\widehat{q}_{n-1}) \neq \tau(q_{n-1})$ or $x_{jn-N} = f^N(c)$. In the latter case we have $x_{jn-N} = f^{N-2}(a) = f^{N-2}(\alpha)$, and since α has two f -preimages there is some $z^{(j)} \neq c$ with $f^N(z^{(j)}) = x_{jn-N}$.)

For each such j , let

$$\mathbf{y}^{(j)} = \mathbf{t}(z_u^{(j)}, j-1, n-1) = \langle (q_{n-1}, \dots, q_0)^j, z_u^{(j)}, B^{-1}(z_u^{(j)}), \dots \rangle,$$

which is landing of level jn . By Corollary 4.14 we have $\omega(\mathbf{y}^{(j)})_{jn-N} = f^N(z^{(j)}) = x_{jn-N}$, so that $\omega(\mathbf{y}^{(j)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(\mathbf{y}^{(j)}, \infty) \in \overline{U(\Gamma'(a, k, n-1))}$ for all $j > k$, we have $\omega(\mathbf{y}^{(j)}) \in \overline{U(\Gamma(a, k, n-1))}$ for all $j > k$, and hence $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{U(\Gamma(a, k, n-1))}$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 4.61. *Let f be of rational interior type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = q = m/n$. If $\kappa(f) \succ w_q 0(w_q 1)^\infty$ then $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})) = \widehat{I}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$. We show that $\mathbf{x} \in \Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}))$ by exhibiting a chain of crosscuts defining $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})$ which converges to $\mathbf{x}$.

By Lemma B.2 (b), there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f^N([a, \theta]) = [a, b]$, where $\theta = \min(f^n(a), \widehat{f^n(a)})$. For each k with $kn \geq N$, pick $z^{(k)} \in [a, \theta]$ with $f^N(z^{(k)}) = x_{kn-N}$. Since $z^{(k)} \in [a, \theta]$ we have $z_u^{(k)} \in (\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}), a]$ for each k . Therefore, by Lemma 4.59 (a), $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})$ is defined by the chain $(\Gamma(z_u^{(k)}, k-1, n-1))_{k \geq N/n}$.

By Lemma 4.57, every $\mathbf{v} \in \Gamma(z_u^{(k)}, k-1, n-1)$ has $v_{kn-1} = f(z^{(k)})$, and hence $v_{kn-N} = x_{kn-N}$. Therefore $\Gamma(z_u^{(k)}, k-1, n-1) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 4.62. *Let f be of rational interior type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = q = m/n$. If $\kappa(f) \preceq w_q 0(w_q 1)^\infty$ then $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I} : x_{\ell n} \in [a, f^n(a)] \text{ for all } \ell \geq 0\}$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma B.2 (a), which states that $f^n([a, f^n(a)]) = [a, f^n(a)]$ whenever $(w_q 0)^\infty \prec \kappa(f) \preceq w_q 0(w_q 1)^\infty$.

Write $X = \{\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I} : x_{\ell n} \in [a, f^n(a)] \text{ for all } \ell \geq 0\}$. To show that $X \subset \Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}))$, we exhibit, for each $\mathbf{x} \in X$, a chain of crosscuts defining $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})$ which converges to $\mathbf{x}$. By Lemma B.2 (a), $f^n(a)_u = \min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1})$, and for each $k \geq 0$ there is some $z^{(k)} \in [a, f^n(a)]$ with $f^n(z^{(k)}) = x_{kn}$. By Lemma 4.57, every $\mathbf{v} \in \Gamma(z_u^{(k)}, k, n-1)$ has $v_{(k+1)n-1} = f(z^{(k)})$, and hence $v_{kn} = x_{kn}$. Therefore $(\Gamma(z^{(k)}, k, n-1))_{k \geq 0}$ is a chain of crosscuts defining $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})$ which converges to $\mathbf{x}$.

To show that $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})) \subset X$, it is enough, by Lemma 2.21 and Theorem 4.28 (c), to show that $\text{Rem}(R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}) \subset X$. To do this, we fix $\ell \geq 0$ and show that $R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}(s)_{\ell n} \in [a, f^n(a)]$ for all $s \geq \ell n + 1$.

We therefore fix $s \geq \ell n + 1$, and write $P(s) = (t, v)$. Recalling that $\mathbf{q}_{n-1} = \langle (q_{n-1}, \dots, q_0)^\infty \rangle$, using (8), and abbreviating $R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}$ to R :

(a) If $t = rn$ for some $r \geq \ell + 1$ then

$$R(s)_{rn-1} = H(q_{n-1}, v) \in [f(a), f(\tau(q_{n-1}))] = [f(a), f^{n+1}(a)].$$

Since $f^{n-1}([f(a), f^{n+1}(a)]) = [a, f^n(a)]$ by Lemma B.2 (a), we have $R(s)_{(r-1)n} \in [a, f^n(a)]$, and hence $R(s)_{\ell n} \in [a, f^n(a)]$ as required.

(b) If $t = rn + i$ for some $r \geq \ell$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ then

$$R(s)_{rn+i-1} = R(s)_{t-1} = H(q_{n-1-i}, v) = \tau(B(q_{n-1-i})) = \tau(q_{n-i}) = f^{n-i+1}(a),$$

since $q_{n-1-i} \notin \hat{\gamma}$. Therefore $R(s)_{rn} = f^n(a)$, and hence $R(s)_{\ell n} \in [a, f^n(a)]$ as required. $\square$

Remark 4.63. Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_i) = \hat{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}))$ for $0 \leq i < n-1$, it follows that, whenever we have $(w_q 0)^\infty \prec \kappa(f) \preceq w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$,

$$\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_i)) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \hat{I} : x_{\ell n+i+1} \in [a, f^n(a)] \text{ for all } \ell \geq 0\}.$$

These principal sets are therefore homeomorphic to the inverse limit $\varprojlim ([a, f^n(a)], f^n)$ of the renormalized map.

The following theorem provides a summary of what we have proved in the rational interior case.

Theorem 4.64 (Prime ends in the rational interior case). *Let f be a unimodal map satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8, and suppose that $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in (0, 1/2)$ is rational, and that $\kappa(f) \notin \{\text{lhe}(m/n), (w_{m/n} 0)^\infty, \text{rhe}(m/n)\}$. Then*

- (a) *All except n of the prime ends of $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$ are of the first kind;*
- (b) *If $\kappa(f) \preceq w_{m/n} 0 (w_{m/n} 1)^\infty$, then the n remaining prime ends are of the fourth kind, with principal set $\varprojlim ([a, f^n(a)], f^n)$ and impression $\hat{I}$;*
- (c) *If $\kappa(f) \succ w_{m/n} 0 (w_{m/n} 1)^\infty$, then the n remaining prime ends are of the third kind, with principal set and impression $\hat{I}$; and*
- (d) *The prime end rotation number is m/n .* $\square$

Remark 4.65. By Theorem 4.28 (d), the set of accessible points of $\hat{I}$ is precisely $\{\omega(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \hat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}\}$. This set is partitioned into n immersed copies of the line.

4.7. The rational endpoint case. We finish by considering the rational endpoint case, where $\mu = \kappa(f)$ has rational height $q = q(\mu) \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\mu = \text{lhe}(q)$, $\mu = \text{rhe}(q)$, or $\mu = (w_q 0)^\infty$; or where $q = 0$. The following theorem summarizes this case.

Theorem 4.66 (Prime ends in the rational endpoint case). *Let f be a unimodal map satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8, and suppose that $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in [0, 1/2)$ is rational, and that $\kappa(f) \in \{\text{lhe}(m/n), (w_{m/n} 0)^\infty, \text{rhe}(m/n)\}$. Then*

- (a) *All except n of the prime ends of $(\hat{D}, \hat{I})$ are of the first kind;*
- (b) *The n remaining prime ends are of the second kind, with impression $\hat{I}$; and*
- (c) *The prime end rotation number is m/n .*

The arguments in the three cases where f is of early endpoint, strict endpoint, or late endpoint type are different, and we consider each of them briefly in turn, pointing out how they differ from similar arguments in the rational interior and irrational cases, and leaving the reader to fill in some details.

4.7.1. The strict endpoint case. In this case either $\mu = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ and $B^n(a) = a$; or $\mu = \text{rhe}(m/n)$ and $B^n(a) = \alpha_u$. We will suppose that $\mu = \text{lhe}(m/n)$: the other case can be treated in exactly the same way. Minor modifications are needed in the particular case $m/n = 0$ (i.e. when $\mu = 10^\infty$): we will assume that $m/n > 0$.

The analysis starts in the same way as the rational interior case. We write $q_i = B^{i+1}(a)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, so that $Q = \{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$ is a period n orbit of B , with $q_{n-1} = a \in \gamma$. Threads $\mathbf{q}_i$, $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$, and $\mathbf{t}(y)$ in $\widehat{S}$, and the periodic orbit $\mathbf{Q}$ of $\widehat{B}$ are introduced exactly as in Definitions 4.48.

Intervals $R_{k,i}$ and I_i can then be constructed as in Definitions 4.49. However, since $q_{n-1} = a$, the intervals $L_{k,i}$ of the rational interior case are empty. This means that $\mathbf{q}_i$ is an endpoint of the interval I_i , and is an accumulation on the other side of the intervals $R_{k,i}$ (Figure 9).




FIGURE 9. Ordering of intervals around the circle in the strict endpoint case when $B^n(a) = a$. Here $r = i - m^{-1} \bmod n$ and $j = i + m^{-1} \bmod n$

Since the threads $\mathbf{q}_i$ do not contain any entries from γ , the points of $\mathbf{Q}$ are landing of level 0, and hence $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{S}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{R} = \widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$, since the interior points of $R_{k,i}$ are not landing of any level less than $kn + i + 1$.

The construction of good chains of crosscuts for each $\mathbf{q}_i$ is reminiscent of the irrational gap endpoint case.

Lemma 4.67. *Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and let $(\mathbf{y}^{(k)})$ be any sequence in I_i which converges strictly monotonically to $\mathbf{q}_i$. For each $k \geq 1$, let $\mathbf{J}_k$ be the interval in $\widehat{S}$ with endpoints $\mathbf{y}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i)$ which contains $\mathbf{q}_i$. Let*

$$\xi'_k = \xi'(\mathbf{J}_k, nk + i).$$

Then (ξ'_k) is a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{q}_i$.

Proof. Conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 4.22 are immediate, and condition (d) is vacuous. It is therefore only necessary to show that $\text{diam}(\xi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\xi_k = \Psi(\xi'_k)$.

We have $\text{diam}(\xi_k \cap \Psi(\overline{I_i} \times [0, \infty])) \rightarrow 0$, since Ψ is continuous on $\overline{I_i} \times [0, \infty]$ by Lemma 4.17. To show that the diameters of the remaining parts of ξ_k go to zero, we will show that every $\mathbf{x}$ belonging to the arc $\Psi(\{\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i)\} \times [nk + i, \infty])$ or to the arc $\Psi((\mathbf{J}_k \setminus I_i) \times \{nk + i\})$ has $x_{nk+i-1} = \tau(q_1)$.

For the former, we have $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i), s)_{nk+i-1} = \tau(\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i)_{nk+i-1}) = \tau(q_1)$ for all $s \geq nk + i$ by Lemma 4.13, since $\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i)$ is landing of level 0.

For the latter, observe that if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{J}_k \setminus I_i$ then $y = \langle q_i, \dots, q_0, (q_{n-1}, \dots, q_0)^k, \dots \rangle$ and so $y_{nk+i} = q_0$. Therefore, by (8), $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, nk + i)_{nk+i-1} = H(q_0, 1/2) = \tau(q_1)$ as required. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 4.28 and Lemma 4.31 that $\mathcal{P}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is a homeomorphism; that the prime end $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})$ is of the first kind for all $\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Q}$; and that $\Pi(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{y})) = \{\omega(\mathbf{y})\}$ is a point for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Q}$. It therefore only remains to calculate the impressions of the prime ends $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_i)$. The proof of the following result works in exactly the same way as that of Lemma 4.60, using the chain of crosscuts from Lemma 4.67 in place of the chain $(\Gamma(a, k, n-1))_{k \geq 0}$.

Lemma 4.68. *Let f be of strict endpoint type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$. Then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})) = \widehat{I}$.* $\square$

4.7.2. *The late endpoint case.* Here $q = m/n > 0$ and $\mu = (w_q 0)^\infty$. In this case $q_{n-1} = B^n(a) \in \hat{\gamma}$ by Theorem 4.33 (b)(ii), and the treatment is identical to that of the rational interior case up until Lemma 4.52. Here, because Lemma B.2 doesn't apply when $\kappa(f) = (w_q 0)^\infty$, the proof that $\mathcal{L} = \hat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ breaks down. Instead we have:

Lemma 4.69. *Let f be of late endpoint type. Then $\mathcal{L} = \hat{S}$.*

Proof. The points a and $f^n(a)$ are distinct, but both have itinerary $\sigma((w_q 0)^\infty)$. Since $w_q 0$ is a word of length n with an odd number of 1s, $f^n|_{[a, f^n(a)]}$ is decreasing, so that $a < f^{2n}(a) < f^n(a)$. By Convention 2.8, there is a stable period n point $p \in (a, f^n(a))$ of f , with $f^{kn}(x) \rightarrow p$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in [a, f^n(a)]$.

Since every point of $\hat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ is landing of some level, it is only necessary to prove that the rays $R_{\mathbf{q}_i}$ land. It is enough to show this for $i = n - 1$ since $R_{\mathbf{q}_i} = \hat{H}^{i+1} \circ R_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$.

Fix $r \geq 0$ and let $s \geq r + 1$. Write $P(s) = (t, v)$ and $t = kn + i$ with $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$: then (8) gives $\Psi(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}, s)_r = f^{t-1-r}(H(q_{n-1-i}, v))$. If $i \neq 0$ then $H(q_{n-1-i}, v) = \tau(q_{n-i}) = f^{n-i+1}(a)$, so that $\Psi(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}, s)_r = f^{(k+1)n-r}(a)$. On the other hand, if $i = 0$ then $H(q_{n-1-i}, v) \in [f(a), f^{n+1}(a)]$ by Definition 3.2, so that $\Psi(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}, s)_r = f^{kn-r}(x)$ for some $x \in [a, f^n(a)]$.

Therefore $\Psi(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}, s)_r \rightarrow f^{n-r}(p)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\Psi(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}, s) \rightarrow \omega(\mathbf{q}_{n-1}) = \langle (p, f^{n-1}(p), \dots, f(p))^\infty \rangle \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that $\mathbf{q}_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ as required. $\square$

Remark 4.70. Thus the points of $\mathbf{Q}$ are landing, despite not being landing of any level. This is the only case in which $\mathcal{L} \neq \bigcup_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_N$.

Since the interior points of $R_{k,i}$ are not landing of any level less than $kn + i + 1$, the locally uniformly landing set is given by $\mathcal{R} = \hat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. The proof of Lemma 4.59 (a) goes through without change to show that $(\Gamma'(a, k, i))_{k \geq 0}$ is a good chain of crosscuts for $\mathbf{q}_i$ (observing that condition (d) of Definition 4.22 is vacuous); and the proof of Lemma 4.60 likewise carries over to show that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q}_{n-1})) = \hat{I}$.

4.7.3. *The early endpoint case.* In this case $q = m/n > 0$ and $\mu = \text{lhe}(m/n)$, but $f^n(a) \neq a$. According to Theorem 4.33 (c)(ii), the orbit $\mathcal{O} = \{B^r(a) : r \geq 1\}$ is disjoint from γ , and is attracted to a period n orbit $Q \subset S \setminus \gamma$ of B : in particular, $B^{-r}(y)$ is defined for all $r \geq 0$ provided that $y \notin \mathcal{O}$. There are two possibilities: either Q is semi-stable and is the only periodic orbit of B , in which case the backwards orbit $\{B^{-r}(\gamma) : r \geq 0\}$ of γ is attracted to Q ; or Q is stable, and there is a repelling period n orbit $P \subset S \setminus \gamma$ of B , which attracts the backwards orbit of γ .

The analysis initially follows that of the irrational case. Elements of $\hat{S}$ can be written either as $\mathbf{t}(y, r)$, with $y \in \gamma$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$; or as $\mathbf{t}(y)$ with $y \in S \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{-r}(\gamma)$, these threads being defined exactly as in Definitions 4.37. It follows, as in the proof of Lemma 4.38, that $\mathcal{L} = \hat{S}$. “Gaps” $G_r = \{\mathbf{t}(y, r) : y \in \gamma\}$ can be defined as in Definition 4.39.

The difference with the irrational case is that the gaps G_r converge as $r \rightarrow \infty$ to the periodic orbit $\mathbf{Q}$ of $\hat{B}$ corresponding to Q ; and they converge as $r \rightarrow -\infty$ either to $\mathbf{Q}$ from the other side (in the case

where Q is the unique periodic orbit of B), or to the periodic orbit $\mathbf{P}$ of $\widehat{B}$ corresponding to P . Since G_r is uniformly landing of level $\max(r, 0)$, we have in either case that $\mathcal{R} = \widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$.

The construction of a good chain of crosscuts for each point $\mathbf{q}$ of $\mathbf{Q}$ can be carried out in exactly the same way as in the irrational case (Lemma 4.44); and the proof that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{q})) = \widehat{I}$ for each such $\mathbf{q}$ is identical to the proof of Lemma 4.45.

Remark 4.71. By Theorem 4.28 (d), the set of accessible points of $\widehat{I}$ is precisely $\{\omega(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S}\}$. Since the landing function ω is continuous from one side (but not from the other) at the points of $\mathbf{Q}$, the set of accessible points is partitioned into n immersed copies of $[0, \infty)$.

5. SEMI-CONJUGACY TO SPHERE HOMEOMORPHISMS

In this section we will prove (Theorem 5.13) that, for every unimodal map f , there is a semi-conjugacy $g: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \Sigma$ from the natural extension $\widehat{f}: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ to a sphere homeomorphism $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, which has mild point preimages. In the case where f is a post-critically finite tent map, we will identify these sphere homeomorphisms with the *generalized pseudo-Anosov maps* of [20] (Theorem 5.21).

It will be convenient to collapse to points both the “outer” boundary $\widehat{S} \times \{0\}$ of the annulus $\bar{A}$, and the boundary of the disk $\widehat{D}$. In order to avoid excessive notation, we will denote induced maps between the identification spaces with the same symbols as their originals, and use the original notation to describe points of these spaces which are not in the relevant boundaries, and subsets which do not intersect the relevant boundaries.

Definitions 5.1 (Δ , ∂' , Δ^* , $G: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$; T , ∂ , $\widehat{H}: T \rightarrow T$, $\Psi: \Delta^* \rightarrow T$). Let Δ be the compact disk obtained by collapsing the boundary $\widehat{S} \times \{0\}$ of $\bar{A}$ to a point ∂' . The homeomorphism induced by $G: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is denoted $G: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$. We write Δ^* for the subset of Δ corresponding to $A \cup \mathcal{L}_\infty$ (that is, $\Delta^* = \Delta$ if f is of irrational or rational endpoint type, and otherwise $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \mathbf{Q}$).

Let T be the sphere obtained by identifying the boundary of $\widehat{D}$ to a point ∂ . The homeomorphism induced by $\widehat{H}: \widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ is denoted $\widehat{H}: T \rightarrow T$. The function induced by $\Psi: A \cup \mathcal{L}_\infty \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ is denoted $\Psi: \Delta^* \rightarrow T$: it satisfies $\Psi(\partial') = \partial$.

In order to construct the semi-conjugacy, we will define a non-separating monotone upper semi-continuous decomposition $\mathcal{G}$ of T , whose elements are permuted by $\widehat{H}$, and each of which intersects $\widehat{I}$. By Moore’s theorem [34], the quotient space $\Sigma = T/\mathcal{G}$ is again a sphere, and $F = \widehat{H}/\mathcal{G}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a homeomorphism. Since each of the decomposition elements intersects $\widehat{I}$, the natural projection $T \rightarrow \Sigma$ induces a surjection $\widehat{I} \rightarrow \Sigma$, which semi-conjugates $\widehat{f} = \widehat{H}|_{\widehat{I}}$ to F .

To define the decomposition $\mathcal{G}$, we first introduce the *strongly stable* equivalence relation on Δ^* (Definition 5.2). The idea is that a strongly stable component of T is a maximal connected subset X of T with the property that, for all $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)} \in X$, there is some $N \geq 0$ with $\widehat{H}^N(\mathbf{x}^{(1)})_0 = \widehat{H}^N(\mathbf{x}^{(2)})_0$. A consequence of this is that $d(\widehat{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}), \widehat{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^{(2)})) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, so that strongly stable sets are stable: the converse is not true in general, since the unimodal map f may itself have non-trivial connected stable sets. Now such a subset X may intersect $\widehat{I}$ (and hence leave the image of Ψ) many times. A strongly stable component of Δ^* is a component of the preimage $\Psi^{-1}(X)$. Our decomposition will be based on these components.

In Lemmas 5.4, 5.6, 5.7, and 5.8 we describe the structure of the strongly stable equivalence classes for each of the types of unimodal map of Definition 2.31. We then use these to construct a decomposition $\mathcal{G}'$ of Δ : one of the decomposition elements is the union of ∂' and all of the strongly stable equivalence classes whose closure contains ∂' , while all of the other decomposition elements are single strongly stable equivalence classes or single points in $\mathbf{Q}$. From the description of the equivalence classes, it will follow that $\mathcal{G}'$ is upper semi-continuous. The decomposition $\mathcal{G}$ is obtained by carrying over $\mathcal{G}'$ with Ψ , and adding single inaccessible points of $\widehat{I}$ (which, by Theorem 4.28 (d), are precisely the points which are not in the image of Ψ). The upper semi-continuity of $\mathcal{G}$ follows from that of $\mathcal{G}'$ and the fact that Ψ restricts to a continuous map on each element of $\mathcal{G}'$ (Lemma 5.12).

Definition 5.2 (Strongly stable, strongly stable component). A subset Y of Δ^* is said to be *strongly stable* if, for all $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in Y$, there is some $N \geq 0$ such that $\widehat{H}^N(\Psi(\eta_1))_0 = \widehat{H}^N(\Psi(\eta_2))_0$.

The *strongly stable component* of $\eta \in \Delta^*$ is the largest connected strongly stable set which contains η (i.e. the union of all such connected strongly stable sets).

Remarks 5.3. (a) Since G and $\widehat{H}$ are topologically conjugate (Corollary 4.10), the homeomorphism $G: \Delta^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ permutes the strongly stable components.

(b) $\{\partial'\}$ is a strongly stable component, since $\widehat{H}^i(\Psi(\partial')) = \partial$ for all $i \geq 0$, while if $(\mathbf{y}, s) \neq \partial'$ then $\widehat{H}^i(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)) \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

5.1. The irrational and the rational early endpoint cases. Recall from Section 4.5 that if f is of irrational type then $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{S}$, so that $\Delta^* = \Delta$; that $\widehat{B}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ is a Denjoy counterexample, having an orbit $\{G_r : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of wandering intervals; and that the complement of the union of the interiors of these intervals is a Cantor set Λ , which is the set of points which are not locally uniformly landing.

If f is of rational early endpoint type (Section 4.7.3) then the description is the same as in the irrational case, except that the orbit of the intervals G_r converges as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and as $r \rightarrow -\infty$ to periodic orbits $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ of $\widehat{B}$, and $\mathcal{R} = \widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. If $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ are distinct, then the former is stable and the latter is unstable; while if $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}$, then $\mathbf{Q}$ is a semi-stable orbit, which is the limit on one side of the intervals G_r as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and on the other side of the intervals G_r as $r \rightarrow -\infty$.

The following lemma is illustrated in the irrational case by Figure 10. The picture in the early endpoint case is discussed in Remark 5.5.

Lemma 5.4. *Let f be of irrational type or of rational early endpoint type. Then the strongly stable components of Δ^* are $\{\partial'\}$ and:*

- (a) *for each $\mathbf{y} \in \widehat{S} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} G_r$, the line $L_{\mathbf{y}} = \{\mathbf{y}\} \times (0, \infty]$;*
- (b) *for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$:*
 - (i) *the arc $A_r = \{\mathbf{t}(c_u, r)\} \times [s_r, \infty]$; where $s_r = \lambda^r(1)$, i.e.*

$$s_r = \begin{cases} r+1 & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ 1/2^{|r|} & \text{if } r \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) for each $y \in (c_u, a)$, the crosscut $C_{r,y} = \xi'(\mathbf{J}_{r,y}, t_{r,y})$; where $\mathbf{J}_{r,y} \subset G_r$ has endpoints $\mathbf{t}(y, r)$ and $\mathbf{t}(\hat{y}, r)$, and $t_{r,y} = \lambda^r((1 + u(y))/2)$, i.e.

$$t_{r,y} = \begin{cases} r + u(y) & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ (1 + u(y))/2^{|r|+1} & \text{if } r \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

(iii) the union D_r of the arcs $\mathbf{t}(a, r) \times [u_r, \infty]$ and $\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, r) \times [u_r, \infty]$, and the set $G_r \times (0, u_r]$; where $u_r = \lambda^r(1/2)$, i.e.

$$u_r = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ 1/2^{|r|+1} & \text{if } r \leq 0. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 10. Strongly stable components in the irrational case. The types of components are: (a) vertical lines $L_{\mathbf{y}}$ above the buried points $\mathbf{y}$ of the Cantor set Λ ; (b) packets of crosscuts $C_{r,y}$ above each gap G_r , together with the “central” arc A_r which only intersects $\hat{S}_\infty$ at a single point; and (c) for each gap G_r , the set D_r consisting of the outermost crosscut above G_r together with the rectangle above this crosscut extending up to $s = 0$. Each set D_r is shown in the figure as the union of a shaded rectangle and the bold arcs which intersect it.

Proof. We first show that each of the sets listed is strongly stable.

- (a) Let $\mathbf{y} \in \hat{S} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} G_r$, so that $\mathbf{y} = \langle y, B^{-1}(y), B^{-2}(y), \dots \rangle$ for some y whose orbit under B is disjoint from γ . Since $\mathbf{y}$ is landing of level 0, Lemma 4.13 gives $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = \tau(y)$ for all $s \geq 1$. Applying G repeatedly (or arguing directly using that $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) = \langle (y, s), (B^{-1}(y), s/2), \dots \rangle$ for $s \in [0, 1)$) gives that, for each $m \geq 1$, $\hat{H}^m(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = f^m(\tau(y))$ for all $s \in [1/2^m, \infty]$. Therefore $L_{\mathbf{y}}$ is strongly stable.
- (b) $G(A_r) = A_{r+1}$, $G(C_{r,y}) = C_{r+1,y}$, and $G(D_r) = D_{r+1}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in (c_u, a)$. Since G permutes strongly stable components, it suffices to consider the case $r = 1$.

- (i) We have $\mathbf{t}(c_u, 1) = \langle B(a), c_u, B^{-1}(c_u), \dots \rangle$, which is landing of level 1. By Lemma 4.13, $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(c_u, 1), s)_0 = f(\tau(c_u)) = b$ for all $s \in [2, \infty] = [s_1, \infty]$, so that A_1 is strongly stable.
- (ii) Let $y \in (c_u, a)$. Since $\mathbf{t}(y, 1)_1 = y$ and $\mathbf{t}(y, 1)_{1+i} \notin \gamma$ for all $i \geq 1$, Lemma 4.20 gives that $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(y, 1), s)_0 = f(\tau(y))$ for all $s \geq 1 + u(y)$; similarly $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(\hat{y}, 1), s)_0 = f(\tau(\hat{y})) = f(\tau(y))$ for all such s .

Now let $z \in [\hat{y}, y]$, so that $(\mathbf{t}(z, 1), 1 + u(y))$ is on the horizontal segment of the crosscut. Then $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(z, 1), 1 + u(y))_0 = H(z, \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y))))$ by (8) and the definition of $u(y)$. Since $z \in [\hat{y}, y]$ we have $\phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y))) \leq \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(z)))$, so that $H(z, \phi^{-1}(f(\tau(y)))) = f(\tau(y))$ by Lemma 4.5, as required.

Therefore $\Psi(\eta)_0 = f(\tau(y))$ for all $\eta \in C_{1,y}$, so that $C_{1,y}$ is strongly stable.

- (iii) We have $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = f(\tau(a)) = f(a)$ for $(\mathbf{y}, s) \in \{\mathbf{t}(a, 1), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, 1)\} \times [1, \infty]$ as in (ii), and hence $(\hat{H}^m(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)))_0 = f^{m+1}(a)$ for all such $(\mathbf{y}, s)$ and all $m \geq 0$.

Now suppose that $s \in [1/2^m, 1/2^{m-1})$ for some $m \geq 1$, and that $\mathbf{y} \in G_1$, so that we have $\mathbf{y} = \langle B(a), y, B^{-1}(y), \dots \rangle$ for some $y \in \gamma$. Then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = (B(a), s)$ by (6), so that

$$\hat{H}^m(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = \tau(B^{m+1}(a)) = f^{m+1}(a),$$

using (3) and that the orbit of $B(a)$ is disjoint from γ . Therefore D_1 is strongly stable.

The proof that there are no connected strongly stable sets which strictly contain one of these sets is a routine consideration of cases. We will only show that A_1 is a strongly stable component, and omit the entirely analogous proofs in the other cases.

From the argument above, we have $\Psi(\eta)_0 = b$ for all $\eta \in A_1$. Therefore, if $\eta' \in \Delta^*$ satisfies $\hat{H}^N(\Psi(\eta'))_0 = \hat{H}^N(\Psi(\eta))_0$ for some $N \geq 0$ then $f^N(\Psi(\eta')_0) = f^N(b)$. There are therefore only countably many possible values which $\Psi(\eta')_0$ can take if η' is in the strongly stable component containing A_1 .

Now any connected set Y which strictly contains A_1 must intersect $C_{1,y}$ for all y in some interval $(c_u, e) \subset (c_u, a)$. Since $\Psi(\eta')_0 = f(\tau(y))$ for all $\eta' \in C_{1,y}$, and since f is not locally constant, it follows that $\{\Psi(\eta')_0 : \eta' \in Y\}$ is uncountable, and hence Y cannot be strongly stable. $\square$

Remark 5.5. In the early endpoint case, the strongly stable components above each interval G_r , and above points $\mathbf{y}$ of $\hat{S} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} G_r$, are exactly as depicted in Figure 10, but the intervals G_r are arranged differently. For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the intervals G_{i+kn} converge strictly monotonically to a point of $\mathbf{Q}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and the intervals G_{i-kn} converge strictly monotonically to a point of $\mathbf{P}$. The open intervals between each G_{i+kn} and $G_{i+(k+1)n}$ are contained in $\hat{S} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} G_r$, so that the strongly stable components above them are vertical lines. If $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ are distinct, then there are also intervals with one endpoint in $\mathbf{Q}$ and one in $\mathbf{P}$ which are likewise contained in $\hat{S} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} G_r$.

5.2. The rational case. Consider now the case where f is of rational type but not of early endpoint type, and let $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1/2)$. Recall that we write $q_{n-1} = B^n(a) \in \gamma$. We will treat in turn the general case together with the late endpoint case (Lemma 5.6), the NBT case (Lemma 5.7), and the strict endpoint case (Lemma 5.8). In the statements of these lemmas we use the notation $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (rather than just for $k \geq 0$) introduced in Definitions 4.48 and Remark 4.51. The crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ (with endpoints $\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)$ and $\mathbf{t}(\hat{y}, k, i)$) are defined for $k \geq 0$ in Definition 4.54, and

we extend the definition to $k < 0$ by imposing that $\Gamma'(y, k, i) = G^{kn+i}(\Gamma'(y, 0, 0))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ (see Lemma 4.56).

Recall that in the interior case, we have $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$, while in the endpoint case we have $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{S}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \widehat{S} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$; and that in the interior and late endpoint cases, there is a second period n orbit $\mathbf{P}$ of $\widehat{B}: \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$, while in the strict endpoint case $\mathbf{Q}$ is the only periodic orbit of $\widehat{B}$.

The following lemma is illustrated by Figure 11.

Lemma 5.6. *Let f be of rational general or late endpoint type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in (0, 1/2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then the strongly stable components of Δ^* are $\{\partial'\}$ and:*

(a) *for each $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}$, the line $L_{\mathbf{p}} = \{\mathbf{p}\} \times (0, \infty]$;*

(b) *for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$:*

(i) *the arc $A_{k,i} = \{\mathbf{t}(c_u, k, i)\} \times [r_{k,i}, \infty]$, where $r_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(2)$, i.e.*

$$r_{k,i} = \begin{cases} kn + i + 2 & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 1/2^{|k|n-i-1} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *for each $y \in (c_u, a] \setminus \{\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1})\}$, the crosscut $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$.*

(iii) *the union $D_{k,i}$ of*

- *the crosscut $\xi'([\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \mathbf{t}(a, k+1, i)], u_{k,i})$;*
- *the crosscut $\xi'([\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k+1, i)], u_{k,i})$; and*
- *the set $[\mathbf{t}(a, k+1, i), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k+1, i)] \times [u_{k,i}, v_{k,i}]$.*

Here $u_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(1 + u(q_{n-1}))$, $v_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(n+1)$, and all three of the intervals in $\widehat{S}$ are those with the given endpoints which are disjoint from $\mathbf{P}$.

Proof. (a) If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}$ then $\mathbf{p}$ is landing of level 0, and the proof that $L_{\mathbf{p}}$ is strongly stable is identical to that of part (a) of Lemma 5.4.

(b) Since $A_{k,i} = G^{kn+i}(A_{0,0})$, $\Gamma'(y, k, i) = G^{kn+i}(\Gamma'(y, 0, 0))$, and $D_{k,i} = G^{kn+i}(D_{0,0})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $y \in (c_u, a] \setminus \{\min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1})\}$, it suffices to consider the case $k = i = 0$.

That the sets $A_{0,0}$ and $\Gamma'(y, 0, 0)$, and the crosscuts of $D_{0,0}$, are strongly stable is immediate from Lemma 4.57 and Remark 4.58, which gives that $\Psi(\eta)_0 = b$ for all $\eta \in A_{0,0}$; $\Psi(\eta)_0 = f(\tau(y))$ for all $\eta \in \Gamma'(y, 0, 0)$; and $\Psi(\eta)_0 = f(\tau(q_{n-1}))$ for all η in the crosscuts of $D_{0,0}$.

To complete the proof that $D_{0,0}$ is strongly stable, it is therefore only required to show that for all $\mathbf{y} \in [\mathbf{t}(a, 1, 0), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, 1, 0)]$ and all $s \in [1 + u(q_{n-1}), n+1]$ we have $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = f(\tau(q_{n-1}))$. Given $\mathbf{y} \in [\mathbf{t}(a, 1, 0), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, 1, 0)] = \{\mathbf{q}_0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_{k,0} \cup R_{k,0})$, we have $y_0 = q_0$ and $y_r = q_{n-r}$ for $1 \leq r \leq n$. Since $y_1 = q_{n-1}$ and $y_{1+i} \notin \gamma$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, Lemma 4.20 gives that $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = f(\tau(q_{n-1}))$ for all $s \in [1 + u(q_{n-1}), n+1]$ as required.

The proof that there are no connected strongly stable sets which strictly contain one of these sets proceeds in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 5.4. $\square$

In the NBT case, where $q_{n-1} = c_u$, the interval $(c_u, \min(q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}))$ degenerates, leaving the simpler situation described in the following lemma, whose proof works in exactly the same way as that of Lemma 5.6. Its conclusions are illustrated by Figure 12.




FIGURE 11. Strongly stable components in the rational general or late endpoint cases. The types of components are: (a) vertical lines $L_{\mathbf{p}}$ above the points $\mathbf{p}$ of the periodic orbit $\mathbf{P}$; (b) crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ joining points of each $R_{k,i}$ to the corresponding points of $L_{k,i}$; (c) packets of crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ above the subinterval of $R_{k,i}$ consisting of points which don't correspond to points of $L_{k,i}$, together with the “central” arc $A_{k,i}$ which only intersects $\hat{S}_{\infty}$ at a single point; and (d) the sets $D_{k,i}$ which consist of a shaded region together with the bold arcs connected to it, and which intersect $\hat{S}_{\infty}$ at three points. The figure depicts the case where $q_{n-1} \in (c_u, a)$; if $q_{n-1} \in (\alpha_u, c_u)$, then the packets of crosscuts are in $L_{k,i}$ rather than in $R_{k,i}$

Lemma 5.7. *Let f be of rational NBT type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in (0, 1/2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then the strongly stable components of Δ^* are $\{\partial'\}$ and:*

- (a) for each $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}$, the line $L_{\mathbf{p}} = \{\mathbf{p}\} \times (0, \infty]$;
- (b) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$:
 - (i) for each $y \in (c_u, a]$, the crosscut $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$.
 - (ii) the union $D_{k,i}$ of

- the crosscut $\xi'([\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k+1, i), \mathbf{t}(a, k+1, i)], u_{k,i})$, and
- the set $[\mathbf{t}(a, k+1, i), \mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k+1, i)] \times [u_{k,i}, v_{k,i}]$.

Here $u_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(2)$, $v_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(n+1)$, and both of the intervals in $\hat{S}$ are those with the given endpoints which are disjoint from $\mathbf{P}$. $\square$

In the rational strict endpoint case, on the other hand, the interval $(\min(q_{n-1}, \hat{q}_{n-1}), a]$ degenerates, giving rise to a more substantial modification to the description. The following lemma is illustrated by Figure 13.




FIGURE 12. Strongly stable components in the rational NBT case. The packets of crosscuts which do not surround $\mathbf{q}_i$ have degenerated, and every component except for the lines $L_{\mathbf{p}}$ ($\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}$) touches $\hat{S}_{\infty}$ at two points

Lemma 5.8. *Let f be of rational strict endpoint type, with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n \in [0, 1/2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, and suppose that $q_{n-1} = \alpha_u$. Then the strongly stable components of Δ^* are $\{\partial'\}$ and:*

(a) *for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$:*

(i) *the arc $A_{k,i} = \{\mathbf{t}(c_u, k, i)\} \times [r_{k,i}, \infty]$, where $r_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(2)$, i.e.*

$$r_{k,i} = \begin{cases} kn + i + 2 & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 1/2^{|k|n-i-1} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *for each $y \in (c_u, a)$, the crosscut $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$.*

(b) *For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the set*

$$D_i = L_{\mathbf{q}_i} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{\mathbf{t}(a, k, i)} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{k,i},$$

where $L_{\mathbf{y}}$ is the line $\{\mathbf{y}\} \times (0, \infty]$ and $B_{k,i} = L_{k,i} \times (0, u_{k,i}]$ with $u_{k,i} = \lambda^{kn+i}(1)$, i.e.

$$u_{k,i} = \begin{cases} kn + i + 1 & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 1/2^{|k|n-i} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

If $q_{n-1} = a$, then the strongly stable components are given by replacing $\mathbf{q}_i$ with $\mathbf{q}_{i-m^{-1} \bmod n}$, $\mathbf{t}(a, k, i)$ with $\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k, i)$, and $L_{k,i}$ with $R_{k,i}$ in (b).

Proof. We suppose that $q_{n-1} = \alpha_u$, so that $m/n > 0$. The modifications needed for the case $q_{n-1} = a$ are straightforward (including for the special subcase $m/n = 0$, when $q_0 = a$ is fixed by B , and $\alpha_u = b$). Notice that, since the orbit of a is disjoint from $\hat{\gamma}$, we have $\tau(B^r(a)) = f^r(a)$ for all $r \geq 0$ by (3) (here




FIGURE 13. Strongly stable components in the strict endpoint case $q_{n-1} = \alpha_u$. The types of components are: (a) packets of crosscuts $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ above each interval $L_{k,i}$, together with the “central” arc $A_{k,i}$ which only intersects $\hat{S}_\infty$ at a single point; and (b), for each i , the set D_i consisting of the outermost crosscut above each $L_{k,i}$, the rectangles above these crosscuts extending to $s = 0$, and the line $L_{\mathbf{q}_i}$ above $\mathbf{q}_i$. In the figure, D_0 is the union of the shaded region and all of the bold arcs: it intersects $\hat{S}_\infty$ at infinitely many points. The line $L_{\mathbf{q}_r}$ (where $r = m^{-1} \bmod n$) is not included in the component.

the a on the left hand side is $a \in S$, while the a on the right hand side is $a \in I$). In particular, $f^n(a) = \tau(\alpha_u) = \alpha$, $f(a)$ is periodic of period n , and $\tau(q_i) = f^{i+1}(a)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

That the sets $A_{k,i}$ and $\Gamma'(y, k, i)$ are strongly stable is immediate from Lemma 4.57 and Remark 4.58.

To show that the sets D_i are strongly stable it suffices, since $G(D_i) = D_{i+1 \bmod n}$, to consider the case $i = 0$.

- (i) Let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{q}_0 = \langle (q_0, q_{n-1}, q_{n-2}, \dots, q_1)^\infty \rangle$. Since $y_1 = q_{n-1} = \alpha_u$ and $y_{1+i} \notin \hat{\gamma}$ for all $i \geq 1$, Lemma 4.20 gives that $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = f(\tau(\alpha_u)) = f(a)$ for all $s \in [1, \infty]$. On the other hand, if $s \in [1/2^r, 1/2^{r-1}]$ for some $r \geq 1$, then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_0 = (q_0, s)$, and hence $\hat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = \tau(B^r(q_0)) = \tau(q_{r \bmod n}) = f^{r+1}(a)$. Therefore, for each $r \geq 0$,

$$\hat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{q}_0, s))_0 = f^{r+1}(a) \quad \text{for all } s \in [1/2^r, \infty].$$

- (ii) By a similar argument applied to $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(a, 0, 0) = \langle q_0, a, B^{-1}(a), \dots \rangle$, we obtain that, for each $r \geq 0$, $\hat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, 0, 0), s))_0 = f^{r+1}(a)$ for all $s \in [1/2^r, \infty]$.

Now for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $G^{kn}(\mathbf{t}(a, 0, 0), s) = (\mathbf{t}(a, k, 0), \lambda^{kn}(s))$. By Corollary 4.10, we obtain that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, k, 0), s))_0 &= \hat{H}^r(\Psi(G^{kn}(\mathbf{t}(a, 0, 0), \lambda^{-kn}(s))))_0 \\ &= \hat{H}^{kn+r}(\Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, 0, 0), \lambda^{-kn}(s)))_0 \\ &= f^{kn}(f^{r+1}(a)) = f^{r+1}(a) \quad \text{provided that } \lambda^{-kn}(s) \in [1/2^r, \infty]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $r \geq 0$, we have

$$\widehat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, k, 0), s))_0 = f^{r+1}(a) \quad \text{for all } s \in [\lambda^{kn}(1/2^r), \infty].$$

(iii) Now let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0) = \langle q_0, y, B^{-1}(y), \dots \rangle \in L_{0,0}$, where $y \in [a, \alpha_u)$. Then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, 1)_0 = H(y, 1/2) = f(a)$ by (8) and (U1) of Definition 3.2. On the other hand, if $s \in (0, 1)$ we have $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s) = \langle (q_0, s), \dots \rangle$ and hence, as in (i), if $s \in [1/2^r, 1/2^{r-1})$ for some $r \geq 1$ then $\widehat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = f^{r+1}(a)$. Therefore, for each $r \geq 0$,

$$\widehat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = f^{r+1}(a) \quad \text{for all } s \in [1/2^r, 1] \text{ and all } \mathbf{y} \in L_{0,0}.$$

Since $G^{kn}(L_{0,0}) = L_{k,0}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, a similar argument to that of part (ii) establishes that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $r \geq 0$,

$$\widehat{H}^r(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = f^{r+1}(a) \quad \text{for all } s \in [\lambda^{kn}(1/2^r), u_{k,0}] \text{ and all } \mathbf{y} \in L_{k,0},$$

where we have used that $\lambda^{kn}(1) = u_{k,0}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, for all $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in D_0$, there is some $r \geq 0$ such that $\widehat{H}^r(\Psi(\eta_1))_0 = \widehat{H}^r(\Psi(\eta_2))_0 = f^{r+1}(a)$, establishing that D_0 is strongly stable as required.

The proof that there are no connected strongly stable sets which strictly contain one of these sets proceeds in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 5.4. $\square$

Definition 5.9 (The decomposition $\mathcal{G}'$ of Δ). Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be the decomposition of Δ whose elements are:

- $\{\eta\}$ for each $\eta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta^*$;
- Strongly stable components whose closures don't contain ∂' ; and
- The set X which is the union of the strongly stable components whose closures contain ∂' , together with ∂' .

Remark 5.10. It follows from the explicit descriptions of the strongly stable components that those whose closures don't contain ∂' are compact; and that the set X is also compact. Therefore $\mathcal{G}'$ is the largest partition of Δ into compact sets with the property that every strongly stable component is contained in a single partition element.

Moreover, the elements of $\mathcal{G}'$ are connected, and are permuted by G , since $G(X) = X$.

Lemma 5.11. $\mathcal{G}'$ is upper semi-continuous.

Proof. Let $\sim_{\mathcal{G}'}$ be the equivalence relation on Δ defined by $\eta \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta'$ if and only if η and η' belong to the same element of $\mathcal{G}'$. Let $\eta_j \rightarrow \eta$ and $\eta'_j \rightarrow \eta'$ be convergent sequences in Δ , with the property that $\eta_j \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta'_j$ for each j . We need to show that $\eta \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta'$.

If $\eta_j \in X$ for infinitely many j , then $\eta, \eta' \in X$; and similarly if $\eta_j \in \Delta \setminus \Delta^*$ for infinitely many j then $\eta = \eta'$. We will therefore assume in the remainder of the proof that all of the η_j lie in strongly stable components whose closures don't contain ∂' . We consider the four cases of Lemmas 5.4, 5.6, 5.7, and 5.8 in turn.

(a) If f is of irrational or rational early endpoint type (Lemma 5.4), then the strongly stable components $L_{\mathbf{y}}$ and D_r have ∂' in their closure. There is therefore a sequence (r_j) with the property that $\eta_j \in A_{r_j} \cup \bigcup_{y \in (c_u, a)} C_{r_j, y}$ for each j .

Suppose first that there is some r such that $r_j = r$ for infinitely many j . Discarding the other terms of the sequence, we can assume that $r_j = r$ for all j . If $\eta_j \in A_r$ for infinitely many j then $\eta, \eta' \in A_r$, so we can assume that there is a sequence (y_j) in (c_u, a) with $\eta_j, \eta'_j \in C_{r, y_j}$. Taking another subsequence if necessary, there is some $y \in [c_u, a]$ with $y_j \rightarrow y$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If $y = a$ then $\eta, \eta' \in X$; if $y = c_u$ then $\eta, \eta' \in A_r$; and otherwise, $\eta, \eta' \in C_{r, y}$.

We can therefore assume that, for each r , there are only finitely many j with $r_j = r$, and in particular η and η' cannot lie in A_r or in any $C_{r, y}$. Therefore $\eta, \eta' \in X$, completing the proof in this case.

- (b) If f is of rational general or late endpoint type (Lemma 5.6) with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$, then only the strongly stable components $L_{\mathbf{p}}$ have ∂' in their closure. Therefore X is a star with n branches, between each pair of which the configuration of decomposition elements is as depicted in Figure 11.

For at least one value of i , there are infinitely many j with $\eta_j \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (A_{k, i} \cup D_{k, i} \cup \bigcup_y \Gamma'(y, k, i))$, and we discard the other terms of the sequence and take $i = 0$ without loss of generality. There is therefore a sequence (k_j) with the property that $\eta_j \in A_{k_j, 0} \cup D_{k_j, 0} \cup \bigcup_y \Gamma'(y, k_j, 0)$ for all j . As in (a), if there is some k with $k_j = k$ for infinitely many j , then it is straightforward to show that $\eta \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta'$. Taking a subsequence, we can therefore assume that either $k_j \rightarrow -\infty$ or $k_j \rightarrow \infty$. In the former case we have $\eta, \eta' \in X$; and in the latter case we have $\eta = \eta' = \mathbf{q}_0$. The proof in the rational NBT case is analogous.

- (c) If f is of rational strict endpoint type then the strongly stable components D_i have ∂' in their closure. Arguing as in the general case, we can assume that $\eta_j \in A_{k_j, 0} \cup \bigcup_y \Gamma'(y, k_j, 0)$ for some sequence (k_j) with either $k_j \rightarrow -\infty$ or $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In both cases $\eta, \eta' \in X$.

□

Lemma 5.12. *The restriction of Ψ to each element of $\mathcal{G}'$, apart from the single points of $\Delta \setminus \Delta^*$ (where it is not defined), is a homeomorphism onto its image.*

Proof. This is immediate from the descriptions of the strongly stable components and Corollary 4.19 in all cases except for the element X of $\mathcal{G}'$ in the irrational, early endpoint, and strict endpoint cases.

Suppose that f is of irrational type, so that the strongly stable components are given by Lemma 5.4. Then $X \cap \widehat{S}_\infty$ is the Cantor set Λ_∞ . $\Psi|_X$ is injective since Ψ is injective on A and on Λ_∞ (Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 4.16), and $\Psi(\eta) \in \widehat{I}$ if and only if $\eta \in \widehat{S}_\infty$. Since Ψ is continuous away from $\widehat{S}_\infty$ (Corollary 4.9), it only remains to show that $\Psi|_X$ is continuous at the points of Λ_∞ (of course, Ψ itself is not continuous at these points).

Now $\Psi|_{\Lambda \times [0, \infty]}$ is continuous by Lemma 4.17, since Λ is uniformly landing of level 0. Thus it suffices to show that if $(\mathbf{y}, s) \in \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (G_r \times (0, u_r])$ is sufficiently close to a point $(\mathbf{y}', \infty)$ of Λ_∞ , then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)$ is close to $\Psi(\mathbf{y}', \infty)$, where $G_r \times (0, u_r]$ are the rectangles of Lemma 5.4 (b)(iii). In order to do this we will show that, for all $N \geq 2$, if $(\mathbf{y}, s) \in G_r \times (0, u_r]$ for some r , and $s > N$, then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{N-2} = \Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, r), \infty)_{N-2}$. This will establish the result, since if $(\mathbf{y}, s)$ is close to $(\mathbf{y}', \infty)$ then $(\mathbf{t}(a, r), \infty) \in \Lambda_\infty$ is also close to $(\mathbf{y}', \infty)$.

Recall that $u_r < 1$ for $r < 1$, and $u_r = r$ for $r \geq 1$. So if $(\mathbf{y}, s) \in G_r \times (0, u_r]$ and $s > N$, we have $N < s \leq r$. Observe that $G^{-(r-1)}(\mathbf{y}, s) = (\widehat{B}^{-(r-1)}(\mathbf{y}), \lambda^{-(r-1)}(s)) \in G_1 \times (0, 1]$.

Let $m \geq 0$ be such that $r - m \leq s < r - m + 1$, so that $\lambda^{-(r-1)}(s) \in [1/2^m, 1/2^{m-1})$. By the proof of Lemma 5.4 (b)(iii), we have $\hat{H}^m(\Psi(G^{-(r-1)}(\mathbf{y}, s)))_0 = f^{m+1}(a)$: therefore, by Corollary 4.10, $\hat{H}^{m-r+1}(\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s))_0 = f^{m+1}(a)$, and so $\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{r-m-1} = f^{m+1}(a)$. Since $r - m - 1 > s - 2 > N - 2$, it follows that

$$\Psi(\mathbf{y}, s)_{N-2} = f^{r-(N-2)}(a) \quad \text{whenever } (\mathbf{y}, s) \in G_r \times (0, r] \text{ with } s > N.$$

On the other hand, $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, r), \infty) = \omega(\mathbf{t}(a, r)) = \langle f^r(a), \dots, f(a), a, \tau(B^{-1}(a)), \dots \rangle$ by (9), since $\mathbf{t}(a, r) \in \mathcal{L}_r$. Therefore $\Psi(\mathbf{t}(a, r), \infty)_{N-2} = f^{r-(N-2)}(a)$, as required.

The proof when f is of early endpoint type is identical, with the periodic orbit $\mathbf{Q}$ in place of the Cantor set Λ ; and the proof when f is of strict endpoint type involves only minor modifications. $\square$

Theorem 5.13 (Semi-conjugacy to sphere homeomorphisms). *Let f be a unimodal map satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8. Then there is a sphere homeomorphism $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ and a continuous surjection $g: \hat{I} \rightarrow \Sigma$ which semi-conjugates $\hat{f}: \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{I}$ to $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$.*

Every fiber of g except for at most one contains three or fewer points, and only countably many fibers contain three points.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the decomposition of T whose elements are:

- the images under Ψ of the elements of $\mathcal{G}'$, other than points of $\Delta \setminus \Delta^*$; and
- single points which are not in the image of Ψ .

By Corollary 4.10 and Remark 5.10, the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ are permuted by $\hat{H}$. We now show that $\mathcal{G}$ is a non-separating monotone upper semi-continuous decomposition of T .

The elements of $\mathcal{G}$ are compact, connected, and do not separate T since the elements of $\mathcal{G}'$ are compact, connected, and contractible, and the restriction of Ψ to each of them is a homeomorphism by Lemma 5.12. To show that $\mathcal{G}$ is upper semi-continuous, let $\xi_j \rightarrow \xi$ and $\xi'_j \rightarrow \xi'$ be convergent sequences of points in T , with the property that $\xi_j \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \xi'_j$ for all j . We need to show that $\xi \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \xi'$. This is clearly the case if infinitely many of the ξ_j lie in singleton decomposition elements, so we can assume that $\xi_j = \Psi(\eta_j)$ and $\xi'_j = \Psi(\eta'_j)$, for sequences (η_j) and (η'_j) in Δ with $\eta_j \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta'_j$ for each j . Taking subsequences, we can assume that $\eta_j \rightarrow \eta \in \Delta$ and $\eta'_j \rightarrow \eta' \in \Delta$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and $\eta \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta'$ since $\mathcal{G}'$ is upper semi-continuous.

If Ψ is defined and continuous at both η and η' then $\xi = \Psi(\eta)$ and $\xi' = \Psi(\eta')$, so that $\xi \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \xi'$ by definition of the decomposition $\mathcal{G}$. We therefore suppose without loss of generality that Ψ is either undefined or discontinuous at η . There are two cases to consider.

Case 1: f is of rational interior or late endpoint type and $\eta = \mathbf{q}_i$ for some i , so that Ψ is not defined at η . There is therefore a sequence $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\eta_j \in A_{k_j, i} \cup D_{k_j, i} \cup \bigcup_y \Gamma'(y, k_j, i)$ (or, in the NBT case, $\eta_j \in D_{k_j, i} \cup \bigcup_y \Gamma'(y, k_j, i)$) for all sufficiently large j . Since $\eta'_j \sim_{\mathcal{G}'} \eta_j$, it follows from Lemma 4.57 and the proof of Lemma 5.6 that the $k_j n + i^{\text{th}}$ entries of ξ_j and ξ'_j are equal, and hence $d(\xi_j, \xi'_j) \leq |b - a|/2^{k_j n + i}$, for all sufficiently large j . Therefore $\xi = \xi'$, so $\xi \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \xi'$ as required.

Case 2: f is of irrational, early endpoint, or strict endpoint type, and $\eta \in \hat{S}_{\infty} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{\infty}$. In order to fix notation, we assume that f is of irrational type and $\eta \in \Lambda_{\infty}$: the other cases can be treated analogously.

For each $N \geq 0$, the set $\Lambda \cup \bigcup_{r < N} G_r$ is uniformly landing of level N , so that the restriction of Ψ to $U_N = (\Lambda \cup \bigcup_{r < N} G_r) \times [0, \infty]$ is continuous by Lemma 4.17. It follows that if there is some N such that $\eta_j \in U_N$ for infinitely many j , then $\xi = \Psi(\eta)$ and $\xi' = \Psi(\eta')$, so that $\xi \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \xi'$. We therefore assume that $\eta_j \in G_{r_j} \times [0, \infty]$ for some sequence $r_j \rightarrow \infty$.

From the proof of Lemma 5.4 (b), if $\zeta, \zeta' \in A_1$, or $\zeta, \zeta' \in C_{1,y}$ for some $y \in (c_u, a)$, then $\Psi(\zeta)_0 = \Psi(\zeta')_0$. Therefore if $\eta_j \in A_{r_j}$ or $\eta_j \in C_{r_j,y}$ for some y , then $d(\xi_j, \xi'_j) \leq |b-a|/2^{r_j-2}$; so that if infinitely many of the η_j are in such strongly stable components then $\xi = \xi'$. We can therefore suppose that $\eta_j, \eta'_j \in X$ for all j . Then $\xi = \Psi(\eta)$ and $\xi' = \Psi(\eta')$, so that $\xi \sim_{\mathcal{G}} \xi'$, since $\Psi|_X$ is continuous by Lemma 5.12.

Therefore $\Sigma = T/\mathcal{G}$ is a sphere by Moore's theorem, and $F = \widehat{H}/\mathcal{G}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a homeomorphism. Since every element of $\mathcal{G}$ intersects $\widehat{I}$, and $\widehat{H}|_{\widehat{I}} = \widehat{f}$, it follows that Σ is also the quotient of $\widehat{I}$ by the equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{G}|_{\widehat{I}}}$ on $\widehat{I}$ induced by $\mathcal{G}$; and the canonical projection $g: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{I}/\sim_{\mathcal{G}|_{\widehat{I}}} = \Sigma$ is a semi-conjugacy between $\widehat{f}$ and F .

The statement about the cardinalities of the fibers of g is immediate from the descriptions of the elements of $\mathcal{G}'$ (Definition 5.9 and Lemmas 5.4, 5.6, 5.7, and 5.8), every one of which except for X intersects $\widehat{S}_\infty$ in three or fewer points, and only countably many of which intersect $\widehat{S}_\infty$ in three points. $\square$

Remark 5.14. Since $\Psi|_X$ is a homeomorphism onto its image (Lemma 5.12), the restriction of $\widehat{f}$ to the exceptional fiber $\Psi(X \cap \widehat{S}_\infty)$ of g is topologically conjugate to the action of the circle homeomorphism $\widehat{B}$ on an invariant subset in the circle, and therefore has topological entropy zero. It follows from a result of Bowen (Theorem 17 of [13]), using the fact that all other fibers are finite, that $\widehat{f}: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ and $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ have the same topological entropy. Since $\widehat{f}$ and f also have the same topological entropy (this follows from the same result of Bowen), we conclude that the sphere homeomorphism $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ has the same topological entropy as the original unimodal map $f: I \rightarrow I$.

Remark 5.15. By the proof of Theorem 5.13, the fibers of the semi-conjugacy $g: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \Sigma$ can be described explicitly. Every non-trivial fiber is contained in the set of accessible points and, conversely, all but countably many trivial fibers are contained in the set of inaccessible points.

The accessible fibers of g are as follows:

- (a) In the irrational case, there is one fiber equal to $\omega(\Lambda)$, where Λ is the Cantor set of Definition 4.41; and there are countably many accessible trivial fibers $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(c_u, r))\}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. All other accessible fibers are of the form $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, r)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, r))\}$, where $y \in \mathring{\gamma} \setminus \{c_u\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) In the early endpoint case there is one fiber

$$\omega(\widehat{S}_\infty) \setminus \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, r)) : y \in \mathring{\gamma}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

which is either a countable union of disjoint intervals, or such a union together with finitely many isolated points; and there are countably many accessible trivial fibers $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(c_u, r))\}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. All other accessible fibers are of the form $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, r)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, r))\}$, where $y \in \mathring{\gamma} \setminus \{c_u\}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (c) In the strict endpoint case with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$ there is one countable fiber

$$\omega(\mathbf{Q}) \cup \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1\},$$

where $A = a$ if $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$, and $A = \alpha_u$ if $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$; and there are countably many accessible trivial fibers $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(c_u, k, i))\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. All other accessible fibers are of the form $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\hat{y}, k, i))\}$, where $y \in \mathring{\gamma} \setminus \{c_u\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

- (d) In the rational general and late endpoint cases with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$, there is one fiber $\omega(\mathbf{P})$ of cardinality n ; countably many 3-element fibers of the form

$$\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(\hat{q}_{n-1}, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(a, k+1, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k+1, i))\} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq n-1;$$

and countably many accessible trivial fibers $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(c_u, k, i))\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. All other accessible fibers are of the form $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\hat{y}, k, i))\}$, where $y \in \mathring{\gamma} \setminus \{q_{n-1}, \hat{q}_{n-1}, c_u\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

- (e) In the rational NBT case with $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$, there is one fiber $\omega(\mathbf{P})$ of cardinality n . All other accessible fibers are of the form $\{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\hat{y}, k, i))\}$, where $y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 5.13 is that *any* unimodal map with topological entropy greater than $\frac{1}{2} \log 2$ has natural extension semi-conjugate to a sphere homeomorphism, although the fibers of the semi-conjugacy may not be so well behaved when the conditions of Convention 2.8 are not satisfied.

Corollary 5.16. *Let f be any unimodal map (not necessarily satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8) with topological entropy $h(f) > \frac{1}{2} \log 2$. Then the natural extension $\hat{f}$ is semi-conjugate to a sphere homeomorphism with the same topological entropy as f .*

Proof. $h(f) > \frac{1}{2} \log 2$ is equivalent to $\kappa(f) \succ 101^\infty$. Now f is semi-conjugate to a tent map F with $\kappa(F) = \kappa(f)$ and $h(F) = h(f)$ (see [32, 38]), and hence $\hat{f}: \hat{I}_f \rightarrow \hat{I}_f$ is semi-conjugate to $\hat{F}: \hat{I}_F \rightarrow \hat{I}_F$, which is semi-conjugate to a sphere homeomorphism of topological entropy $h(F)$ by Theorem 5.13 and Remark 5.14. $\square$

5.3. The post-critically finite tent map case. In this section we consider the case in which f is a tent map (of slope $t > \sqrt{2}$) for which the orbit of b is either periodic or preperiodic. In particular (see Lemma 2.28 (a) and Remark 2.32), $q(\kappa(f)) = m/n$ is rational, and f is of interior or strict endpoint type.

We will show that the sphere homeomorphism $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.13 is pseudo-Anosov when $\kappa(f) = \text{NBT}(m/n)$; and otherwise is generalized pseudo-Anosov, in the sense of the following definition from [20].

Definition 5.17. A sphere homeomorphism $\Phi: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is *generalized pseudo-Anosov* if there exist

- (a) a finite Φ -invariant set Z ;
- (b) a pair $(\mathcal{F}^s, \mu^s)$, $(\mathcal{F}^u, \mu^u)$ of transverse measured foliations of $S^2 \setminus Z$ (whose transverse measures are non-atomic and positive on open subsets on transversals) with countably many pronged singularities, which accumulate on each point of Z and have no other accumulation points; and
- (c) a real number $\lambda > 1$; such that $\Phi(\mathcal{F}^s, \mu^s) = (\mathcal{F}^s, \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu^s)$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{F}^u, \mu^u) = (\mathcal{F}^u, \lambda \mu^u)$.

We first list the properties of the construction of [20] which will be needed (see Figure 14).

- (P1) The homeomorphism Φ is given by $\Phi = \Phi_*/\sim: R/\sim \rightarrow R/\sim$, where $\Phi_*: R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous self-map of a metric disk R , and $\sim$ is a Φ_* -invariant equivalence relation on R for which $R/\sim$ is a sphere.
- (P2) There is a projection $\pi: R \rightarrow [a, b]$ which semi-conjugates Φ_* to the tent map f .
- (P3) For each $x \in [a, b]$, the fiber $\mathfrak{F}_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a compact interval if x is not on the (finite) orbit of b , and is a dendrite otherwise.
- (P4) The map $x \mapsto \mathfrak{F}_x$ is upper semi-continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric (that is, for every $x_0 \in [a, b]$ and every neighborhood U of $\mathcal{F}_{x_0}$, there is a neighborhood V of x_0 with $\mathcal{F}_x \subset U$ for all $x \in V$).
- (P5) Φ_* is injective on each fiber $\mathfrak{F}_x$, and contracts it uniformly by a factor $1/t$ (where t is the slope of the tent map f).
- (P6) The dynamics of Φ_* on the boundary ∂R is given by the outside map $B: S \rightarrow S$ corresponding to f . More precisely (Lemma 16 of [20]), there is a homeomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow \partial R$ with the property that $\Phi_*(\theta(y)) \in \partial R$ if and only if $y \notin \dot{\gamma}$, and in this case $\Phi_*(\theta(y)) = \theta(B(y))$. Moreover, $\tau(y) = \pi(\theta(y))$ for each $y \in S$. We will suppress the homeomorphism θ , and label points and subsets of ∂R with the same symbols as the corresponding points and subsets of S . With this convention, we have $\Phi_* = B$ on $S \setminus \dot{\gamma}$.
- (P7) If $x \in [a, f(a))$ or $x = b$ then $\Phi_*(\mathfrak{F}_z) = \mathfrak{F}_x$, where z is the unique element of $[a, b]$ with $f(z) = x$.
- (P8) If $x \in [f(a), b)$, then x has two f -preimages $z, \hat{z} \in [a, \alpha]$. We have $\Phi_*(\mathfrak{F}_z) \cup \Phi_*(\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{z}}) = \mathfrak{F}_x$; and $\Phi_*(\mathfrak{F}_z)$ and $\Phi_*(\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{z}})$ intersect at exactly one point, which is $\Phi_*(z_u) = \Phi_*(\hat{z}_u)$. (Notice that $z_u, \hat{z}_u \in \gamma$.)
- (P9) The equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined as follows: if $\xi, \xi' \in R$, then $\xi \sim \xi'$ if and only if there is some $r \geq 0$ such that either $\Phi_*^r(\xi) = \Phi_*^r(\xi')$, or $\Phi_*^r(\xi)$ and $\Phi_*^r(\xi')$ both belong to the periodic orbit P of Φ_* on ∂R . (This periodic orbit is given by Theorem 4.33 (b)(iii) in the interior case; and is the orbit of $B(a)$ in the endpoint case.)

We will also use the following consequences of these properties:

- (P10) It follows from (P7) and (P8) that Φ_* is injective away from $\gamma \setminus \{c_u\}$, while if $y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u\}$ then $\Phi_*^{-1}(\Phi_*(y)) = \{y, \hat{y}\}$. In particular the only point of ∂R which has more than one preimage is q_0 .
- (P11) It follows from (P7) and (P8) that Φ_* is surjective; and
- (P12) It follows from (P6), (P9), and (P10) that all of the non-trivial equivalence classes of $\sim$ are contained in ∂R .

Definitions 5.18 ($\widehat{\Phi}_*: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R}$, $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$). Write $\widehat{R} = \varprojlim (R, \Phi_*)$, and let $\widehat{\Phi}_*: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ be the natural extension of Φ_* . Let $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ be the function induced by the semi-conjugacy $\pi: R \rightarrow I$ of (P2), that is, $\widehat{\pi}(\xi)_i = \pi(\xi_i)$.

We will show (Theorem 5.21) that $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is topologically conjugate to $\Phi: R/\sim \rightarrow R/\sim$. The proof is structured as follows. We first show (Lemma 5.19) that $\widehat{\pi}$ is a homeomorphism which




FIGURE 14. Schematic representation of $\Phi_*: R \rightarrow R$. The boundary of R is identified with the circle S . The map Φ_* is injective except on $\gamma \setminus \{c_u\} = [\alpha_u, a] \setminus \{c_u\}$, where it is two-to-one. We have $\Phi_*(a) = \Phi_*(\alpha_u) = B(a)$, where B is the outside map.

conjugates $\widehat{\Phi}_*$ and $\widehat{f}$. There are therefore commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widehat{R} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_*} & \widehat{R} \\
 \widehat{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{\pi} \\
 \widehat{I} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{f}} & \widehat{I} \\
 g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 \Sigma & \xrightarrow{F} & \Sigma
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \widehat{R} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}_*} & \widehat{R} \\
 p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_0 \\
 R & \xrightarrow{\Phi_*} & R \\
 p_\sim \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\sim \\
 R/\sim & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R/\sim
 \end{array}
 \tag{16}$$

where $p_0(\xi) = \xi_0$, and $p_\sim$ is the canonical projection of $\sim$. In order to show that F and Φ are conjugate, it therefore suffices to show that the fibers of $g \circ \widehat{\pi}$ agree with those of $p_\sim \circ p_0$: in other words, that $g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi)) = g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi'))$ if and only if $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$. This will be done using the description of the fibers of g given in Remark 5.15, together with the technical Lemma 5.20.

Lemma 5.19. *$\widehat{\pi}$ is a homeomorphism which conjugates $\widehat{\Phi}_*$ and $\widehat{f}$.*

Proof. $\widehat{\pi}$ is clearly continuous, and semi-conjugates $\widehat{\Phi}_*$ and $\widehat{f}$ since π semi-conjugates Φ_* and f . We will exhibit an explicit inverse $v: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ of $\widehat{\pi}$, which will establish the result since $\widehat{R}$ and $\widehat{I}$ are compact metric spaces.

To do this, we first define a function $h: \widehat{I} \rightarrow R$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{x_0} \supset \Phi_*(\mathcal{F}_{x_1}) \supset \Phi_*^2(\mathcal{F}_{x_2}) \supset \dots$ by (P7) and (P8). Since each $\Phi_*^j(\mathcal{F}_{x_j})$ is compact and non-empty by (P3) and (P1), the intersection $\bigcap_{j \geq 0} \Phi_*^j(\mathcal{F}_{x_j})$ is non-empty; moreover, it contains a single point by (P5). We define $h(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{F}_{x_0}$ to be the unique point of this intersection. Then $h \circ \widehat{f} = \Phi_* \circ h$ by construction. Moreover, h is continuous: for if U is a neighborhood of $h(\mathbf{x})$, then by (P5) and the definition of h there is some N with $\Phi_*^N(\mathcal{F}_{x_N}) \subset U$. By (P4), if $\mathbf{x}'$ is sufficiently close to $\mathbf{x}$ then we have also $\Phi_*^N(\mathcal{F}_{x'_N}) \subset U$, and hence $h(\mathbf{x}') \in U$.

Define $v: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ by $v(\mathbf{x})_i = h(\widehat{f}^{-i}(\mathbf{x}))$. That $v(\mathbf{x}) \in \widehat{R}$ follows from $\Phi_* \circ h = h \circ \widehat{f}$, which gives $\Phi_*(h(\widehat{f}^{-(i+1)}(\mathbf{x}))) = h(\widehat{f}^{-i}(\mathbf{x}))$ for each i .

We now show that v is inverse to $\widehat{\pi}$. First, let $\mathbf{x} \in \widehat{I}$. Then for each $i \geq 0$,

$$\widehat{\pi}(v(\mathbf{x}))_i = \pi(v(\mathbf{x})_i) = \pi(h(\widehat{f}^{-i}(\mathbf{x}))) = x_i,$$

since $h(\widehat{f}^{-i}(\mathbf{x})) = h(\langle x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots \rangle) \in \mathcal{F}_{x_i}$. On the other hand, if $\xi \in \widehat{R}$, then for each $i \geq 0$,

$$v(\widehat{\pi}(\xi))_i = h(\widehat{f}^{-i}(\widehat{\pi}(\xi))) = h(\langle \pi(\xi_i), \pi(\xi_{i+1}), \dots \rangle) = \xi_i,$$

since for every $j \geq 0$ we have $\xi_i = \Phi_*^j(\xi_{i+j}) \in \Phi_*^j(\mathcal{F}_{\pi(\xi_{i+j})})$, so that ξ_i is the unique element of $\bigcap_{j \geq 0} \Phi_*^j(\mathcal{F}_{\pi(\xi_{i+j})})$. $\square$

The following lemma expresses the connection between the equivalence relation $\sim$ defined in (P9) and the identifications on $\widehat{I}$ described in Remark 5.15.

Lemma 5.20. *Let $\xi, \xi' \in \widehat{R}$.*

(a) *If f is of rational general type, then $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$ but $\xi_1 \neq \xi'_1$ if and only if either*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0))\} \text{ for some } y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u, q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}\}; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(a, 1, 0))\}; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, 1, 0))\}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) *If f is of rational NBT type, then $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$ but $\xi_1 \neq \xi'_1$ if and only if*

$$\{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} = \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0))\} \text{ for some } y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u\}.$$

(c) *If f is of rational (strict) endpoint type, then $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$ but $\xi_1 \neq \xi'_1$ if and only if either*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0))\} \text{ for some } y \in \dot{\gamma} \setminus \{c_u\}; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(A, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, \ell, 0))\} \text{ for some } \ell > 0; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(A, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{q}_0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = a$ if $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$, and $A = \alpha_u$ if $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$.

(d) *If f is of rational (strict) end point type, then there is some $r \geq 0$ with $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0) \in P$ (the periodic orbit of (P9)) if and only if there is some i with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that either $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{q}_i)$, or $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $A = a$ if $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$, and $A = \alpha_u$ if $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$.*

Proof. Assume first that f is of general type. By (P10), we have $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$ but $\xi_1 \neq \xi'_1$ if and only if $\{\xi_1, \xi'_1\} = \{y, \widehat{y}\}$ for some $y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u\}$.

Since (i) $\Phi_*^{-1}(\partial R) \subset \partial R$; (ii) the only point of ∂R which has more than one preimage is $q_0 = \Phi_*(a) = \Phi_*(\alpha_u)$; and (iii) the only point of γ on the orbit of q_0 is q_{n-1} , it follows that, for $y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u, q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi_1, \xi'_1\} = \{y, \widehat{y}\} &\iff \{\xi, \xi'\} = \{\langle \Phi_*(y), y, B^{-1}(y), \dots \rangle, \langle \Phi_*(y), \widehat{y}, B^{-1}(\widehat{y}), \dots \rangle\} \\ &\iff \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} = \{\langle f(\tau(y)), \tau(y), \tau(B^{-1}(y)), \dots \rangle, \langle f(\tau(y)), \tau(\widehat{y}), \tau(B^{-1}(\widehat{y})), \dots \rangle\} \\ &\iff \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} = \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, 0, 0)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, 0, 0))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (P6) (in particular that $\Phi_* = B$ on $S \setminus \dot{\gamma}$ in the first line, and that $\pi = \tau$ on S in the second line); we have used that $\pi \circ \Phi_* = f \circ \pi$ in the second line; and we have used (15) in the final line.

In the case $y \in \{q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}\}$, we have $\xi_1 = q_{n-1}$ if and only if

$$\xi = \langle \Phi_*(q_{n-1}), q_{n-1}, q_{n-2}, \dots, q_0, a, B^{-1}(a), \dots \rangle \text{ or } \xi = \langle \Phi_*(q_{n-1}), q_{n-1}, q_{n-2}, \dots, q_0, \alpha_u, B^{-1}(\alpha_u), \dots \rangle;$$

while $\xi_1 = \widehat{q}_{n-1}$ if and only if $\xi = \langle \Phi_*(\widehat{q}_{n-1}), \widehat{q}_{n-1}, B^{-1}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}), \dots \rangle$. These give the other two possibilities in the statement of (a), using (15).

The argument in the NBT case is identical, except that the case $y \in \{q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}\}$ does not arise since $q_{n-1} = c_u$.

Suppose then that f is of strict endpoint type. As in the general case, we have $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$ but $\xi_1 \neq \xi'_1$ if and only if $\{\xi_1, \xi'_1\} = \{y, \widehat{y}\}$ for some $y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u\}$. The argument for $y \notin \{c_u, q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}\}$ (i.e. for $y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u\}$) is identical to the general case. Suppose then, without loss of generality, that $\xi_1 = a$ and $\xi'_1 = \alpha_u$.

Consider first the case where $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$, so that we have $B^n(a) = B^n(\alpha_u) = \alpha_u$. Then

$$\xi = \langle q_0, a, B^{-1}(a), \dots \rangle,$$

while either

$$\xi' = \langle (q_0, \alpha_u, q_{n-2}, \dots, q_1)^\infty \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \xi' = \langle (q_0, \alpha_u, q_{n-2}, \dots, q_1)^\ell, q_0, a, B^{-1}(a), \dots \rangle \text{ for some } \ell > 0.$$

Therefore $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{t}(a, 0, 0))$; while either $\widehat{\pi}(\xi') = \omega(\mathbf{q}_i)$ or $\widehat{\pi}(\xi') = \omega(\mathbf{t}(a, \ell, 0))$ for some $\ell > 0$. Here we have used (15); and we have used (9) to show that $\widehat{\pi}(\langle (q_0, \alpha_u, q_{n-2}, \dots, q_1)^\infty \rangle) = \omega(\mathbf{q}_i)$.

The case where $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$ works analogously, and the result follows.

For (d), there is some $r \geq 0$ with $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0) \in P$ if and only if either $\xi_0 \in P$ (i.e. $\xi_0 = q_i$ for some i), or $\xi_0 = B^{-s}(A)$ for some $s \geq 0$. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \langle (q_i, q_{i-1}, \dots, q_0, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_{i+1})^\infty \rangle \quad \text{for some } i, \text{ or} \\ \xi &= \langle q_i, q_{i-1}, \dots, q_0, (q_{n-1}, \dots, q_0)^k, A, B^{-1}(A), \dots \rangle \quad \text{for some } i \text{ and some } k \geq 0, \text{ or} \\ \xi &= \langle B^{-s}(A), B^{-(s+1)}(A), \dots \rangle \quad \text{for some } s \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first of these is equivalent to $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{q}_i)$ for some i ; the second to $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i))$ for some i and some $k \geq 0$; and the third (noting Remark 4.51) to $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i))$ for some i and some $k < 0$. $\square$

Theorem 5.21. *Let f be a post-critically finite tent map of slope $t > \sqrt{2}$. Then the sphere homeomorphism $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.13 is topologically conjugate to the generalized pseudo-Anosov $\Phi: R/\sim \rightarrow R/\sim$ constructed in [20].*

Proof. By (16) and the accompanying discussion, it is only necessary to show that for all pairs ξ, ξ' of distinct elements of $\widehat{R}$, we have $g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi)) = g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi'))$ if and only if $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$.

We start with the case where f is of general type. In this case the points of P (the periodic orbit of (P9)) have unique Φ_* -preimages — which are, of course, in P — and hence, by (P9), $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$ if and only if either

- (i) $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0) = \Phi_*^r(\xi'_0)$ for some $r \geq 0$; or
- (ii) $\xi_0, \xi'_0 \in P$.

Since $\xi \neq \xi'$, condition (i) is equivalent to the condition

$$\text{There is some } s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \widehat{\Phi}_*^s(\xi)_0 = \widehat{\Phi}_*^s(\xi')_0, \quad \text{but} \quad \widehat{\Phi}_*^s(\xi)_1 \neq \widehat{\Phi}_*^s(\xi')_1. \quad (17)$$

For if (17) holds, then either $s \leq 0$, in which case $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$; or $s > 0$, in which case $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0) = \Phi_*^r(\xi'_0)$ for $r = s$. Conversely, suppose that there is some $r \geq 0$ for which $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0) = \Phi_*^r(\xi'_0)$, and pick this r to be as small as possible. If $r > 0$ then we have $\widehat{\Phi}_*^r(\xi)_0 = \widehat{\Phi}_*^r(\xi')_0$, but $\Phi_*^{r-1}(\xi_0) \neq \Phi_*^{r-1}(\xi'_0)$, so that $\widehat{\Phi}_*^{r-1}(\xi)_0 \neq \widehat{\Phi}_*^{r-1}(\xi')_0$; that is, $\widehat{\Phi}_*^r(\xi)_1 \neq \widehat{\Phi}_*^r(\xi')_1$, and hence (17) holds with $s = r$. On the other hand, if $r = 0$ then $\xi_0 = \xi'_0$. Since $\xi \neq \xi'$, there is some greatest $i \geq 0$ with $\xi_i = \xi'_i$. Then we have $\widehat{\Phi}_*^{-i}(\xi)_0 = \widehat{\Phi}_*^{-i}(\xi')_0$ but $\widehat{\Phi}_*^{-i}(\xi)_1 \neq \widehat{\Phi}_*^{-i}(\xi')_1$, so that (17) holds with $s = -i$.

Therefore condition (i) holds if and only if there is some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\Phi}_*^s(\xi)), \widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\Phi}_*^s(\xi'))\}$ is one of the pairs from the statement of Lemma 5.20 (a). By Lemma 5.19, this is equivalent to the existence of $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{\widehat{f}^s(\widehat{\pi}(\xi)), \widehat{f}^s(\widehat{\pi}(\xi'))\}$ is one of these pairs. Setting $r = -s$, this in turn is equivalent to the existence of $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\}$ is the image under $\widehat{f}^r$ of one of these pairs. That is, condition (i) holds if and only if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, k, i))\} \text{ for some } y \in \gamma \setminus \{c_u, q_{n-1}, \widehat{q}_{n-1}\}; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \omega(\mathbf{t}(a, k+1, i))\}; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{q}_{n-1}, k, i), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\alpha_u, k+1, i))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that condition (ii) holds if and only if $\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi') \in \omega(\mathbf{P})$ and comparing with Remark 5.15 (d), we see that $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$ if and only if $g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi)) = g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi'))$.

The proof in the NBT case is similar, using Lemma 5.20 (b) and Remark 5.15 (e).

Suppose, then, that f is of (strict) endpoint type, so that q_{n-1} is either a or α_u : as before, we will write $A = \widehat{q}_{n-1}$, so that $q_{n-1} = \alpha_u$ and $A = a$ when $\kappa(f) = \text{rhe}(m/n)$; while $q_{n-1} = a$ and $A = \alpha_u$ when $\kappa(f) = \text{lhe}(m/n)$. The periodic orbit P of Φ_* on ∂R is therefore $P = \{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$ (that is, it is equal to Q), and the two Φ_* -preimages of q_0 are a and α_u . By (P9), $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$ if and only if either

- (i) $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0) = \Phi_*^r(\xi'_0)$ for some $r \geq 0$; or
- (ii) $\Phi_*^r(\xi_0), \Phi_*^r(\xi'_0) \in P$ for some $r \geq 0$.

As in the general case, condition (i) is equivalent to (17), which in turn is equivalent to the existence of $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\}$ is the image under $\widehat{f}^r$ of one of the pairs from the statement of Lemma 5.20 (c). That is, condition (i) holds if and only if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, k, i))\} \text{ for some } y \in \dot{\gamma} \setminus \{c_u\}; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i), \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k+\ell, i))\} \text{ for some } \ell > 0; \text{ or} \\ \{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} &= \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i), \omega(\mathbf{q}_i))\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.20 (d), condition (ii) holds if and only if there are integers k and k' , and $0 \leq i, i' \leq n-1$ such that $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{q}_i)$ or $\widehat{\pi}(\xi) = \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i))$; and $\widehat{\pi}(\xi') = \omega(\mathbf{q}_{i'})$ or $\widehat{\pi}(\xi') = \omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k', i'))$.

Combining conditions (i) and (ii), we obtain that $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$ if and only if either there exist $y \in \mathring{\gamma} \setminus \{c_u\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $\{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} = \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(y, k, i)), \omega(\mathbf{t}(\widehat{y}, k, i))\}$; or

$$\{\widehat{\pi}(\xi), \widehat{\pi}(\xi')\} \subset \omega(\mathbf{Q}) \cup \{\omega(\mathbf{t}(A, k, i)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

Comparing with Remark 5.15 (c), we see that $\xi_0 \sim \xi'_0$ if and only if $g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi)) = g(\widehat{\pi}(\xi'))$, as required. $\square$

A detailed description of the dynamics of the sphere homeomorphism F in the case where f is a tent map but is not post-critically finite will be the subject of a forthcoming paper. Here we only give a straightforward statement about the way in which dynamical properties of a general f carry over to F . Recall that a Borel probability measure on a topological manifold M is called an *Oxtoby-Ulam* measure, or *OU-measure*, if it is non-atomic, positive on open sets, and assigns zero measure to the boundary of M (if it has one).

Theorem 5.22 (Sphere homeomorphism dynamics). *Let f be a unimodal map satisfying the conditions of Convention 2.8, and $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the corresponding sphere homeomorphism given by Theorem 5.13. Then*

- (a) *if f is topologically transitive then so is F ;*
- (b) *if f has dense periodic points, then so does F ;*
- (c) *f and F have the same topological entropy; and*
- (d) *if f preserves an ergodic OU-measure, then F preserves an ergodic OU-measure with the same metric entropy.*

In particular, if f is a tent map of slope $t \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ restricted to its dynamical interval, then F is topologically transitive, has dense periodic points, has topological entropy $\log(t)$, and has an invariant ergodic OU-measure with metric entropy $\log(t)$.

Proof. It is well known that if f is topologically transitive or has dense periodic points, then the same is true of its natural extension $\widehat{f}$. Since these properties are preserved by semi-conjugacy, (a) and (b) follow. (c) is established in Remark 5.14.

For (d), it is also well known that if μ is an f -invariant Borel probability measure, then there is a unique $\widehat{f}$ -invariant Borel probability measure $\widehat{\mu}$ on $\widehat{I}$ characterized by $(\pi_n)_*(\widehat{\mu}) = \mu$ for all n (here $\pi_n: \widehat{I} \rightarrow I$ is defined by $\pi_n(\mathbf{x}) = x_n$); moreover, $\widehat{\mu}$ is ergodic if and only if μ is; and μ and $\widehat{\mu}$ have the same metric entropy. If $\widehat{\mu}$ were atomic then μ would be also. Moreover, since a base for the topology on $\widehat{I}$ is given by the set of all $\pi_n^{-1}(U)$, where U is non-empty and open in I , and since $\widehat{\mu}(\pi_n^{-1}(U)) = \mu(U) > 0$, we have that $\widehat{\mu}$ is positive on open sets.

Write $\tilde{\mu} = g_*(\widehat{\mu})$ (where g is the semi-conjugacy $\widehat{I} \rightarrow \Sigma$), so that $\tilde{\mu}$ is F -invariant and ergodic. Since g is continuous, $\tilde{\mu}$ is also positive on open sets. To show that $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-atomic, suppose for a contradiction that there were some $z \in \Sigma$ with $\tilde{\mu}(z) > 0$. Then, since $\tilde{\mu}$ is F -invariant and ergodic, z would belong to a periodic orbit of full measure, a contradiction since the complement of this periodic orbit would be open and non-empty.

Except perhaps for a single point (which we now know has $\tilde{\mu}$ -measure zero), the point preimages of the semi-conjugacy g are finite. Thus g has finite preimages $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost everywhere and so $\widehat{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ have the same metric entropy.

Therefore $\tilde{\mu}$ is an F -invariant ergodic OU-measure as required. Since tent maps of slope $t > \sqrt{2}$ restricted to their dynamical intervals are transitive, have dense periodic points, have topological entropy $\log(t)$, and have invariant ergodic OU-measures with metric entropy $\log(t)$, the final statement follows. $\square$

Remark 5.23. A theorem of Oxtoby and Ulam (see [37] and Appendix 2 of [2]) states that if m_1 and m_2 are OU-measures on a manifold M , then there is a homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ with $h_*(m_1) = m_2$. Therefore by conjugating the sphere homeomorphism F , we can make it preserve any OU-measure; in particular, one coming from an area form on Σ .

APPENDIX A. THE EMBEDDING IS INDEPENDENT OF THE UNWRAPPING

In this appendix we will prove the following result, which establishes that the prime ends of $(\widehat{D}, \widehat{I})$ are independent of the choice of unwrapping of the unimodal map f .

Theorem 2.16. *Any two unwrappings of a unimodal map f are equivalent.*

Recall that unwrappings $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ of f , which have associated Barge-Martin homeomorphisms $\widehat{H}_0: (\widehat{D}_0, \widehat{I}) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}_0, \widehat{I})$ and $\widehat{H}_1: (\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{I}) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{I})$, are equivalent if there is a homeomorphism $\lambda: \widehat{D}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{D}_1$ which restricts to the identity on $\widehat{I}$. Theorem 2.16 is a consequence of the following minor variant of a recent deep theorem [36].

Theorem A.1 (Oversteegen–Tymchatyn). *Let K be a continuum and $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}: K \rightarrow \text{Int } D^2$ be an isotopy of embeddings of K into the interior of the disk. Then there is an ambient isotopy $\{A_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}: D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ with $A_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_t = A_t \circ \alpha_0$ for all t .*

This result is stated for the two-sphere S^2 in [36]. One way to make the conversion to the disk is as follows. Let S_+^2 and S_-^2 be the upper and lower closed hemispheres of S^2 . Identify D^2 with S_-^2 so that $\alpha_t(K) \subset \text{Int } S_-^2$ for each t . By Theorem 7.3 of [36] there is an ambient isotopy $\{B_t\}: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ with $B_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_t = B_t \circ \alpha_0$ for all t . Let U_+ be an open neighborhood of S_+^2 which is disjoint from $\alpha_t(K)$ for all t . Since $S^2 = U_+ \cup \text{Int } S_-^2$, it follows from Corollary 1.3 of [23] that $\{B_t\}$ can be written as a composition of ambient isotopies, each of which is supported either on U_+ or on $\text{Int } S_-^2$. The desired isotopy $\{A_t\}$ is the composition of those isotopies which are supported on $\text{Int } S_-^2$.

Definition A.2 (Weak unwrapping). A *weak unwrapping* of a unimodal map f is an orientation-preserving near-homeomorphism $\bar{f}: D \rightarrow D$ which is injective on I with $\bar{f}(I) \subset \{(y, s) : s \geq 1/2\}$, and satisfies $\tau \circ \bar{f}_I = f$.

Remark A.3. A weak unwrapping differs from an unwrapping in that it is not required that the second component of $\bar{f}(y, s)$ is equal to s whenever $s \in [0, 1/2]$. A Barge-Martin homeomorphism can be associated to a weak unwrapping in exactly the same way as to an unwrapping, although it may not have $\widehat{I}$ as a global attractor (if $\bar{f}$ pushes points with $s \in [0, 1/2]$ outwards more strongly than the smash Υ pulls them inwards).

Definition A.4 (u-near-isotopy). A homotopy $\{\bar{f}_t\}: D \rightarrow D$ of weak unwrappings of a fixed unimodal map f is called a *u-near-isotopy* (for “unwrapping near-isotopy”).

Remark A.5. It is not obvious that a homotopy of near-homeomorphisms of D can be uniformly approximated by isotopies, and therefore merits the name *near-isotopy*. That this is the case follows from a theorem of Edwards and Kirby (Corollary 1.1 of [23]), which states that the homeomorphism group of any manifold is locally contractible, and hence locally path connected.

Lemma A.6. *Any two u-near-isotopic unwrappings $\bar{f}_0: D \rightarrow D$ and $\bar{f}_1: D \rightarrow D$ of a unimodal map f are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\{\bar{f}_t\}$ be a u-near isotopy from $\bar{f}_0$ to $\bar{f}_1$, and for each t let $\hat{H}_t: (\hat{D}_t, \hat{I}) \rightarrow (\hat{D}_t, \hat{I})$ be the Barge-Martin homeomorphism associated with $\bar{f}_t$. By Theorem 2.15, there are homeomorphisms $h_t: \hat{D}_t \rightarrow D^2$ with the property that $\{\Phi_t = h_t \circ \hat{H}_t \circ h_t^{-1}\}: D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ is an isotopy. It follows from the construction of the homeomorphisms h_t (as compositions $h_t = p_1 \circ \beta \circ \iota_t$) in the proof of Corollary 2.3 of [14] (which applies equally well to weak unwrappings as to unwrappings) that $h_t|_{\hat{I}}$ varies continuously with t , so that $\{h_t|_{\hat{I}}\}$ is an isotopy of embeddings of the continuum $\hat{I}$ into $\text{Int } D^2$.

By Theorem A.1, there is an isotopy $\{A_t\}: D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ with $A_0 = \text{id}$ and $h_t|_{\hat{I}} = A_t \circ h_0|_{\hat{I}}$ for all t . Let $\lambda = h_1^{-1} \circ A_1 \circ h_0: \hat{D}_0 \rightarrow \hat{D}_1$. Then λ is the required homeomorphism which restricts to the identity on $\hat{I}$. $\square$

Remark A.7. Lemma A.6 extends to apply to any continuous surjection $f: K \rightarrow K$, where K is a Peano non-separating planar continuum. A theorem of Brechner and Brown [15] states that such a continuum K has a *Disk Mapping Cylinder Neighborhood*: that is, it can be embedded in a disk D in such a way that there is a continuous map $\phi: S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ with $K = \phi(S^1 \times \{1\})$, and $\phi(y_1, s_1) = \phi(y_2, s_2) \implies s_1 = s_2 = 1$. (In fact, Brechner and Brown show that a planar continuum has a Disk Mapping Cylinder Neighborhood if and only if it is Peano and non-separating.) Using the associated “coordinates” (y, s) , the Barge-Martin construction can be carried out exactly as in the case $K = I$, and the proof of Lemma A.6 goes through without change.

We next show that there are only two u-near-isotopy classes of unwrappings of a given unimodal map f . Since a weak unwrapping $\bar{f}$ is injective on I , one of the following two cases must occur

- (a) For every $x \in [a, c]$ either $\bar{f}(x) = (f(x)_u, s_1)$ and $\bar{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x)_\ell, s_2)$; or $\bar{f}(x) = (f(x)_u, s_1)$ and $\bar{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x)_u, s_2)$ with $s_1 < s_2$; or $\bar{f}(x) = (f(x)_\ell, s_1)$ and $\bar{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x)_\ell, s_2)$ with $s_1 > s_2$.
- (b) For every $x \in [a, c]$ either $\bar{f}(x) = (f(x)_\ell, s_1)$ and $\bar{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x)_u, s_2)$; or $\bar{f}(x) = (f(x)_u, s_1)$ and $\bar{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x)_u, s_2)$ with $s_1 > s_2$; or $\bar{f}(x) = (f(x)_\ell, s_1)$ and $\bar{f}(\hat{x}) = (f(x)_\ell, s_2)$ with $s_1 < s_2$.

We say that $\bar{f}$ is of type “up” in case (a), and of type “down” in case (b) (our preferred unwrapping is therefore of type “down”).

Lemma A.8. *If two unwrappings $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ of a unimodal map f are of the same type, then they are u-near-isotopic.*

Proof. We show first that any unwrapping $\bar{f}$ of f is u-near-isotopic to a weak unwrapping which is a homeomorphism, and which has the same type as $\bar{f}$. Since $\bar{f}$ is a near-homeomorphism, it follows from the theorem of Edwards and Kirby stated in Remark A.5 that it is the endpoint of a pseudo-isotopy: that is, that there is a homotopy $\{g_t\}: D \rightarrow D$ with $g_0 = \bar{f}$ and g_t a homeomorphism

for $t > 0$. Define $\alpha_t = g_t|_I: I \rightarrow D$. Since $\bar{f}|_I$ is a homeomorphism by definition, $\{\alpha_t\}$ is an isotopy of embeddings. By Theorem A.1, there is an isotopy $\{A_t\}: D \rightarrow D$ with $A_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_t = A_t \circ \alpha_0$ for all t . Define $\bar{g}_t = A_t^{-1} \circ g_t$, so that $\bar{g}_0 = \bar{f}$. If $t > 0$ then $\bar{g}_t$ is a homeomorphism with $\bar{g}_t|_I = A_t^{-1} \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_0 = \bar{f}|_I$, so that $\bar{g}_t$ is a weak unwrapping. Therefore $\bar{f}$ is u-near-isotopic to the homeomorphism weak unwrapping $\bar{g}_1$, which is clearly of the same type as $\bar{f}$.

We can therefore complete the proof by showing that if $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ are homeomorphism weak unwrappings of the same type, then they are u-near-isotopic. A *fiber* in D is an arc of the form $\tau^{-1}(x)$ for some $x \in I$. Since $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ have the same type, we can slide from one to the other along fibers to produce an isotopy of embeddings $\{\alpha_t\}: I \rightarrow \text{Int } D$ with $\alpha_0 = \bar{f}_0|_I$, $\alpha_1 = \bar{f}_1|_I$, and $\tau \circ \alpha_t = f$ for all t . By Theorem A.1 there is an isotopy $\{A_t\}: D \rightarrow D$ with $A_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_t = A_t \circ \alpha_0$ for all t . Let $\{B_t\}: D \rightarrow D$ be the isotopy defined by $B_t = A_t \circ \bar{f}_0$. Now

$$\tau \circ B_t|_I = \tau \circ A_t \circ \bar{f}_0|_I = \tau \circ A_t \circ \alpha_0 = \tau \circ \alpha_t = f.$$

Therefore $\{B_t\}$ is a u-near-isotopy (consisting of homeomorphisms) from $\bar{f}_0$ to B_1 .

Now $B_1|_I = A_1 \circ \bar{f}_0|_I = A_1 \circ \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \bar{f}_1|_I$. Therefore B_1 and $\bar{f}_1$ are orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $D \rightarrow D$ which agree on the arc I embedded in $\text{Int } D$: by a standard result, they are isotopic by an isotopy which is constant on I . This isotopy is a u-near-isotopy from B_1 to $\bar{f}_1$, so that $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ are u-near-isotopic as required. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.16. Let f be a unimodal map. By Lemmas A.6 and A.8, any two unwrappings of f of the same type are equivalent. It therefore only remains to show that there exist unwrappings $\bar{f}_0$ and $\bar{f}_1$ of different types which are equivalent.

Let $\Gamma: D \rightarrow D$ be the involution defined by $\Gamma(x_u, s) = (x_\ell, s)$ and $\Gamma(x_\ell, s) = (x_u, s)$. Let $\bar{f}_0$ be any unwrapping of type “down”, and let $\bar{f}_1 = \Gamma \circ \bar{f}_0 \circ \Gamma$, which is of type “up”. Since Γ commutes with the smash, if $H_i = \Upsilon \circ \bar{f}_i: D \rightarrow D$ for each i , then $H_1 = \Gamma \circ H_0 \circ \Gamma$.

It follows that the map $\lambda: \widehat{D}_0 \rightarrow \widehat{D}_1$ defined by $\lambda(\langle x_0, x_1, \dots \rangle) = \langle \Gamma(x_0), \Gamma(x_1), \dots \rangle$ is a homeomorphism which restricts to the identity on $\widehat{I}$. $\square$

APPENDIX B. TECHNICAL LEMMAS

In this appendix we prove two lemmas about the dynamics of unimodal maps. As is the case throughout the paper, we assume that unimodal maps satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.1 and Convention 2.8.

Lemma B.1. *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be unimodal with turning point c . Then there is some N such that $f^N([a, c]) = [a, b]$.*

Proof. $\kappa(f) \succ 101^\infty$ by Convention 2.8 (a), so that $\kappa(f) = 10(11)^\ell 0 \dots$ for some $\ell \geq 0$. We shall show that $f^{2\ell+2}([a, c]) = [a, b]$.

We first show by finite induction on i that $[a, c] \subset f^{2i}([a, c])$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell$. The base case is trivial. If $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ then in particular $\ell \geq 1$, so that $f^2(a) \geq c$. We have by the inductive hypothesis that $f^{2i-2}([a, c]) \supset [a, c]$. Therefore $f^{2i-1}([a, c]) \supset [f(a), b]$, and $f^{2i}([a, c]) \supset [a, f^2(a)] \supset [a, c]$ as required.

Therefore $f^{2\ell}([a, c]) \supset [a, c]$. Since $f^{2\ell}(a) \in f^{2\ell}([a, c])$, it follows that $f^{2\ell}([a, c]) \supset [c, f^{2\ell}(a)]$ and so $f^{2\ell+1}([a, c]) \supset [f^{2\ell+1}(a), b] \supset [c, b]$, the latter inclusion coming from the fact that $\kappa(f)_{2\ell+2} = 0$. Therefore $f^{2\ell+2}([a, c]) = [a, b]$ as required. $\square$

If $\{f_t\}$ is a monotonic family of unimodal maps then, for each rational $m/n \in (0, 1/2)$, the height m/n parameter interval starts when the kneading sequence is $(w_q 1)^\infty$ (with the saddle-node creation of a periodic orbit whose rightmost point has this itinerary). In a full family, this is followed by an interval of parameters in which f_t is renormalizable (starting with a period-doubling cascade). This interval ends when the kneading sequence exceeds $w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$. In the tent family, by contrast, kneading sequences κ with $(w_q 1)^\infty \prec \kappa \preceq w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$ do not occur.

Lemma B.2. *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ be unimodal with $q(\kappa(f)) = q = m/n \in (0, 1/2)$, and write $\theta = \min(f^n(a), \widehat{f^n(a)})$.*

(a) *If $(w_q 0)^\infty \prec \kappa(f) \preceq w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$ then $\theta = f^n(a)$ and $f^n([a, f^n(a))) = [a, f^n(a)]$.*

Moreover, $f^{n-1}([f(a), f^{n+1}(a)]) = [a, f^n(a)]$.

(b) *If $w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty \prec \kappa(f) \prec \text{rhe}(q)$ then there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f^N([a, \theta)) = [a, b]$.*

Proof. Recall that $w_q = 10^{\kappa_1(q)} 110^{\kappa_2(q)} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_{m-1}(q)} 110^{\kappa_m(q)-1}$ is a word of length $n-1$ containing an odd number of 1s. Moreover $\kappa_1(q) = \lfloor 1/q \rfloor - 1 > 0$ so that $w_q = 10 \dots$

(a) Since $(w_q 0)^\infty$ and $(w_q 0 w_q 1)^\infty$ are consecutive kneading sequences (the latter is obtained from the former by period doubling), we have $(w_q 0 w_q 1)^\infty \preceq \kappa(f) \preceq w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$, and hence $\kappa(f) = w_q 0 w_q 1 \dots$. In particular, $\iota(f^n(a)) = 0 \dots$, so that $f^n(a) \leq c$ and $\theta = f^n(a)$.

We will first show that $f^{n-1}(a) \leq f^{2n-1}(a)$. If $\kappa(f) = w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$ then both $f^{n-1}(a)$ and $f^{2n-1}(a)$ have itinerary $(w_q 1)^\infty$, and since $\kappa(f)$ is not periodic it follows from Convention 2.8 that $f^{n-1}(a) = f^{2n-1}(a)$. On the other hand, if $\kappa(f) \neq w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$, then let $\ell \geq 1$ and $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ be such that $\kappa(f) = w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\ell \nu$, where ν does not start with the symbols $w_q 1$. Since $\kappa(f) \prec w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$ we have $\nu \succ (w_q 1)^\infty$. Then $\iota(f^{n-1}(a)) = (w_q 1)^\ell \nu \prec (w_q 1)^{\ell-1} \nu = \iota(f^{2n-1}(a))$, so that $f^{n-1}(a) \leq f^{2n-1}(a)$ as required.

Now $\iota(a) = \sigma(w_q 0 w_q 1 \dots)$ and $\iota(f^n(a)) = \sigma(w_q 1 \dots)$. Therefore $f^i(a)$ and $f^i(f^n(a))$ lie in the same monotone piece of f for $0 \leq i \leq n-3$, and they lie in the decreasing piece of f for an even number of values of i . Therefore $f^{n-2}([a, f^n(a))) = [f^{n-2}(a), f^{2n-2}(a))$. Since $f^{n-2}(a) \leq c \leq f^{2n-2}(a)$ (as $\kappa(f)_{n-1} = 0$ and $\kappa(f)_{2n-1} = 1$), and $c \leq f^{n-1}(a) \leq f^{2n-1}(a)$ (as shown in the previous paragraph), it follows that $f^{n-1}([a, f^n(a))) = [f^{n-1}(a), b]$ and hence $f^n([a, f^n(a))) = [a, f^n(a)]$ as required. Since $f([a, f^n(a))) = [f(a), f^{n+1}(a))$, the final statement is immediate.

(b) Recall that $\text{rhe}(q) = 10(\widehat{w_q 1})^\infty$. Using $10\widehat{w_q} = w_q 01$ (which is true since $c_q = w_q 01$ is palindromic), we have

$$w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty \prec \kappa(f) \preceq w_q 01 (1\widehat{w_q})^\infty.$$

In particular, $\kappa(f) = w_q 01 \dots$. We consider separately the case where $\kappa(f) = w_q 010 \dots$ (so that $\theta = f^n(a)$), and the case where $\kappa(f) = w_q 011 \dots$ (so that $\theta = \widehat{f^n(a)}$).

Case 1: $\kappa(f) = w_q 010 \dots$ and $\theta = f^n(a)$.

Let $\ell \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that

$$\kappa(f) = w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\ell \nu,$$

where ν does not start with the symbols $w_q 1$. Since $\kappa(f) \succ w_q 0 (w_q 1)^\infty$ we have $\nu \prec (w_q 1)^\infty$.

Step 1: We will show that

$$f^{\ell n}([a, \theta)) = \begin{cases} [a, b] & \text{if } \nu = 0 \dots, \\ [a, \sigma(\nu)) & \text{if } \nu = 1 \dots \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

(Here, $[a, \sigma(\nu))$ means an interval whose left hand endpoint is a , and whose right hand endpoint has itinerary $\sigma(\nu)$: the fact that there may be more than one point with this itinerary will not be important. We will use this notation throughout the remainder of the proof.)

If $\ell = 0$ then this is immediate: $\theta = f^n(a)$ has itinerary $\sigma(\nu)$, and $\nu = 1 \dots$ since $\kappa(f) = w_q 010 \dots$. We therefore suppose that $\ell \geq 1$, so that

$$\iota(a) = \sigma(w_q) 0 (w_q 1)^\ell \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(\theta) = \sigma(w_q) 1 (w_q 1)^{\ell-1} \nu.$$

We show by finite induction on i that

$$f^{(i+1)n-2}([a, \theta)) = [0(w_q 1)^\ell \nu, 1(w_q 1)^{\ell-i-1} \nu) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1.$$

The case $i = 0$ is straightforward, since the first $n - 2$ symbols of $\iota(a)$ and $\iota(\theta)$ agree, and contain an even number of 1s. Suppose then that $0 < i \leq \ell - 1$, and assume, by the inductive hypothesis, that $f^{in-2}([a, \theta)) = [0(w_q 1)^\ell \nu, 1(w_q 1)^{\ell-i} \nu)$. Since $\nu \prec (w_q 1)^\infty$ we have $(w_q 1)^{\ell-i} \nu \prec (w_q 1)^\ell \nu$, and hence $f^{in-1}([a, \theta)) = ((w_q 1)^{\ell-i} \nu, b]$, and

$$f^{in}([a, \theta)) = [a, \sigma(w_q) 1 (w_q 1)^{\ell-i-1} \nu) = [\sigma(w_q) 0 (w_q 1)^\ell \nu, \sigma(w_q) 1 (w_q 1)^{\ell-i-1} \nu).$$

Applying f^{n-2} gives the required result.

Setting $i = \ell - 1$ gives $f^{\ell n-2}([a, \theta)) = [0(w_q 1)^\ell \nu, 1\nu)$ and hence $f^{\ell n-1}([a, \theta)) = (\nu, b]$ (since $\nu \prec (w_q 1)^\ell \nu$). Applying f once more gives (18).

Step 2: We therefore assume that $\nu = 1 \dots$, and show that $f^N([a, \sigma(\nu))) = [a, b]$ for some N , which will complete the proof in case 1.

Recall that

$$w_q 1 = 10^{\kappa_1} 110^{\kappa_2} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_{m-1}} 110^{\kappa_m-1} 1,$$

where $\kappa_i = \kappa_i(q)$ is given by (1). Since ν does not begin with the symbols $w_q 1$, and satisfies $\nu \prec (w_q 1)^\infty$, one of the following possibilities must occur:

- (i) There is some i with $1 \leq i \leq m$, and an integer k with $0 \leq k < \kappa_i$ (or $0 \leq k < \kappa_m - 1$ in the case $i = m$), such that

$$\nu = 10^{\kappa_1} 110^{\kappa_2} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_{i-1}} 110^k 1 \dots$$

- (ii) There is some i with $1 \leq i < m$ such that

$$\nu = 10^{\kappa_1} 110^{\kappa_2} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_i} 10 \dots$$

For (i), write $M = k + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\kappa_j + 2)$. If $i < m$ we have

$$f^M([a, \sigma(\nu))) = [0^{\kappa_i - k} 11 \dots, 1 \dots).$$

Therefore $f^{M+1}([a, \sigma(\nu))) \supset [0^{\kappa_i - k - 1} 11 \dots, b]$. If $\kappa_i > k + 1$ then we have $f^{M+2}([a, \sigma(\nu))) = [a, b]$, while if $\kappa_i = k + 1$ then $f^{M+2}([a, \sigma(\nu))) \supset [a, 1 \dots] \supset [a, c]$, and the result follows by Lemma B.1. The case $i = m$ works similarly, remembering that $\iota(a)$ starts with the word $\sigma(w_q)0$: we always have $f^{M+2}([a, \sigma(\nu))) = [a, b]$ in this case.

For (ii), write $M = \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (\kappa_j + 2) \right) - 1$. Then $f^M([a, \sigma(\nu))) = (0 \dots, 1^{2r+1} 0 \dots)$ for some $r \geq 0$ (which will be greater than 0 if and only if $\kappa_{i+1} = 0$). Therefore $f^{M+1}([a, \sigma(\nu))) \supset [1^{2r} 0 \dots, b]$. If $r = 0$ then $f^{M+2}([a, \sigma(\nu))) = [a, b]$; while if $r > 0$ then $f^{M+2}([a, \sigma(\nu))) = [a, 1 \dots] \supset [a, c]$, and the result follows by Lemma B.1.

Case 2: $\kappa(f) = w_q 0 11 \dots$ and $\theta = \widehat{f^n(a)}$.

We have $\kappa(f) = w_q 0 11 \dots \prec \text{rhe}(q) = w_q 0 1 (1 \widehat{w_q})^\infty$: equivalently $\kappa(f) = 10 \widehat{w_q} 1 \dots \prec 10 (\widehat{w_q} 1)^\infty$, since $w_q 0 1 = 10 \widehat{w_q}$. Let $\ell \geq 1$ and $\nu \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\kappa(f) = 10 (\widehat{w_q} 1)^\ell \nu,$$

where ν does not start with the symbols $\widehat{w_q} 1$. Since $\kappa(f) \prec 10 (\widehat{w_q} 1)^\infty$ we have $\nu \succ (\widehat{w_q} 1)^\infty$.

Now $\iota(a) = 0 (\widehat{w_q} 1)^\ell \nu$, and $\iota(f^n(a)) = 1 (\widehat{w_q} 1)^{\ell-1} \nu$, so that $\iota(\theta) = 0 (\widehat{w_q} 1)^{\ell-1} \nu$. Therefore

$$f^{(\ell-1)n+1}([a, \theta)) = [\widehat{w_q} 1 \nu, \nu).$$

We have

$$\widehat{w_q} 1 = 0^{\kappa_m - 1} 110^{\kappa_m - 1} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_2} 110^{\kappa_1} 11.$$

Since ν does not begin with the symbols $\widehat{w_q} 1$ and $\nu \succ (\widehat{w_q} 1)^\infty$, one of the following possibilities must occur:

- (i) There is some i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and an integer k with $0 \leq k < \kappa_i$ (or $0 \leq k < \kappa_m - 1$ in the case $i = m$), such that

$$\nu = 0^{\kappa_m - 1} 110^{\kappa_m - 1} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_{i+1}} 110^k 1 \dots$$

- (ii) There is some i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that

$$\nu = 0^{\kappa_m - 1} 110^{\kappa_m - 1} 11 \dots 110^{\kappa_i} 10 \dots$$

For (i), we suppose that $i < m$ to avoid complicating the notation: the case $i = m$ is similar.

Write $M = ((\ell - 1)n + 1) + (k - 1 + \sum_{j=i+1}^m (\kappa_j + 2))$. Then

$$f^M([a, \theta)) = [0^{\kappa_i - k} 11 \dots, 1 \dots),$$

so that $f^{M+1}([a, \theta)) \supset [0^{\kappa_i - k - 1} 11 \dots, b]$. If $\kappa_i > k + 1$ then we have $f^{M+2}([a, \theta)) = [a, b]$, while if $\kappa_i = k + 1$ then $f^{M+2}([a, \theta)) \supset [a, 1 \dots] \supset [a, c]$, and the result follows by Lemma B.1.

For (ii), write $M = ((\ell - 1)n + 1) + (\sum_{j=i}^m (\kappa_j + 2) - 2)$. Then $f^M([a, \theta)) = (0 \dots, 1^{2r+1} 0 \dots)$ for some $r \geq 0$. Therefore $f^{M+1}([a, \theta)) \supset [1^{2r} 0 \dots, b]$. If $r = 0$ then $f^{M+2}([a, \theta)) = [a, b]$; while if $r > 0$ then $f^{M+2}([a, \theta)) = [a, 1 \dots] \supset [a, c]$, and the result follows by Lemma B.1.

□

REFERENCES

1. K. Alligood and J. Yorke, *Accessible saddles on fractal basin boundaries*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **12** (1992), no. 3, 377–400.
2. S. Alpern and V. Prasad, *Typical dynamics of volume preserving homeomorphisms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 139, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
3. A. Anušić, H. Bruin, and J. Činč, *Uncountably many planar embeddings of unimodal inverse limit spaces*, arXiv:1603.03887 [math.DS] (2016).
4. M. Barge, *Horseshoe maps and inverse limits*, Pacific J. Math. **121** (1986), no. 1, 29–39.
5. ———, *Prime end rotation numbers associated with the Hénon maps*, Continuum theory and dynamical systems, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 149, Dekker, New York, 1993, pp. 15–33.
6. M. Barge, K. Brucks, and B. Diamond, *Self-similarity in inverse limit spaces of the tent family*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 11, 3563–3570.
7. M. Barge and B. Diamond, *Homeomorphisms of inverse limit spaces of one-dimensional maps*, Fund. Math. **146** (1995), no. 2, 171–187.
8. ———, *Stable and unstable manifold structures in the Hénon family*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), no. 2, 309–338.
9. M. Barge and S. Holte, *Nearly one-dimensional Hénon attractors and inverse limits*, Nonlinearity **8** (1995), no. 1, 29–42.
10. M. Barge and J. Martin, *The construction of global attractors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 2, 523–525.
11. G. Birkhoff, *Sur quelques courbes fermées remarquables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **60** (1932), 1–26.
12. A. Blokh and L. Oversteegen, *Wandering gaps for weakly hyperbolic polynomials*, Complex dynamics, A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009, pp. 139–168.
13. R. Bowen, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 401–414.
14. P. Boyland, A. de Carvalho, and T. Hall, *Inverse limits as attractors in parameterized families*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 5, 1075–1085.
15. B. Brechner and M. Brown, *Mapping cylinder neighborhoods in the plane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1982), no. 3, 433–436.
16. M. Brown, *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 478–483.
17. H. Bruin, *Planar embeddings of inverse limit spaces of unimodal maps*, Topology Appl. **96** (1999), no. 3, 191–208.
18. M. Cartwright and J. Littlewood, *Some fixed point theorems*, Ann. of Math. (2) **54** (1951), 1–37, With appendix by H. D. Ursell.
19. D. Childers, J. Mayer, H. Tuncali, and E. Tymchatyn, *Indecomposable continua and the Julia sets of rational maps*, Complex dynamics, Contemp. Math., vol. 396, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 1–20.
20. A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, *Unimodal generalized pseudo-Anosov maps*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 1127–1188 (electronic).
21. W. de Melo and S. van Strien, *One-dimensional dynamics*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
22. R. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*, Studies in Nonlinearity, Westview Press, Boulder, CO, 2003, Reprint of the second (1989) edition.
23. R. Edwards and R. Kirby, *Deformations of spaces of imbeddings*, Ann. Math. (2) **93** (1971), 63–88.
24. T. Hall, *The creation of horseshoes*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 3, 861–924.
25. P. Holmes and R. Williams, *Knotted periodic orbits in suspensions of Smale’s horseshoe: torus knots and bifurcation sequences*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **90** (1985), no. 2, 115–194.
26. S. Holte, *Generalized horseshoe maps and inverse limits*, Pacific J. Math. **156** (1992), no. 2, 297–305.
27. ———, *Embedding inverse limits of nearly Markov interval maps as attracting sets of planar diffeomorphisms*, Colloq. Math. **68** (1995), no. 2, 291–296.

28. ———, *Inverse limits of Markov interval maps*, Topology Appl. **123** (2002), no. 3, 421–427.
29. J. Kiwi, *Real laminations and the topological dynamics of complex polynomials*, Adv. Math. **184** (2004), no. 2, 207–267.
30. P. Le Calvez, *Propriétés des attracteurs de Birkhoff*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **8** (1988), no. 2, 241–310.
31. J. Mather, *Topological proofs of some purely topological consequences of Carathéodory's theory of prime ends*, Selected studies: physics-astronomy, mathematics, history of science, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1982, pp. 225–255.
32. J. Milnor and W. Thurston, *On iterated maps of the interval*, Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1342, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 465–563.
33. M. Misiurewicz, *Embedding inverse limits of interval maps as attractors*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), no. 1, 23–40.
34. R. Moore, *Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1925), no. 4, 416–428.
35. H. Nusse and J. Yorke, *Bifurcations of basins of attraction from the view point of prime ends*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 13, 2567–2579.
36. L. Oversteegen and E. Tymchatyn, *Extending isotopies of planar continua*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 3, 2105–2133.
37. J. Oxtoby and S. Ulam, *Measure-preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **42** (1941), 874–920.
38. W. Parry, *Symbolic dynamics and transformations of the unit interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 368–378.
39. J. Rogers, Jr., *Indecomposable continua, prime ends, and Julia sets*, Continuum theory and dynamical systems, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 149, Dekker, New York, 1993, pp. 263–276.
40. W. Szczęchla, *Inverse limits of certain interval mappings as attractors in two dimensions*, Fund. Math. **133** (1989), no. 1, 1–23.
41. W. Thurston, *On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **19** (1988), no. 2, 417–431.
42. R. Williams, *Expanding attractors*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **43** (1974), 169–203.
43. J. Youngs, *Homeomorphic approximations to monotone mappings*, Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 87–94.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, 372 LITTLE HALL, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105, USA
E-mail address: boyland@ufl.edu

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, IME-USP, RUA DO MATÃO 1010, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, 05508-090
 SÃO PAULO SP, BRAZIL
E-mail address: andre@ime.usp.br

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL, UK
E-mail address: tobyhall@liverpool.ac.uk